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Abstract

The technique of farming two or more crops in the same field at the same time is known as intercropping. It has been practiced for decades. It provides a number of benefits, including more efficient and effective resource utilization, less soil erosion, increased yield output on a limited cultivated field, risk reduction for smallholder farmers, and higher income per unit of work during times of labor scarcity. Intercropping legumes with cereals, in general, allows for more energy-efficient and continuous agriculture. However, as the world's population grows, a lack of arable land is a major developmental limitation in many rising countries' capacity to meet their basic food and nutritional demands. The most popular types of intercropping used to overcome poorly farmed terrain are mixed intercropping, row intercropping, and strip intercropping. Intercropping is influenced by a variety of factors, including the choice of compatible species, the timing of establishment/planting, knowledge of the physiology of the species to be cultivated at the same time, their growth habits, plant population density, canopy and root architecture, and water and nutrient usage. Various competition indices in cereal-legume intercropping have been used to measure crop yield and efficiency per unit area of land. Only a few of these are the Land Equivalent Ratio, Area Time Equivalent Ratio, Aggressively, Relative Crowding Coefficient, Competitive Ratio, and Actual Yield Loss. A well-managed cereal-legume intercropping system has been found to have beneficial impacts on resource utilization and combined production of low-input crops in the past. The most useful strategy for sustainable agriculture and food security is to address the cereal-legume intercropping system to all farmers in developing countries.
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Introduction

Intercropping is a low-input farming strategy that involves cultivating two or more crops in the same farmed area at the same time to improve resource efficiency and cropping system flexibility. It's also an old and popular planting approach that tries to match crop preferences with growing resources and manpower available. Smallholder farmers in Asia, Africa, and Latin America have long used intercropping, and it is gaining popularity due to its ability to produce high yields with minimum inputs and its ability to save space (Yu et al. 2015; Martin-Guay et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020a, b) [50, 25, 23]. Pigeonpea has been shown to have the greatest potential for intercropping with cereals in Eastern and South Africa due to its drought-tolerance features, and it plays a significant role in family nutrition, output, and revenue. Pigeonpea thrives in hard, drought-prone circumstances, unlike most legumes, and is commonly intercropped with sorghum, maize, millet, and other cereal crops. The Konso people, who live in an arid pastoral area of south-central Ethiopia, have intercropped traditional pigeonpea with ratooned sorghum (Westphal, E. 1974) [45]. Monocropping has a number of disadvantages such as increasing artificial fertilizer use, soil fertility loss, increases the spread of diseases and insects. When compared to intercropping. It increases output across a limited area of cultivated land, minimizes soil erosion due to fast soil cover in intercropping conditions, lowers risk for smallholder farmers, and provides more money per unit of effort in labor shortages (Anil L, Phipps RH, 1998.). Intercropping legumes and grains is a popular technique in many parts of the world, and it can serve as a model for farmers with limited resources who want to maintain output and food security. Consider the following aspects while intercropping: compatible species, planting timing, understanding the physiology of the species to be intercropped with, their growth habits, canopy and root architecture, and water and fertilizer consumption. Intercropping has a higher biotic efficiency than monocropping due to the larger soil mass explored. In previous decades, this advanced agricultural approach was successful in achieving agriculture's goal (Ofori, 1987) [30].
Several studies on cereal-legume intercropping systems have been undertaken (Dereje et al., 2016; Tenaw et al., 2016; Hailu, 2015; Gebremichael et al., 2019; Tamiru et al., 2013; Alemayehu et al., 2018; Addisu et al., 2014; TANA & MULATU, 2000; Dahmardeh, et al., 2010) [12, 40, 17, 38, 5, 2, 39, 13]. High population growth and a scarcity of cultivated land are major developmental issues in many emerging countries, making it difficult to meet their food and nutritional needs. Weeds, drought, and nutrient mining are also major factors in low agricultural output in underdeveloped nations, in addition to inadequate soil fertility and limited access to resources for small-scale farmers. Intercropping is more productive than Ethiopia's traditional cereal-based cropping methods. As a result, the intercropping system is critical for increasing crop production, enhancing soil fertility, reducing weed computation, increasing profit, and lowering crop failure risk. Farmers, on the other hand, cultivate their land without using soil and water conservation measures for lengthy periods of time, resulting in extremely deteriorated soil. Farmers are also hesitant to use man-made fertilizers due to their expensive cost and lack of information, resulting in low crop yields in a given amount of land. To address these issues, it is critical to do and disseminate research on soil and water conservation, as well as fertilizer application, particularly to increase productivity. They also use an intercropping strategy to help sustain agriculture by improving soil fertility in the country. Intercropping is an important part of subsistence farmer crop production methods, hence a review on cereal-legume intercropping was initiated.

**History of intercropping**

Intercropping is a long-standing tradition, probably dating back to the beginning of civilized agriculture. However, there is no precise date for when the first intercropped field appeared, but historians (Anders et al., 1996) [7] claim that intercropping existed early in the genesis of agriculture. Furthermore, despite the difficulties in pinpointing the exact dates when intercropping in the form of mixed garden plots initially appeared, it has been proved that they were common during Paleolithic times (Plucknett and Smith 1986) [32]. The transition from informal to formal mixed garden plots did not happen overnight; it was a long process that began in Paleolithic times. In many places of the world, intercropping methods have long been established (Francis 1986) [15]. Intercropping is crucial in the tropics, and it is still commonly used. In terms of crops and systems, there is a lot of natural genetic variation in these areas (Anders et al., 1996) [7]. Farmers’ use of intercropping falls as the temperature and rainfall drop (Harris 1976) [20]. This trend is attributable to a drop in the number of plant species that have been adapted to tough growing conditions, as well as farmers' preference for species that have a better chance of producing something even if the season is terrible. Intercropping began to wane in many industrialized countries as a result of mechanization and specialization of new commercial and production commodities with the introduction of sophisticated agriculture. Individual commodities were studied as independent components of the agricultural system, with a focus on crop species and cultivars. The most effective way for raising agricultural output was thought to be specialization. With a single commodity, this could have worked. Compete for available resources. The following are three types of intercropping systems that are commonly accepted in different nations (Ofori et al., 1987) [30].

**Mixed Intercropping**

Mixed intercropping is when two or more crops are planted together without a set row design. On occasion, it's also referred to as mixed cropping (Von Cossel et al., 2019) [44]. Grass-legume intercropping is the best example of mixed intercropping in a pasture-based cropping system (Gulwa et al., 2017) [18]. In locations with limited land resources, mixed intercropping is primarily employed to meet food and forage demands (Undie et al., 2012) [40].

**Row Intercropping**

Row intercropping is a type of intercropping in which one or more crops are planted in rows and intercrops are cultivated simultaneously in a row or without a row. Row intercropping is a common technique for increasing resource efficiency and productivity (Varma, 2017) [42].

**Strip Intercropping**

Strip intercropping is a type of intercropping in which two or more crops are planted simultaneously in strips on slop, it is typical to increase radiation efficiency in isolated and underprivileged places (Yang et al., 2015). A range of soil saving and depleting crops are planted in alternate strips running perpendicular to the slope of the ground or the direction of prevailing winds. Strip cropping has two main goals: reducing soil erosion and boosting yield output from low-fertility soils.
Relay intercropping

Relay intercropping is the practice of growing two or more crops at the same time for a portion of each crop's cultivating time. The following crop is sown after the preceding crop has completed a significant portion of its life cycle and has reached reproductive stage or approaching maturity. When there is a paucity of time and soil moisture, relay cropping is the best option (Balde et al., 2011) [8]. Before the previous crop is harvested, the second crop is planted, and both crops remain in the field for a period of time. Sequential cropping, on the other hand, yields fewer seeds than conventional planting, requiring more seeds of the successive crop to maintain a productive stand.

Intercropping system and its benefit

Intercropping is a practice of growing two or more crops on the same piece of land at the same time to promote agricultural sustainability. Among Ethiopia's poor farmers, it's a regular practice. Maize-beans, sorghum-cowpea, millet-groundnuts, maize-cowpea, maize-soybean, maize-pigeonpea, maize-groundnuts, and rice-pulses are all common intercropping crop combinations. The features of an intercropping system vary depending on the soil, crop species, climate, economic position, and preferences of local farmers. Intercropping systems, which largely intercrop cereal and legume crops that enhance soil fertility and sustainability, reduce pests, diseases, and weeds and promote soil-physical conditions by receiving more radiation and making better use of available water and nutrients (Sanginga and Woomer, 2009) [32]. Intercropping, rather than monocultures, provides year-round ground cover, preventing soil desiccation and erosion. Farmers can boost water use efficiency, protect soil fertility, and prevent soil erosion by planting multiple crops on the same piece of land at the same time, which are the main problems with mono-cropping. Intercropping legumes and cereals improves legume nitrogen fixation while also providing residual nutrients to the soil (Sarkar et al., 1995) [30]. The cropping system’s effective use of critical resources is governed by the inherent efficiency of the individual crops that make up the system, as well as the complementary influence of the crops.

Effect of Intercropping on Cereal Crops

In semi-arid regions of the world, intercropping legumes and grains are common. In tropical agriculture, legume-cereal intercropping is the most well-known intercropping approach, and it can reduce the amount of nutrients absorbed from the soil as compared to monocropping (Synadon and Harris, 1979) [26]. Legumes will fix nitrogen from the environment in the absence of nitrogen fertilizer and will not compete with cereals for nitrogen fertilizer (Gyamfi et al., 2007) [4]. When compared to monocropping, intercropping legumes and cereals boosts productivity and soil fertility. Smallholder farmers are increasingly intercropping grains and legumes due to the legume's ability to minimize soil erosion and reduce soil fertility.

Effect of intercropping on light interception and radiation use efficiency

The most valuable factor for crop growth and development was light, which varied from other growth factors in that it is only available in the present and hence must be taken straight away, whereas other resources are frequently pools waiting to be used by plants (Willey, 1979) [46]. Plant population density and row organization are thought to affect light transmission through the canopy (Jaya et al., 2001) [21]. They also discovered that planting maize with a N-S orientation at an average density of 7.1 plant m-2 lowered canopy maximum temperatures by 1.2 °C at 40 cm above ground. Reduced irradiance was linked to a 70 percent drop in temperature; the drop in temperature, in particular, was highly sensitive to row orientation and plant density, and in some circumstances resulted in an increase in temperature. With an irradiance of above 300 Wm-2 at mid-day approximately 5 weeks after planting, a plant density of 7 plants m-2 at N-S orientation was found to be promising for cauliflower-maize intercropping in arid lowland situations (Jaya et al., 2001) [21]. This must be synchronized with cauliflower development, as
early growth of cauliflowers necessitates higher irradiiances to maintain enough glucose supply. Light interception and light use efficiency are terms that can help you understand how cropping systems, particularly intercrops, obtain and use resources. Increased production could result from increased sunlight/solar radiation interception, increased light use efficiency, or a combination of the two (Willey, 1990) [48]. When two crop species are mixed and cultivated together rather than separately, light interception improves on a regular basis, either as a result of a longer time of soil covered (temporal advantage) or a more comprehensive soil cover (spatial advantage) (Keating and Carberry, 1993) [22]. Because the total crop population density of intercrops is higher, they can capture more light, especially early in the growing season. Intercrops with non-synchronous canopy development patterns and variable maturation times can have more leaf area during the growing season and produce more fruit. Intercrops, according to Carandang (1980), increase light interception by 30-40%, allowing for more efficient use of sunlight. The overall system’s light interception is detected by the geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny geometry of the crop and the layout of the vegetation. By requiring the earlier crops to be put between properly broader rows of the taller once, intercropping between large and tiny structure. (Keating and Carberry, 1993) [22]. The amount of radiation intercepted in maize-bean intercropping was larger than in a single crop, according to Tsubo, Walker, and Mukhala (2001).

Effect of intercropping on nutrient use efficiency
Despite the challenges of determining helpful or competitive effects, nutrient utilisation in intercropping systems has gotten a lot of attention (Morris and Garrity 1993a) [27]. Due to variances in nutrient uptake techniques among crop species and between individual nutrients, quantifying competitive implications is difficult. More nutrient use can be seen in intercropping systems, both spatially and temporally. Spatial nutrient uptake can be maximized by increasing root mass when crops in an intercropping system have extremely high nutrient needs at various periods, whereas temporal nutrient uptake can be found when crops in an intercropping system have extremely high nutrient demands at different times (Anders et al., 1996) [7]. Cereal-legume intercropping systems exhibit distinct rooting and nutrient uptake forms than monocrops, indicating that more effective use of available nutrients and increased N-uptake in the intercrop may be found (Fujita and Ofosu-Budu, 1996) [16]. Because all roots have the same direction and depth below the surface when just one species is growing, they tend to compete with one another (Seran and Brintha, 2010) [35]. Certain research from outside of Sub-Saharan Africa has demonstrated the relative efficiency of intercrops over monocrops. Maize and cowpea intercropping is beneficial on nitrogen-deficient soils, according to Vesterager, Neilsen, and Hogh-Jensen (2008) [43], and maize-cowpea intercropping increases nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium content when compared to monocrops of maize, according to Dahmardeh, Ghanbari, Syahsan, and Ramrodi (2010) [13]. Intercropping may speed soil nutrient depletion, notably for phosphorus, as a result of more effective soil nutrient usage and higher removal through harvested crops, in addition to the favorable effects on cereal crops (Mucheru-Muna et al., 2010) [28], Chalka and Nepalia (2006) [11], on the other hand, discovered that intercropping maize with soybean reduced NPK depletion while increasing N uptake. In order to reduce the use of foreign inputs, recent projects in Africa to improve soil fertility have included the use of legumes as an intercrop and/or in rotation (Sanginga and Woomer, 2009) [33].

Effect of intercropping on weed management
Weeds compete for agricultural growth and development resources, reducing crop output quantity and quality. Furthermore, weeds promote the spread of disease and insect pests, both of which can reduce agricultural productivity. To reduce the impact of weeds, farmers have used a range of strategies. Two of the most frequent ways for suppressing or controlling weeds are herbicide spray and hand weeding. Herbicide treatment, on the other hand, has negative consequences for environmental resources such as soil, water, and beneficial insects, as well as increasing production costs and fostering herbicide resistance. As a result, research has demonstrated the value of agronomic options for weed control, such as intercropping, in reducing the negative effects of weeds as well as the side effects of herbicide treatments. Conventional intercropping systems are one of the possibilities for weed suppression or control as compared to solo crops (Ananthi, 2017) [37]. In actuality, weed growth is reliant on all component crops’ competitive abilities, which in intercropping is highly reliant on the competitive abilities of the crops community and their respective plant densities (Willey et al., 1980) [47]. For example, because of the legume soil cover, which created an inappropriate environment, the most frequent type of weed, striga infestation, has been considerably reduced (Musambasi et al., 2002; Mashingaidze, A.B., 2004) [29, 30]. According to Chalka MK and V Nepalia (2006) [11], Bean-maize intercropping reduced weed biomass by 50-66 percent when sown at a density of 222,000 plants ha⁻¹ for beans, which is 33 percent of the maize density (37,000 plants ha⁻¹).

Residual effects of cereal-legume cropping system
Decomposing roots and falling leaves give nitrogen and other nutrients to the following crop once the intercrop is harvested. The residual influence of the pulse crop on the next crop is highest when the remaining pulse crop is left on the field and tilled under after harvest. When a substantial amount of nitrogen is left in the grain harvest, however, the land loses more nitrogen than the pulse crop can replace. The intercropped legume may store nitrogen in the soil that will not be useful until after the planting season, so increasing soil fertility and the value of a subsequent crop (Ofiori and Stern 1987; Ledgard and Giller, 1995) [29, 30]. Maize grain output, for example, was 46 percent higher when soybeans were planted following maize and natural fallow. According to Herridge (1990), maximizing the total amount of nitrogen in the legume crop, the proportion of nitrogen derived from N2 fixation, the proportion of legume N mineralized, and the efficiency with which this mineral N is utilized is required to increase the contribution of legume nitrogen to a subsequent crop. Unfortunately, it is not always possible to optimize these values.

Summary and Conclusion
Intercropping is a popular agricultural method that involves growing two or more crop species in the same cultivated area at the same time. Cereal-legume intercropping is an old and frequent practice in developing nations, and it might be one of the most important factors in ensuring sustainable agriculture and food security for resource-constrained farmers. In developing countries, major intercropping systems such as mixed, row, strip, and relay intercropping are used. The
developing world’s key hurdles in meeting their food and nutritional needs include high population growth and shortage of land resources. In addition, low agricultural output in developing countries is caused by declining soil fertility, limited access to resources for impoverished farmers, weeds, drought, and nutrient mining. Cereal-legume intercropping has a number of advantages, including improved resource efficiency, reduced soil erosion, increased soil fertility, weed and pest control, increased yield production on limited cultivated land, risk reduction for poor farmers, and higher income per unit of labor during times of labor scarcity. The choice of suitable crop species, planting/establishment time, knowing the physiology of the crop species, their growth habit, canopy and root architecture, plant population density, and water and nutrient usage efficiency are the primary elements that influence intercropping. Crop productivity and efficiency per unit area of land have been measured using a variety of competitive indicators. Land Equivalent Ratio, Area Time Equivalent Ratio, Aggressively, Relative crowding coefficient, Competitive ratio, and Actual yield loss are the most frequent competitive index approaches. Generally intercropping should be widely used in developing nations to provide agricultural sustainability, food security, and nutrition.
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