

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(5): 733-736 Received: 16-02-2024 Accepted: 20-03-2024

### DR Singh

Professor & Head, Department of Horticulture, P.G. College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Mahendra Pratap

Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, P.G. College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield attributes, yield and chemical characters of mango (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Amrapali

# **DR Singh and Mahendra Pratap**

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i5j.770

#### Abstract

An experiment on "Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and Chemical characters of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali " was conducted at Main Experiment Station, Department of Horticulture, Post Graduate College Ghazipur (U.P.) during the year 2021-2024. in Randomized Block Design with eight treatments i.e. Control (water spray), ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, Borax 0.5%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5% and FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5% in three replications and considering one plants as a unit. The observations were recorded for yield, Physical and chemical attributes of mango fruit. Maximum fruit retention per cent, fruit yield (kg/tree), and minimum fruit drop were recorded with the application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5% followed by ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +Borax 0.5%. All the physico-chemical characters were influenced by foliar spray of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, Borax 0.5%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +Borax 0.5%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +Borax 0.5%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +Borax 0.5% followed by ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +Borax 0.5% followed by ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% +Borax 0.5%.

Keywords: Foliar application, micronutrients, yield attributes, yield, chemical, Mangifera indica L.

### Introduction

India is the world's top mango producer, contributing 44% of the crop worldwide. There are promising opportunities to establish a thriving export business for this fruit, as well as its byproducts, such as bottled mango juice and canned mango slices, to other nations. As of right now, mangoes are exported to around 20 countries, while its goods are shipped to more than 40. The current outcome, though, is far too little. Thus, through the export of fresh mangoes and mango products, mango can bring in a sizable amount of foreign cash for India. Large areas exist where the cultivation of this desirable fruit could be explored and expanded in a way that is both profitable and beneficial. Numerous tropical, subtropical, and temperate fruit crops have demonstrated the effectiveness of micronutrients in promoting fruit set growth and development, controlling fruit drops, promoting fruit maturation and quality, and overcoming physiological and nutritional disorders. For the ovule to mature properly, the pollen tube to enlarge, and the number of fruit sets to rise, boron is essential. It facilitates calcium absorption and promotes effective calcium usage in plants. It also aids in the production of proteins. Boron affects a variety of plant processes, including hormone transport, the absorption of activated salts, flowering and fruiting processes, and pollen germination. Because of its effects on the directionality of pollen tube formation, it appears to be crucial for obtaining a satisfactory fruit set. [12] The "Costate" persimmon had an increase in fruit weight as a result of boric acid foliar spraying. Processes include protein synthesis, sugar transport, and carbohydrate metabolism involving the element boron. Fruit development and growth depend significantly on zinc. It is helpful for photosynthetic activity because it is one of the components needed to make chlorophyll. Zinc has an early and distinct impact on protein synthesis, nucleic acid metabolism, and cell division. It is a cofactor for more than 300 enzymes and proteins. Being involved in numerous enzymatic activities, it is a crucial trace element for plants and is required for healthy

Corresponding Author: Mahendra Pratap

Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, P.G. College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India growth and development. Moreover, zinc controls how proteins and carbohydrates are metabolized. Furthermore, when zinc sulphate was administered foliarly rather than soil-wise, mango plants absorbed zinc at a higher rate. The benefits of zinc applied topically in boosting mango yield and enhancing fruit quality in terms of TSS and total sugars were mentioned. When it comes to the development of flavoprotein, iron is crucial. Improved Fe poly flavonoid activity promotes pigment production, including that of carotenoids and xanthophylls. Iron has a role in redox processes, or the transfer of electrons, which include cytochromes. During electron transfer, it oxidizes reversibly from Fe2+ to Fe3+ in this capacity. In the synthesis of numerous enzymes, including chlorophyll, iron serves as a catalyst. It is essential to several respiration, photosynthesis, and nitrate and sulphate reduction reactions.

# **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation entitled "Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and quality of mango (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Amrapali" was carried out at the Main Experiment Station, Department of Horticulture, P.G. College Ghazipur, under Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University Jaunpur (U.P.) during the year 2020 -2024. The experimental participants in this study were 16-year-old, uniform mango plants that were separated by  $2.5 \times 2.5$  m. In order to examine the effects of these treatments on the yield attributing characteristics, yield, and chemical characteristics of the Amrapali variety of mango, eight treatments total-seven micronutrients-were tested in a randomized block design with three replications: ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, Borax 0.5%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%, and FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5% along with the control. Fruit length, fruit width, fruit volume, fruit stone weight, pulp weight, pulp stone ratio, fruit drop, and fruit quantity per shoot are all noted.

# Results and Discussion A. Yield Attributes and yield of mango

Tables -1, -2, -3, and 4 show the weight, pulp weight, stone weight, and ratio of the mango fruit. These measurements clearly show that the application of  $T_8$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%) was found to be significant and increased all these parameters. The control group followed the recommended minimum pulp weight. The weight growth may be due to an increase in the absorption of water and nutrients, as well as an expansion of the intercellular spaces in the pulp. These kinds of outcomes align with the reported by Vejendla *et al.* (2008) [10], who showed that spraying ZnSO<sub>4</sub> at 0.75% increased pulp (71.90%) in mango cv. Amrapali. and also, Moazzam *et al.* 

(2011) <sup>[5]</sup> observed that foliar application of 0.4% FeSO<sub>4</sub> + 0.8%  $H_3BO_3$  + 0.8%  $ZnSO_4$  resulted in maximum pulp weight and less stone weight compared to control.

Table 1: Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on fruit weight.

Treatments	Fruit weight (g)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	142.19	144.01	143.1
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	165.18	167.3	166.24
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	155.08	157.07	156.075
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	163.48	165.58	164.53
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	158.25	160.28	159.265
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	189.48	191.91	190.695
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	173.99	176.23	175.11
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%	201.05	203.64	202.345
S.Em ±	4.233	3.814	4.0235
CD at 5%	12.84	11.569	12.2045
CV	4.349	3.869	4.109

Table 2: Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on pulp weight.

Treatments	Pulp weight (g)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	91.53	91.89	91.71
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	111.47	111.91	111.69
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	96.94	97.32	97.13
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	103.19	103.6	103.395
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	100.41	100.8	100.605
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	125.75	126.25	126
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	117.81	118.27	118.04
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	132.84	133.36	133.1
S. Em ±	2.616	2.437	2.5265
CD at 5%	7.934	7.391	7.6625
CV	4.119	3.822	3.9705

**Table 3:** Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on stone weight.

Treatments	Stone weight (g)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	24.58	24.85	24.715
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	26.28	26.57	26.425
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	25.33	25.62	25.475
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	25.72	26.01	25.865
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	25.31	25.6	25.455
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	28.68	29	28.84
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	28.22	28.54	28.38
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	29.1	29.42	29.26
S. Em ±	0.586	0.657	0.6215
CD at 5%	1.778	1.992	1.885
CV	3.809	4.22	4.0145

Table 4: Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on pulp: stone ratio

Treatments	Pulp: stone ratio		
1 reauments	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	3.72	3.7	3.71
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	4.24	4.21	4.225
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	3.83	3.8	3.815
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	4.01	3.98	3.995
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	3.97	3.94	3.955
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	4.38	4.35	4.365
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	4.17	4.14	4.155
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%	4.56	4.53	4.545
S. Em ±	0.091	0.089	0.09
CD at 5%	0.277	0.271	0.274
CV	3.843	3.794	3.8185

**Table 5:** Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on fruit yield.

Treatments	Fruit yield(kg/tree)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	17.4	17.73	17.565
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	23.33	23.78	23.555
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	18.59	18.95	18.77
T4: Borax 0.5%	21.87	22.29	22.08
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	21.19	21.6	21.395
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	24.54	25.02	24.78
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	23.78	24.24	24.01
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	25.24	25.73	25.485
S. Em ±	0.525	0.488	0.5065
CD at 5%	1.593	1.481	1.537
CV	4.136	3.733	3.9345

# B. Chemical character of fruit

The maximum accumulation of total soluble solids (TSS) content in mango fruits was created by a  $T_8$  total soluble solids content spray (FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%), whereas the control, as shown in Table 6, produced the lowest TSS content. It's possible that the fruit's total soluble solids (TSS) content rose because nutrients were needed for photosynthesis, which produced an accumulation of carbohydrates. This particularly applies to mango fruit. A suitable amount of zinc acted as a catalyst for the oxidation process and increased the auxin level. The increase in total soluble solids may have resulted from increased carbon absorption prompted by the injection of boric acid.

**Table 6:** Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on Total Soluble Solids content.

Treatments	Total Soluble Solids (0Brix)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	17.31	17.35	17.33
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	19.94	19.98	19.96
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	17.77	17.8	17.785
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	18.43	18.47	18.45
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	18.3	18.34	18.32
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	20.95	21	20.975
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	20.59	20.63	20.61
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	21.13	21.17	21.15
S. Em ±	0.478	0.434	0.456
CD at 5%	1.449	1.317	1.383
CV	4.287	3.887	4.087

Table 7: Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on fruit acidity.

Treatments	Acidity per cent		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	0.185	0.187	0.186
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	0.155	0.157	0.156
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	0.18	0.182	0.181
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	0.166	0.168	0.167
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	0.172	0.174	0.173
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	0.142	0.144	0.143
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	0.155	0.157	0.156
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	0.134	0.136	0.135
S. Em ±	0.004	0.004	0.004
CD at 5%	0.011	0.012	0.0115
CV	3.972	4.307	4.1395

The results are in good agreement with those of Yadav *et al.* (2004) <sup>[11]</sup>, they discovered that the highest TSS0Brix was produced by foliar spraying GA3 (1, 30 and 45 ppm), zinc (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%), and iron (0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%) in conjunction with control on chemical characteristics in ber fruits cv.

Banarasi. Vashistha et al. (2010) [9] achieved the maximum Total Soluble Solid by topically applying urea at 1%, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> at 0.4%, and borax at 0.4%. Bakshi et al. (2013) [1] topically administered 0.6% ZnSO<sub>4</sub> to the strawberry cultivar Chandler in order to get the highest TSS 0 Brix. Conversely, when treated with water spray (control), mango fruit (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Amrapali displayed the lowest TSS. Data on fruit acid content is provided in Table-7. Analysing the results showed that all treatments greatly decreased the fruit pulp's acidity when compared to the control. The different treatments applied have a significant impact on the amount of acidity present in mango fruits. T<sub>8</sub> displayed the lowest percentage of acidity with the foliar application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%, while the control treatment displayed the highest. Nutrients applied topically reduce the acidity of fruits. This event could be the result of a simultaneous increase in the translocation of carbohydrates and a metabolic conversion via the reversal of the glycolytic route used in respiration from acidity to sugar.

Table 8: Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on total sugars.

Treatments	Total sugars (%)		
	2021-22	2022-23	Average
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (Water spray)	13.62	13.67	13.645
T <sub>2</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	16.28	16.34	16.31
T <sub>3</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	14.29	14.35	14.32
T <sub>4</sub> : Borax 0.5%	15.71	15.78	15.745
T <sub>5</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1%	15.25	15.31	15.28
T <sub>6</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	17.13	17.21	17.17
T <sub>7</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%	16.78	16.85	16.815
T <sub>8</sub> : FeSO <sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%	17.54	17.62	17.58
S. Em ±	0.392	0.359	0.3755
CD at 5%	1.19	1.087	1.1385
CV	4.296	3.908	4.102

The percentage of acidity in fruits treated with nutrients was lower; this could be because the nutrient spray induced early ripening, which may have resulted in acid breakdown. Hasan and Jana (2000) [4] similarly documented similar findings, concluding that Litchi CV's acidity content had been considerably lowered. Bombai with 1.0% foliar ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and Pal et al. (2008) [6] observed reduced fruit acidity in guava cultivars. Sardar with urea (1.0%, 2.0%), potassium (0.5%, 1.0%), borax (0.1%, 0.2%), and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%, 0.4%), applied topically. The data presented in Table 7 demonstrated the impact of foliar nutrition spraying on ascorbic acid content by clearly indicating that ascorbic acid content was significantly altered by various micro-nutrient spraying in comparison to control. Notably, the control group produced the least quantity of ascorbic acid, whereas the  $T_8$  foliar spray (1%  $FeSO_4 + 1\% ZnSO_4 + 0.5\%$ ) produced the most. Fruit juices had larger concentrations of ascorbic acid because they synthesized more catalytic activity from coenzymes and enzymes, which are typical of ascorbic acid production. Adequate zinc concentrations raise the auxin content and act as a catalyst for oxidation.

These findings are in good agreement with the findings of the Singh and Maurya (2003) [8] study, which concluded that the mango variety had the greatest ascorbic acid content and showed a significant increase in ascorbic acid content. Rajak *et al.* (2010) [7] used foliar treatment of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%, FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%, MgSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%, and Boric acid @ 0.2% in Mallika to report the maximum response in mango cv. Amrapali with a topical application of 0.8% Borax and 0.6% ZnSO<sub>4</sub> in ascorbic acid content (mg/100 g pulp).

Comparable patterns in the increase in the mango fruit's overall sugar content were also seen in response to the different treatments, as indicated in Table-8. The highest reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars, and total sugar content were measured with the  $T_8$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1%+ Borax 0.5%) spray; the lowest was found in the control. The potential reason for the rise in sugar percentage could be the involvement of micronutrients in the process of transferring additional sugar to the fruits. According to reports, when these nutrients are present, starch is converted more quickly into sugar (source to sink). The findings of Ghosh and Besrai (2000) [3], who found the largest total sugar content in the sweet orange CV, support the results. In addition, Bhowmick et al. (2012) [12] reported maximum total sugars and non-reducing sugar with the treatment of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 1.0% in mango cv. Mosambi with foliar spray of Boron @ 0.2% Zinc @ 0.5%.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, the application of  $T_8$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 1% + Borax 0.5%) significantly enhanced the yield attributes and chemical characteristics of mango fruits. The treated fruits exhibited increased weight, pulp weight, and favorable stone-to-pulp ratios, likely due to improved nutrient and water absorption. Furthermore, T8 treatment resulted in the highest levels of total soluble solids (TSS), reduced acidity, and elevated ascorbic acid content compared to the control. These enhancements are attributed to the catalytic role of micronutrients in photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, and early ripening processes. Overall, the study highlights the efficacy of micronutrient sprays in improving mango fruit quality and yield.

# References

- Bakshi P, Jasrotia A, Wali VK, Sharma A, Bakshi M. Influence of pre-harvest application of calcium and micronutrients on growth, yield, quality and shelf-life of strawberry cv. Chandler. Indian J Agric Sci. 2013;83(8):831-835.
- 2. Bhowmick N, Banik BC, Hasan MA, Ghosh B. Response of pre-harvest foliar application of zinc and boron on mango cv. Amrapali under New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal. Indian J Hortic. 2012;69(3):428-431.
- 3. Ghosh SN, Besrai KC. Effect of Zn, B and Fe spray on quality and yield of sweet orange cv. Mosambi grown under fed rain literate soil. Indian Agric. 2000;4(3-4):147-151.
- 4. Hasan MA, Jana A. Effect of potassium, calcium, zinc and copper in improving the chemical composition of fruits in litchi cv. Bombai. Environ Ecol. 2000;18(2):497-499.
- 5. Moazzam A, Tahir FM, Shahzad J, Mahmood N. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on the quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Dashehari fruit. Mycopath. 2011;9(1):25-28.
- 6. Pal A, Pathak RK, Pal K, Singh T. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on yield and quality of guava fruits (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar guava. Prog Hortic. 2008;3(1):89-90.
- 7. Rajak U, Rani R, Kumar R, Mandal BK, Prasad KK. Effect of foliar application of urea, borax and zinc on flowering, fruiting and fruit quality of Amrapali mango. Environ Ecol. 2010;28(3):1668-1671.
- 8. Singh J, Maurya AN. Effects of micronutrients on quality of mango fruit (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Mallika. Prog Agric. 2003;3(1-2):92-94.
- 9. Vashistha K, Yadav AL, Singh HK, Yadav DK. Effect of

- foliar spray of nutrients on fruit drop, yield and quality attributes of mango fruit (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali. Plant Arch. 2010;10(1):359-360.
- 10. Vejendla V, Maity PK, Banik BC. Effect of chemicals and growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and quality of mango cv. Amrapali. J Crop Weed. 2008;4(2):45-46.
- 11. Yadav HC, Yadav AL, Yadav DK, Yadav PK. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients and GA3 on yield and quality of rainy season guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49. Plant Arch. 2011;11(1):147-149.
- 12. Abdel-Fatah TM, Powe DG, Hodi Z, Reis-Filho JS, Lee AH, Ellis IO. Morphologic and molecular evolutionary pathways of low nuclear grade invasive breast cancers and their putative precursor lesions: further evidence to support the concept of low nuclear grade breast neoplasia family. The American journal of surgical pathology. 2008 Apr 1;32(4):513-523.