



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)

2024; 7(6): 472-476

Received: 06-04-2024

Accepted: 10-05-2024

**Arpita Singh**

M.Sc. (Ag) Scholar, Soil Science,  
FASAI, Rama University, Kanpur,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Raghvendra Singh**

Assistant Professor, FASAI, Rama  
University, Kanpur, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Aneeta Yadav**

Associate Professor, FASAI, Rama  
University, Kanpur, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Durgesh Kumar Maurya**

Assistant Professor, FASAI, Rama  
University, Kanpur, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Ravikesh Kumar Pal**

Assistant Professor, FASAI, Rama  
University, Kanpur, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Sarvesh Kumar**

Assistant Professor, FASAI, Rama  
University, Kanpur, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**Raghvendra Singh**

Assistant Professor, FASAI, Rama  
University, Kanpur, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

## Effect of nano fertilisers on nutrient availability, growth and yield of wheat

**Arpita Singh, Raghvendra Singh, Aneeta Yadav, Durgesh Kumar Maurya, Ravikesh Kumar Pal and Sarvesh Kumar**

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i6g.908>

### Abstract

The field experiment was carried on the topic Effect of nano fertilizers on nutrient availability, growth and yield of wheat. The experiment was conducted on wheat variety Karan Vandana with six treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub> (RDF (120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O), T<sub>2</sub> [Recommended dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>& K<sub>2</sub>O+ (50% RDN in one spray +2 spray of Nano Urea)], T<sub>3</sub> [100% N through FYM], T<sub>4</sub> [100%N through Vermicompost], T<sub>5</sub> [50% RDF+25% Vermicompost] and T<sub>6</sub> [75% RDF+ 25% Vermicompost] in RBD replicated three times. The treatment combination consists of FYM, doses of fertilizers and Vermicompost. The study it is concluded that among the different treatments T<sub>2</sub> [Recommended dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+50% RDN +Nano Urea (2 spray) was found to be the significant treatment in increasing the crop growth, straw yield, plant height, no. of branches per plant, straw yield, grain yield as well as highest benefit: cost ratio of wheat.

**Keywords:** Nanotechnology, vermicompost, nutrient

### Introduction

Nanotechnology is one of the cornerstone technologies in the 21st century. In traditional fertilizers, there is a huge loss of fertilizers by various manners like drift, leaching, runoff, microbial degradation, hydrolysis and photolysis. Bioaccessibility of nutrients to plant is much lower relative to the rate of conventional fertilizers applied. It also gives rise to air and water adulteration. Nutrient use efficiency for standard fertilizers rarely surpasses 30-40% i.e., NUE of conventional nitrogen (30-35%), phosphorus (18-20%), and potassium (35-40%) (Shang *et al.* 2019) <sup>[1]</sup>. Nano fertilizer nutrient use efficiency (NUE) is high as it is delivered to the site according to the crop demand. Nano fertilizer nutrient release character is according to the crop absorption rate, unlike conventional fertilizer in which nutrient release rate is substantial than crop absorption rate, causing low fertilizer use efficiency and environmental jeopardy (Shang *et al.* 2019) <sup>[1]</sup>. The engineered nano fertilizers improve crop nutrition more healthily through their unique nutrient releasing property. Concomitantly this can vanquish disease by upgrading plant's safeguarding ability (Adisa *et al.* 2019) <sup>[17]</sup>. The slow and balanced nutrient discharging style of nano fertilizers contributes to the availability of nutrients to crops for more than 35 days (Thirunavukkarasu *et al.* 2015) <sup>[7]</sup>.

Nano fertilizers facilitate slow and continues release of nutrients and thus reduce the loss of nutrients and enha Nano fertilizers improve crop growth, yield and quality which also increase nutrient use efficiency, reduce wastage of fertilizers and cost of cultivation. These are very effective for nutrient management in precision agriculture and may provide nutrient throughout the crop growth period. (Meena Dharam Singh *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[4]</sup>.

The use of nano-fertilizers causes an increase in nutrients use efficiency, reduces soil toxicity, minimizes the negative effects associated with over dosage and reduces the frequency of the application. Thus, nanotechnology has a high potential for achieving sustainable agriculture, (A. Qureshi *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[3]</sup>.

In India, Indian Farmers Fertilizer Cooperative Limited (IFFCO), 1st time launched foliar Nano fertilizers developed at IFFCO Nano Biotechnology Research Centre (NBRC), Kalol, Gujarat.

The core of nanotechnology is nanomaterial with up to 100-nanometer particle size and high absorption due to its unique property i.e., high surface area to volume ratio. Nano fertilizer has lower runoff of fertilizers (80%) and surface area 10000 times more than traditional fertilizer (Anonymous 2019a) <sup>[53]</sup>. Nano fertilizers can potentially reduce volatilization and leaching losses, so the negative impact of fertilizers on the environment can be decreased to a large extent (Zulfiqar *et al.* 2019) <sup>[9]</sup>. The nutrients makeup in nano fertilizers is in one of three-techniques either the nutrients stay within the nanomaterials like nanotubes, nanoporous substance or enveloped with a fine shielding polymer film or furnished as particle or emulsion of the nanoscale attribute (Derosa *et al.* 2010) <sup>[10]</sup>. Nutrient liberation from nano fertilizers aligns with the plant's demand, inhibiting the untimely transformation of nutrients to their chemical or gaseous form. In this context, nano fertilizers have a colossal role in bringing down the losses of nutrients from fertilizers.

Crops utilize lower than 50% of the total applied conventional N, P and K fertilizer result in loss by 40-70, 80-90, and 50-90%, respectively (Zulfiqar *et al.* 2019) <sup>[9]</sup>. These losses have a more significant impact on soil micro biodiversity and soil fertility. Nano fertilizers are now termed smart fertilizers" because of their potential to reduce conventional fertilizer dose and diminish environmental menace due to the intelligent nutrient delivery mechanism. Because of its slow releasing property, it can release and make nutrients" bioavailability to plant for an extended period, unlike conventional fertilizer, which releases nutrient rapidly and thus finishes it in a short duration.

The use of nano-fertilizers cause an increase in nutrients use efficiency, reduces soil toxicity, minimizes the negative effects associated with over dosage and reduces the frequency of the application. Thus, nano technology has a high potential for achieving sustainable agriculture, (A. Qureshi *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[3]</sup>.

### Experimental findings

Results of the present investigation entitled "Effect of nano fertilizers on Nutrients availability, growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)" conduct on several quantitative and qualitative aspect are covered during *rabi* season of 2023-2024 has been described in this chapter through, appropriate data tables, bardigrams and graphical representations and discussed through scientific explanations. The laboratory's research was conducted at the Faculty of Agricultura Sciences and Allied Industries of the Rama University in Kanpur. The results of the study have been presented and discussed under the following headings:

#### Plant Height (cm)

Plant height of wheat was remarkably influenced at all four growth stages recorded at (30, 60, 90, 120 DAS and at harvest stage) by doses of nano fertilizers during the experiment. The data pertaining to plant height (cm) is presented in Table 4.1 and in Fig. 4.1. Plant height of wheat increased progressively with growth of crop. Data showed that plant height increased with the advancement in crop age up to 120 DAS and this increase was rapid during early crop growth period.

A significant effect of use of nano fertilizers was observed on the plant height of wheat at 60, 90, and 120 DAS while at 30DAS it was non-significant. Maximum plant height (73.75, 83.35 and 94.51) were recorded in T<sub>5</sub> at 60, 90, 120 DAS respectively, which was significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The increase in plant height might be due to better nourishment by nano fertilizers. Plant height is the resultant vegetative and

reproductive development of plants. Similar results were also reported by Ghosh and Malic

#### Dry Matter Accumulation (g/m<sup>2</sup>)

Data of dry matter accumulation of wheat was remarkably influenced at all four stages (30, 60, 90, and 120 DAS and harvest stage) by doses of nano fertilizers during the experiment have been given in Table 4.2 and Fig. 4.2. Dry matter accumulation of wheat plant increased remarkably with growth of crop.

At early stage of 30 DAS of growth showed non-significant difference in doses of nano fertilizers. However, in advanced growth stage, dry matter accumulation were significantly affected by dose of nano fertilizers at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and harvest stage. Maximum Dry matter accumulation (385.20, 705.80, and 929.00) were recorded in T<sub>5</sub> [Recommended PK +50% N+2 spray of Nano N +Nano Zn (1 spray) +NanoCu (1spray)] at 60, 90, 120 DAS, respectively which was significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> treatments. The increase in Dry matter accumulation might be due to better nutrition and availability of nutrients by nano fertilizers. The increase in dry matter accumulation might be due to cumulative vigorous growth which in turn put forth more photosynthetic surface, chlorophyll formation, biomass and more nutrient uptake. Increased crop growth rate is attributed to higher drymatter accumulation at periodic intervals.

Similar findings were reported by Mosanna and Berherozyar (2015) <sup>[2]</sup>, Hafeez *et al.* (2015) <sup>[26]</sup> and Benzon *et al.* (2015) <sup>[24]</sup>.

#### Leaf Area Index

The data of leaf area index of wheat was remarkably influenced at three stages (30, 60, 90 DAS) have been presented in Table4.4 and depicted in fig 4.4. Leaf area index of wheat plant increased remarkably with growth of crop. The leaf area index increased with the advancement of the crop age upto 90 DAS and thereafter, it decreased. At 30DAS, the effect of treatments on leaf area index was non-significant. Maximum leaf area index 4.75, 4.50 and 3.20 was recorded at 60, 90 and 120 DAS, respectively under T<sub>5</sub> treatment which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The increase in leaf area index might be due to better nourishment by nano fertilizers (Dhoke *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[57]</sup> also reported similar findings.

#### Number of Tillers meter-2

The data on number of tillers has been presented in Table4.5 and depicted in Fig. 4.5. The number of tillers/meter<sup>-2</sup> increased with the advancement of crop up to 90 DAS and the maximum increase was observed between 30 and 60 DAS. The maximum number of tillers/m<sup>-2</sup> were (409.0, 414.60 and 406.20 with T<sub>5</sub> at 60, 90 and 120 DAS respectively, which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments there was no. At 30DAS Significant difference among the treatments. The increase in number of tillers might be due to better utilization of nutrients by nano fertilizers application that increased with the advancement in crop age and this increase was rapid during early crop growth period. Nano-fertilizers induced increased activity of chloroplast that provide possible underlying mechanism for enhanced growth and increase in number of tillers (Hong *et al.*, 2005) <sup>[58]</sup>.

#### Leaf Area Index

The data of leaf area index of wheat was remarkably influenced at three stages (30, 60, 90 DAS) have been presented. Leaf area index of wheat plant increased remarkably with growth of crop.

The leaf area index increased with the advancement of the crop age up to 90DAS and thereafter, it decreased. At 30 DAS, the effect of treatments on leaf area index was non-significant. Maximum leaf area index 4.75, 4.50 and 3.20 was recorded at 60,90 and 120 DAS, respectively under T<sub>5</sub> treatment which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The increase in leaf area index might be due to better nourishment by nano-fertilizers (Dhoke *et al.*, 2013) [57] also reported similar findings.

### Results and Discussion

Number of effective tillers/meter<sup>2</sup> given in Table 4.6 and illustrated in Fig. 4.6 revealed that effective tillers/meter<sup>2</sup> increased significantly with the application of different treatments. Maximum effective tiller/meter<sup>2</sup> was 13.22 under T<sub>2</sub> treatment which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. This might be due to better utilization of nano fertilizers. Further, nano-fertilizers are considered the biological pump for the plants to absorb nutrients and water (Ma *et al.*, 2009) [59].

### Economics

The treatment wise economic returns were worked out with the help of operating Cost of individual treatments and the cost of production. The data obtained have been presented in the Table 4.14. Variation on gross returns and net returns has been founded by application of treatments. The common cost of cultivation Rs. 29372/- was added for the all treatments for calculation of cost of cultivation. All the treatments gain higher gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio over T<sub>5</sub> treatment. The maximum gross return of Rs. 104020/ha was obtained with T<sub>5</sub> treatment and lowest gross income Rs. 85450/ha with T<sub>1</sub>. Highest net return Rs. 73368/- was followed by T<sub>3</sub> (Rs. 65784/ha). However, lowest net return of (Rs. 56078/ha) was found with T<sub>1</sub>. Highest benefit cost ration (2.39) was recorded with T<sub>5</sub> followed T<sub>3</sub> (2.14) and T<sub>4</sub> (2.01) treatments, respectively. Whereas minimum B-C ratio was recorded (1.90) with T<sub>1</sub> treatment. Similar findings were also observed by Kumar *et al.* (2014) [37].

### Summary

An experiment entitled, "Effect of Nano Fertilizer on Nutrient Availability Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)" was conducted during the *rabi* season of 2023-24. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 6 treatments viz. T<sub>1</sub>: RDF (120: 60: 40) kg/ha-1 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O T<sub>2</sub>: Recommended dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> & K<sub>2</sub>O +50% RDN in one spray through Nano Urea respectively (2 spray) +2 spray of nano N. T<sub>3</sub> 100% N through FYM T<sub>4</sub>: 100% N through Vermicompost T<sub>5</sub> 50 RDF + 25% Vermicompost + 25% FYM T<sub>6</sub> 75% RDF +25% Vermicompost The soil of the experimental field was silt loam in texture, low in organic carbon, available nitrogen, potassium, phosphorous, zinc and copper. The wheat variety Karan Vandana was sown in the experiment.

Full dose of PandK along with half of N were applied as basal dose at the time of sowing through inorganic source of nutrients viz. Urea, DAP and MOP, respectively and remaining two half was applied later on. Foliar forms of Nano-N, Cu and Zn were applied as per treatments. Irrigation was applied at regular intervals in wheat as per need. All recommended package and practices *vis a vis* plant protection were uniformly followed in all the treatments. The salient findings of the present investigation have been summarized in this chapter.

1. Application of nano fertilizers had a well pronounced effect on plant height, leaf area index, number of tillers meter<sup>-2</sup>, dry matter accumulation, leaf area, of wheat crop at almost

all growth stages. All these parameters recorded significantly higher in treatment T<sub>2</sub> over T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. However, T<sub>2</sub> was almost at par with rest of the treatments.

2. The nano fertilizer treatments applied in wheat showed effects on yield attributes. The significantly higher number of effective tillers per meter<sup>-2</sup> were noted in T<sub>5</sub> while length of spike, number of grains per spike and test weight were non-significant. Grain, straw and biological yield were significantly superior in T<sub>5</sub> over rest of the treatments. Lowest yield was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (RDF (120:60: 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O).
3. Among the various nano fertilizer treatments, the maximum available nitrogen,
4. Phosphorus and potassium were recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (RDF (120:60:40kg/ha-1 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O) which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Maximum available Zn and Cu were found under T<sub>5</sub> treatment. However, as regards the available micronutrients, different treatments could not affect significantly. As regard the bulk density, pH, EC and OC different treatments could not affect significantly.
5. Higher gross returns, net return and benefit cost ratio were recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (T<sub>2</sub>+ NanoZn (1 spray) +NanoCu (1 spray) whereas, the lowest gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio were recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (RDF (120:60: 40 kg/ha<sup>-1</sup> N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O).

### Conclusion

On the basis of one year study, it is concluded that among the different nano fertilizers, treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Recommended PK+50% N+ Nano N (2 spray)+NanoZn (1 spray) +NanoCu (1 spray) was found to be the best treatment in increasing the crop growth, yield as well as h Morales, D.A.B., Ortega, O.A.; Maldonado, Pliego, C.G.M. and Mendoza, A.B. (2017). Application of nano-elements in plant nutrition and its impact in ecosystem. *Adv. Nat. Sci. Nanosci. Nano technol.* 8: 013001.

### References

1. Duhan JS, Kamara R, Kumara NN, Kaura P, Nehrab K, Duhan S. Nanotechnology: The new perspective in precision agriculture. *Bio-tech. Reports.* 2017;15:11-23.
2. Mosanna R, Behrozyar EK. Morpho-physiological response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to zinc nano-chelate foliar and soil application at different growth stages. *J New Biol Rep.* 2015;4(1):46-50.
3. Qureshi A, Singh DK, Dwivedi S. Nano-fertilizers: A novel way for enhancing nutrient use efficiency and crop productivity. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App Sci.* 2018;7(2):3325-3335.
4. Singh MD. Nano-fertilizers is a new way to increase nutrients use efficiency in crop production. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.* 2017;9(7):0975-3710.
5. Jyothi TV, Hebsur NS. Effect of nano-fertilizers on growth and yield of selected cereals-A review. *Agric. Rev.* 2017;38(2):112-120.
6. Solanki P, Bhargava A, Chhipa H, Jain N, Panwar J. Nano fertilizers and their smart delivery system. In: *Nano-fertilizers: Opportunities and challenges.* Springer International Publishing Switzerland; c2015. p. 81-102.
7. Thirunavukkarasu M, Manikandan A, Subramanian KS, Rahale CS. Nanofertilizers for balanced crop nutrition. *Nanotech Food Agric.* 2015. DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-14024-7-3.
8. Naderi M, Shahraki A. Nano-fertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.*



- 2013;5(19):2229-2232.
9. Zulfikar F, Navarro M, Ashraf MA, Akram NA, Bosch S. Nanofertiliser use for sustainable agriculture: advantages and limitations. *Plant Sci*; c2019, 289. DOI:10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110270.
  10. Derosa MC, Monreal C, Schnitzer M. Nanotechnology in fertilizers. *Nat Nanotech*; c2010. DOI:10.1038/nnano.2010.2.
  11. Shang Y, Hasan MK, Ahammed GT, Li M, Yin H, Zhoo J. Applications of nanotechnology in plant growth and crop protection: a review. *Molecules*. 2019;24:2558.
  12. Das A, Das B. Nanotechnology a potential tool to mitigate abiotic stress in crop plants. *Abio Bio Stress Plants*; c2019. DOI:10.5772/intechopen.83562.
  13. Jian L, Zhang YD, Zhang ZM. Study on application of nanometer biotechnology on the yield and quality of winter wheat. *J Anhui Agric Sci*. 2008;36:15578-15580.
  14. Tarafdar JC, Raliya R, Mahawar H, Rathore I. Development of Zinc nano-fertilizer to enhance crop production in Pearl-Millet. CAZRI Jodhpur; c2014. Full length research article.
  15. Farnia A, Omidi M. Effect of Nano-Zinc chelate and nano-biofertilizer on yield and yield components of maize (*Zea mays* L.), Under Water Stress Condition. *Indian J Nat Sci*; c2015, 5(29).
  16. Asadzade N, Moosavi SG, Seghatoleslami MJ. Effect of low irrigation and Zn and SiO<sub>2</sub> nano-fertilizers and conventional fertilizers on morphophysiological traits and seed yield of Sunflower. *Biol Forum Int. J.* 2015;7(1):357-364.
  17. Adisa IO, Venkata RP, Peraltavidea JR, Dimpka CO, Elmer WH, Torresdey JL, *et al.* Recent advances in Nano enabled fertilizers and pesticides: a critical review of mechanisms of action. *Environ Sci Nano*; c2019. DOI:10.1039/C9EN00265K.
  18. Parthasarathy A, Cross PJ, Dobson RCJ, Adams LE, Savika MA, Hudson AO. A Three-Ring Circus: Metabolism of the Three Protogenic Aromatic Amino Acids and their role in the health of plants and animals. *Front Mol Biosci*; c2018. DOI:10.3389/fmolb.2018.00029.
  19. Mohanraj J, Subramanian KS, Lakshmanan A. Role of nano-fertilizer on greenhouse gas emission in rice soil ecosystem. *Madras Agri Res*. 2019;106:657-663.
  20. Seleiman MF, Almutairi KF, Alotaibi M, Shami A, Alhammad BA, Battaglia ML. Nano-Fertilization as an Emerging Fertilization Technique: Why Can Modern Agriculture Benefit from Its Use? *Plants*; c2020. DOI:10.3390/plants10010002.
  21. Sharma PV, Thaker H. Demand for fertilizers in India: determinants and outlook for 2020. IIMA working papers W.P No.2011-04-01.
  22. Poornima R, Koti RV. Effect of nano zinc oxide on growth, yield and grain zinc content of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *J Pharmacogn Phytochem*. 2019;8(4):727-731.
  23. Mosanna R, Behrozyar EK. Morpho-physiological response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to zinc nano-chelate foliar and soil application at different growth stages. *J New Biol Rep*. 2015;4(1):46-50.
  24. Benzon H, Rubenecia M, Ultra V, Lee S. Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development, and chemical properties of rice. *Int J Agron Agric Res (IJAAR)*. 2015;7(1):105-117.
  25. Kumar R, Pandey DS, Singh VP, Singh IP. Nano-technology for better fertilizer use (Research Experiences at Pantnagar). *Research Bulletin no*; c2014.
  26. Hafeez A, Razzaq A, Mahmood T, Jhanzab HM. Potential of copper nanoparticles to increase growth and yield of wheat. *J Nanosci Adv Technol*. 2015;1(1):6-11.
  27. Asadzade N, Moosavi SG, Seghatoleslami MJ. Effect of low irrigation and Zn and SiO<sub>2</sub> nano-fertilizers and conventional fertilizers on morphophysiological traits and seed yield of Sunflower. *Biol Forum Int. J.* 2015;7(1):357-364.
  28. Farnia A, Omidi M. Effect of Nano-Zinc chelate and nano-biofertilizer on yield and yield components of maize (*Zea mays* L.), Under Water Stress Condition. *Indian J Nat Sci*; c2015, 5(29).
  29. Mondal T. Chemical Management of Weeds in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): A.
  30. Singh BV, Rana NS, Kurdekar AK, Verma A, Saini Y, Sachan DS, *et al.* Effect of nano and non-nano nutrients on content, uptake and NUE of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int J Environ Clim Change*. 2023;13(7):551-558.
  31. Salama HSA, Badry HH. Effect of partial substitution of bulk urea by nanoparticle urea fertilizer on productivity and nutritive value of teosinte varieties. *Agron Res*. 2020;18:2568-80.
  32. Rajonee AA, Zaman S, Huq SMI. Preparation, characterization and evaluation of efficacy of phosphorus and potassium incorporated nano fertilizer. *Adv Nanoparticles*. 2017;6:62-74.
  33. Khaledian MS, Mohammadi K, Javaheri M. Grain yield and yield components of soybean affected by integrated fertilization methods. *Int. J Agric For*. 2014;4(3):1-3.
  34. Pawar A, Chauhan MR, Gawade P. Effect of crotonylidene di-urea on yield and quality of banana on inceptisol. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2017;6(5):2171-2180.
  35. Kumar A, Kumar M, Kumar N. Response of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.)- wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cropping system. *Int. J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2017;6(9):1386-1390.
  36. Rakshit R, Patra AK, Purakayastha TJ, Singh RD, Pathak H, Shiva Dhar. Effect of super-optimal dose of NPK fertilizers on nutrient harvest index, uptake and soil fertility levels in wheat crop under a maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Int. J Bioresour Stress Manage*. 2015;6(1):15-23.
  37. Kumar R, Pandey DS, Singh VP, Singh IP. Nano-technology for better fertilizer use (Research Experiences at Pantnagar). *Res Bull no*. 201; c2014.
  38. Adhikari T, Kundu S, Meena V, Rao A. Utilization of nano rock phosphate by maize (*Zea mays* L.) crop in a vertisol of central India. *J Agric Sci Technol*. 2014;4:384-394.
  39. Mehta S, Bharat R. Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2019;8(12):598-606.
  40. Mehta S. Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano-fertilizers on quality and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). M.Sc. Thesis, Sher-eKashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu. Available from: <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810059042>. Accessed July 31, 2020.
  41. Kolencik M, Ernst D, Komar M, Urik M, Sebesta M, Dobrocka E, *et al.* Effect of Foliar Spray Application of Zinc oxide nanoparticle on Quantitative, Nutritional, and Physiological Parameters of Foxtail Millet under Field Conditions. *Nanomaterials*. 2019;9:1559. DOI:10.3390/nano9111559.

42. Khuzai AHG, Al-Juthery HWA. Effect of DAP Fertilizer Source and Nano Fertilizers (Silicon and Complete) Spray on Some Growth and Yield Indicators of Rice. *Earth Environ Sci.* 2020;553(1):012008. DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/553/1/012008.
43. Villagomez EM, Tellez LI, Merino FC, Villa MS, Garcia PS, Mendez MAG. Nano phosphorus fertilizer stimulates growth and photosynthetic activity and improves p status in rice. *J Nanomater*; c2019. DOI/10.1155/2019/5368027.
44. Anonymous Package of Practices for Rabi Crops. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India; c2020h. p. 8-9.
45. Marzouk NM, Alrahman HAA, Tanhay ANM, Mohmaoud SH. Impact of foliar spraying of nano micronutrient fertilizers on the growth, yield, physical quality, and nutritional value of two snap bean cultivars in sandy soils. *Bull Natl Res Cent*; c2019. doi.org/10.1186/s42269-019-0127-5.
46. Mehta S. Effect integrated use of nano and nonnano fertilizers on quality and productivity of wheat. M.Sc. thesis, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India; c2017.
47. Sharma MK. Study on application of bio-nano P,K and Zn to wheat. In: 18th IFFCO Chair Professor Conference; c2019. p. 41-42. CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar, India.
48. Singh BV, Rana NS, Kurdekar AK, Verma A, Saini Y, Sachan DS, *et al.* Effect of nano and non-nano nutrients on content, uptake and NUE of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J Environ Clim Change.* 2023;13(7):551-558.
49. Manikandan A, Subramanian KS. Evaluation of zeolite based nitrogen nano- fertilizers on maize growth, yield and quality on inceptisols and alfisols. *Int. J Plant Soil Sci.* 2016;9(4):1-9.
50. Hanon Mohsen K, Alrubaiee SH, ALfarjawi TM. Response of wheat varieties, *Triticum aestivum* L., to spraying by iron nano-fertilizer. *Caspian J Environ Sci.* 2022;20(4):775-783.
51. Yuvaraj M, Subramanian KS. Development of slow release Zn fertilizer using nano-zeolite as carrier. *J Plant Nutr.* 2018;41(3):311-320.
52. Directorate of wheat Research Database 2018.
53. Anonymous XVII IFFCO chair professors conference. pp 11/13, IFFCO sadan, New Delhi, India; c2019a.
54. Anonymous 2019b [circot.res.in/en/icar-circot-nanofertilizer-technology](http://circot.res.in/en/icar-circot-nanofertilizer-technology).
55. Anonymous 2020f <http://www.croptonutrition.com>.
56. Anonymous 2020g <http://iclfertilizers.com>.
57. Dhoke SK, Mahajan P, Kamble R, Khanna A. Effect of nanoparticles suspension on the growth of mung (*Vigna radiata*) seedlings by foliar spray method. *Nanotechnology development.* 2013 Feb 5;3(1):e1.
58. Hong HA, Duc LH, Cutting SM. The use of bacterial spore formers as probiotics. *FEMS microbiology reviews.* 2005 Sep 1;29(4):813-35.
59. Ma J, Saul LK, Savage S, Voelker GM. Identifying suspicious URLs: an application of large-scale online learning. In: *Proceedings of the 26th annual international conference on machine learning*; c2009 Jun 14. p. 681-688.