

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy www.agronomyjournals.com 2024; 7(4): 607-614 Received: 16-02-2024 Accepted: 20-03-2024

AK Jha

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

PS Yadav

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Aarti Shrivastva

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abhijeet Dubey

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Ajay Jaiswal

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: AK Jha

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Influence of tillage and nutrient management on fodder productivity and economics of Oat in rice-oat cropping system

AK Jha, PS Yadav, Aarti Shrivastva, Abhijeet Dubey and Ajay Jaiswal

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i4h.626

Abstract

The research took place over three consecutive rabi seasons from 2011 to 2014 at the AICRP on Forage Crops, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jabalpur (MP). The soil at the experimental site is sandy clay loam with medium organic carbon content (0.61%). It has moderate levels of available nitrogen (365.20 kg N/ha) and phosphorus (17.97 kg P₂O₅/ha), but high levels of available potassium (308.12 kg K₂O/ha). The soil's pH is close to neutral (7.24), and its soluble salt concentration (0.35 ds/m) is within safe limits. The experiment followed a split-plot design with three replications. The main plots were assigned three tillage methods: zero tillage, minimal tillage, and conventional tillage. The sub-plots received four levels of nutrient management: 75% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), 75% RDF with bio-fertilizers (Azotobacter and PSB), 100% RDF, and 100% RDF with a combination of bio-fertilizers. Higher yields of green fodder were observed with minimal tillage, followed by conventional tillage. The lowest yield was recorded with zero tillage (6.14 t/ha). Maximum green fodder yield was achieved with the application of 100% RDF along with bio-fertilizers, which was statistically similar to the application of 100% RDF alone. The lowest yield was recorded with 75% RDF under conventional tillage.

Keywords: Crud protein yield, economics, green fodder yield, nutrient uptake and oat

Introduction

Oats have been cultivated for centuries across various regions worldwide, particularly in European countries. In India, oats are extensively grown as a cereal fodder during the winter season, particularly in the North, Central, and Western regions. It is favored for its lush growth, good palatability, high nutritional content and richness in soluble carbohydrates, making it a popular choice among all animals. Currently, India faces a 35.6% deficit in green fodder (IGFRI, 2011)^[17], highlighting the need for increased attention to enhance fodder production and quality, especially for significant crops like oats. Oats can provide green fodder within 60-70 days during emergencies and yield a larger quantity after 90-100 days. Typically, green fodder contains 10-12% protein and 30-35% dry matter. Oat crops are generally cultivated in marginal lands with minimal fertilizer application, resulting in low production (Gangwar et al., 2011; Jha et al., 2023; Kantwa et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2023a; Patel et al., 2023a; Bhayal et al., 2022b) [14, 33, 38, 95, 52, 6]. Integrating organic and synthetic nutrient sources not only provides essential nutrients but also enhances nutrient use efficiency, reducing environmental impacts Organic manure application helps recycle nutrients, reduce fertilizer costs, and improve soil nutrient availability, with potential positive residual effects on subsequent crops (Iqbal et al., 2014; Anjum et al., 2022; Patel et al., 2023b; Tomar et al., 2023a and b; Tanisha et al., 2023; Tiwari *et al.*, 2011a) ^[18, 2, 80-81, 75, 79]. Fodder oats require ample fertilizers for succulent and highquality herbage production (Kumar et al., 2015; Kumhar et al., 2021) [40, 47]. Balanced nutrient supply ensures efficient utilization of all nutrients. While inorganic fertilizers supply major plant nutrients, excessive use can lead to water pollution and soil degradation. Thus, there is a growing emphasis on bio-fertilizers like Azotobacter and phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria, which improve soil nitrogen and phosphorus status. Among various bio-fertilizers, phosphatesolubilizing bacteria (PSB) and Azotobacter can be applied with lower nutrient levels as an

alternative source to meet the high nutrient requirements of multi-cut forage oats. Tillage also significantly influences crop productivity (Jha et al., 2011; Dwivedi et al., 2012; Rai et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2023b; Sahu et al., 2022; Raghuvanshi et al., 2023a; Tiwari et al., 2011b; Sanodiya et al., 2013; Jha et al., 2015; Sairam et al., 2023a; Verma et al., 2023a; Shri et al., 2014) [35, 13, 58, 94, 63, 77, 67, 28, 31, 65, 69]. Resource-conserving technologies (RCTs) such as reduced tillage (RT), no-till (NT), integrated nutrient management (INM), micronutrient use and residue retention have been validated in rice-wheat and maizewheat cropping systems under irrigated conditions in the Indo-Gangetic Plains (Porwal et al., 2023; Khare et al., 2018; Chauhan et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2013a; Tomar et al., 2023b; Shrivasva et al., 2014; Gautam et al., 2018; Jha et al., 2014, 2016; Sahu et al., 2023) [53, 39, 8, 71, 81, 70, 15, 21, 24, 26, 22, 29, 60, 64] However, research on the impact of RCTs on the productivity and sustainability of the rice-oat system in central India is lacking. Thus, this study aims to evaluate the impact of tillage and nutrient management on fodder productivity and economics in the rice-oat cropping system.

Materials and Methods

The research was conducted over three rabi seasons of 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-2014 at the AICRP on Forage Crops, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jabalpur (MP). The experimental site had sandy clay loam soil with moderate levels of organic carbon (0.61%), available nitrogen (365.20 kg N/ha), and phosphorus (17.97 kg P₂O₅/ha), but high levels of available potassium (308.12 kg K₂O/ha). The soil pH was nearly neutral (7.24), and soluble salt concentration (0.35 ds/m) was within safe limits. Treatments followed a split-plot design with three replications. The main plots involved three tillage methods: zero, minimal, and conventional tillage, while the sub-plots received four nutrient management levels: 75% RDF, 75% RDF with bio-fertilizers (Azotobacter and PSB), 100% RDF, and 100% RDF with bio-fertilizers. Fodder oat cultivar JO 1 was sown in mid-November with a row-to-row distance of 25 cm and a seed rate of 80 kg/ha in a 5 m X 4 m plot area on medium land. Fertilizers were applied at sowing using urea, DAP, and MOP. Bio-fertilizers were applied as seed inoculants with additional urea top dressing. After oat harvest, paddy was transplanted during the kharif season using the same tillage levels and a uniform fertilizer dose of 120:60:40 NPK kg/ha, following standard agronomic practices. Seed treatments of Azotobacter and PSB were used. Various growth parameters and fodder yields were measured, along with chemical analysis of N, P, and K content and uptake by the oat plants. Statistical analysis was performed on the data collected.

Results

Growth parameters

Various growth parameters of oats, such as plant height, tiller density, and leaf-to-stem ratio, were monitored during each cutting to assess crop development. Both minimum tillage and conventional tillage resulted in taller plants, higher tiller counts, and greater leaf-to-stem ratios compared to zero tillage (Jha *et al.*, 2007; Tiwari *et al.*, 2013; Singh *et al.*, 2013b; Jain *et al.*, 2012; and Sinodia *et al.*, 2014) ^[36, 78, 72, 19, 13]. The application of organic and inorganic nutrients together increased oat plant height compared to using only recommended doses of fertilizer,

with the tallest plants observed in plots treated with a combination of 100% recommended fertilizer dose (RDF) and bio-fertilizer, similar to those treated with 100% RDF alone. The enhanced plant height and leaf count in bio-fertilizer treated plots may be due to rapid mineralization, with a significant nitrogen portion being in organic forms (Pandey et al., 2020)^[51]. Additionally, bio-fertilizers stimulate the population of beneficial microorganisms like nitrogen-fixers and phosphorussolubilizers, thereby boosting nitrogenase activity. The application of 100% RDF with bio-fertilizer produced the highest number of tillers, followed by 100% RDF and 75% RDF with bio-fertilizer, compared to using only 75% RDF. These findings contradict previous studies by Yadav et al. (2023c) [93], Verma et al. (2016a) [83], Gautam et al. (2021) [16], Jayanthi et al. (2002)^[20] and Jha et al. (2018)^[25, 32] reporting that both organic and inorganic fertilizers increased tiller numbers in oats. Similarly, a leaf-to-stem ratio of 0.14 was observed under the treatment of 100% RDF with bio-fertilizer (Toppo et al., 2023; Verma et al., 2023c; Pahade et al., 2023; Soni et al., 2012; and Verma et al., 2016b) [82, 93, 50, 74, 91].

Nutrient Content and Uptake

The nutrient composition of forage oats was assessed at each cutting throughout the study period, and the data were aggregated for further analysis. The residual effect of rice did not significantly influence the primary and secondary nutrient contents of the fodder oats at both cutting stages. However, both tillage and nutrient management practices exerted significant effects on the nutrients, particularly N, P, and K, with minimal impact from tillage but notable effects from nutrient management. N, P, and K contents in forage oats under minimal and conventional tillage were higher as compared to zero tillage during both cutting stages, attributed to a higher mineralization rate enhancing nutrient availability and uptake. Małecka and Blecharczyk (2008)^[48] found lower nitrogen intake in grain in zero tillage. Nitrogen content in oats during the second cutting was consistently lower than during the first, regardless of nutrient management and tillage, possibly because of efficient root development and increased nitrogen uptake, leading to a maximum leafy portion up to the first cut in conventional tillage (Jha et al., 2008) [30]. The decline in N content with the age of the cropwas due to dilution with increased structural carbohydrate content, particularly fiber, in aging crops. Additionally, nutrient uptake was affected by tillage management, with maximum uptake in conventional tillage, fb zero tillage and minimal tillage. Conversely, nutrient management significantly affected nutrient content in forage oats at both cutting stages up to 125% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF). Moreover, N, P, and K content at 100% RDF were comparable to those at 75% RDF with bio-fertilizer. The interaction between tillage and nutrient management did not significantly affect forage oats' nutrient content. Nutrient uptake by oats, influenced by its content and dry fodder yield, was highest under conventional tillage. Several studies, including Bhayal et al. (2022a) ^[5], Jha et al. (2015) ^[28, 31], Kumar et al. (2022)^[42], reported a continuous decrease in nitrogen content in plants with crop age but significant increases with higher levels of nitrogen at all stages, mainly because of increased nitrogen absorption, higher leaf area index (LAI), and a higher leaf-tostem ratio.



Fig 1. Whogen content as influenced by thiage and nutrent management





Fig 2: Phosphorus content as influenced by tillage and nutrient management

Fig 3: Potassium content as influenced by tillage and nutrient management

Quality Parameters

When comparing crude protein and crude fiber between conventional and zero tillage methods, they exhibited similar levels. However, minimal tillage resulted in increased crude protein (10.26% at the first cut) and crude fiber (27.98% and

29.56% at both cuts, respectively). Crude fat under zero tillage was significantly higher compared to both conventional and minimal tillage, with no notable difference between the latter two methods (Chauhan *et al.*, 2018; Kumbhare *et al.*, 2023; Sahu *et al.*, 2020a; Bhalse *et al.*, 2023) ^[9, 61, 45, 4]. Similar

findings were reported by various studies (Deva et al. 2014; Jha et al. 2015; Jha et al. 2008; Dubey et al. 2010) [11, 28, 31, 30, 12], indicating that after the first cut, the root system started functioning faster for re-growth, absorbing higher nutrients, resulting in more DMP, more fiber content, and decreased protein content. Increasing RDF levels significantly increased crude protein & fiber. Higher crude protein at increased nitrogen levels was attributed to increased nitrogen availability and uptake by the crop, as reported in various studies (Tiwana et al. 2008; Shau et al. 2020b) [76, 62]. This trend was consistent with findings (Malviya et al. 2012; Kumar et al. 2023) [49, 41, 43, 44], indicating that plant tissue N content lowered with the crop age but increased significantly with higher nutrient levels. These results were supported by studies (Kumhar 2022)^[46], reporting that higher nitrogen absorption through plants increased plant meristematic activities.

Green Fodder and Dry Matter Yield

A significantly higher yield of green fodder was observed with minimal tillage, followed by conventional tillage, while the lowest yield was recorded with zero tillage (6.14 t/ha). Green fodder yield is enhanced with increasing fertilizer doses, with or without bio-fertilizers. Among different nutrient management, a higher green fodder yield was achieved with the application of 100% recommended fertilizer dose (RDF) along with biofertilizers, which was statistically at parwith the yield obtained with 100% RDF alone. The minimum green fodder yield was recorded with 75% RDF. Conventional tillage improves soil properties and enhances nutrient availability, resulting in increased fodder yield (Jha et al., 2007) [36]. The oat green fodder yield crops was significantly influenced by fertilization, particularly nitrogen, with or without bio-fertilizers, showing a linear response from 75% RDF to 100% RDF with biofertilizers. Increasing fertilizer doses positively influenced vield attributes, likely due to increased plant height, population, tiller count, and dry matter, along with the supply of 100% nitrogen through chemical fertilizers and bio-fertilizers (Biswas et al., 2020; Ranjan et al., 2016; Jha et al., 2018; Jha et al., 2016; Sairam et al., 2023b; Sinodiya et al., 2014) ^[7, 59, 25, 32, 22, 29, 66, 13] Azotobacter may stimulate plant growth by producing biologically active compounds like gibberellins and vitamins. Higher nitrogen doses may elevate nitrogen concentration in plants and consequently crude protein content. Increased protein yield due to applied nitrogen levels may be attributed to the conversion of observed nitrate (NO3) to ammonia (NH3), which is then transformed into amino acids. Proteins are formed by merging amino acids and releasing water molecules. Similar findings have been reported by Anjum et al. (2022)^[2], Sharma et al. (2004) ^[68], Chauhan et al. (2013) ^[10], and Raghav et al. $(2023)^{[55]}$.

Economics

The highest B:C ratio was recorded in plots treated with minimal tillage, likely due to the increased fodder yield associated with this method. However, the B:C ratio reached its peak in zero tillage, primarily due to the lower initial investment costs in sowing compared to conventional tillage. Regarding nutrient management, plots treated with 100% recommended fertilizer dose (RDF) along with bio-fertilizers demonstrated the maximum NMR and B:C ratio, possibly due to the higher fodder yield obtained from this treatment. Among the interaction effects, zero tillage combined with 75% RDF along with bio-fertilizers proved to be economically viable. These findings align with previous studies by Verma and Dadheech (2005) ^[92], Patel *et al.* (2023) ^[52], and Agrawal *et al.* (2010) ^[1].

| Treatments | Nutrient uptake (kg/ha) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Ν | N | I | X | Р | | | | | | |
| | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | | | | | |
| Tillage Management (T) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zero tillage | 123.41 | 115.50 | 17.53 | 17.66 | 88.35 | 83.13 | | | | | |
| Minimal tillage | 125.03 | 116.84 | 20.72 | 17.97 | 88.59 | 83.13 | | | | | |
| Conventional tillage | 120.29 | 111.95 | 19.22 | 14.18 | 87.55 | 82.84 | | | | | |
| CDat 5% | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 1.21 | 1.41 | 1.56 | | | | | |
| Nutrient Management (NM) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75% RDF | 107.41 | 99.41 | 11.48 | 13.49 | 86.47 | 81.66 | | | | | |
| 75% RDF + Biofertilizer | 123.25 | 115.77 | 12.82 | 14.19 | 89.76 | 84.40 | | | | | |
| 100% RDF | 129.67 | 121.32 | 20.40 | 16.02 | 90.33 | 84.69 | | | | | |
| 100% RDF + Biofertilizer | 131.80 | 122.26 | 20.17 | 15.85 | 89.30 | 83.77 | | | | | |
| CD at 5% | 2.56 | 2.32 | 3.11 | 2.36 | 3.25 | 3.54 | | | | | |

Table 1: Influence of till age management and nutrient management on nutrient up take (pooled)

 Table 2: Influence of tillage management and nutrient management on quality parameters (Pooled)

| | Crude n | notoin(0/) | Cmud | fot (0/) | Crude fiber (%) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Treatments | Crude p | rotem (70) | Cruae | : lat (70) | Crude liber (%) | | | | | |
| Treatments | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | | | | |
| | Crude protein (%) Crude fat (%) Crude fiber (%) It' 2^{nd} cut 1^{st} cut 2^{nd} cut 1^{st} cut 2^{nd} cut | | | | | | | | | |
| Zero tillage | 9.23 | 8.92 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 25.54 | 27.12 | | | | |
| Minimal tillage | 9.13 | 8.98 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 26.91 | 25.49 | | | | |
| Conventional tillage | 9.12 | 8.88 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 27.42 | 29.14 | | | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 1.36 | 1.36 | | | | |
| | Nutrient Man | agement(N) | | | | | | | | |
| 75% RDF | 8.98 | 8.54 | 0.4 | 0.41 | 25.24 | 26.81 | | | | |
| 75% RDF + Biofertilizer | 9.52 | 8.88 | 0.38 | 0.4 | 25.75 | 26.33 | | | | |
| 100% RDF | 9.53 | 9.23 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 25.44 | 27.01 | | | | |
| 100% RDF + Biofertilizer | 9.78 | 9.31 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 26.08 | 27.65 | | | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.41 | 0.41 | | | | |

Table 3: Influence of different treatments on growth, yield attributes, green fodder yield, and economics

| | Plant height (cm) | Number of tillers/m ² | | Leaf Area Index | | Crude protein yield | Leaf: stem | Dry matter yield | Green fodder yield | NMRs | B:C | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|------|
| Treatments | At | 30 | 60 | At | 30 | 60 | (t ha ⁻¹) | ratio | (t/ha) | (t/ha) | (KS/IIA) | rauo |
| | harvest | DAS | DAS | harvest | DAS | DAS | | | | | | |
| Tillage practices (T) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zero tillage | 133.1 | 158.00 | 332.10 | 346.20 | 3.24 | 4.44 | 0.12 | 0.80 | 1.40 | 6.14 | 80897 | 3.05 |
| Minimum tillage | 143.3 | 182.80 | 365.90 | 380.40 | 3.56 | 4.95 | 0.13 | 0.86 | 1.44 | 6.70 | 81302 | 2.94 |
| Conventional tillage | 138.6 | 161.40 | 345.67 | 371.89 | 3.42 | 4.64 | 0.13 | 0.82 | 1.43 | 6.25 | 77751 | 2.83 |
| CD at 5% | 1.1 | 2.96 | 3.60 | 4.76 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.005 | NS | 0.09 | 0.10 | - | - |
| Nutrient management (NM) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M ₁ -75% Recommended Dose of NPK (RDF) | 125.0 | 157.20 | 310.60 | 316.60 | 3.00 | 3.08 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 1.35 | 5.83 | 78490 | 2.96 |
| $M_2 - 75\%$ RDF + Biofertilizers (Azotobacter + PSB) | 132.6 | 174.60 | 379.30 | 384.30 | 3.12 | 3.80 | 0.13 | 0.83 | 1.42 | 6.14 | 80625 | 2.98 |
| M ₃₋ 100% RDF | 137.5 | 189.40 | 416.54 | 432.50 | 3.48 | 4.83 | 0.13 | 0.84 | 1.44 | 6.21 | 80525 | 2.92 |
| $M_4 - 100\%$ RDF +Biofertilizers (Azotobacter + PSB) | 142.2 | 199.80 | 434.10 | 444.80 | 3.72 | 6.45 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 1.45 | 6.35 | 80293 | 2.90 |
| CD at 5% | 1.6 | 3.1 | 3.60 | 4.58 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.003 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.12 | - | - |

Conclusion

The research findings indicated that employing minimal tillage alongside nitrogen application at 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) + biofertilizer resulted in notable enhancements in growth, yield, quality, and profitability of fodder oat in comparison to alternative methods.

References

- 1. Agrawal KK, Bhadauria UPS, Jha A, Jain S. 2010. Crop weather relationship studies on chickpea for improving crop adaptation to climate change. Indian Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2010;28:239-242.
- Anjum L, Rehman A, Rizwan M, Hussain S, Waqas MS. 2022. Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield of Different Varieties of Oat. Environmental Science Proceedings. 2022;23(1):2-4.
- Badal V, Manish B, Jha AK, Agrawal KK, Kewat ML, Muskan P. Weed management in direct-seeded rice (*Oryza* sativa) in central India. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023;68(2):217-220.
- 4. Bhalse L, Jha AK, Verma B, Raghuvanshi S, Porwal M, Sahu MP. 2023. Efficacy of pyroxasulfone and its combination against weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023;68(4):443-446.
- 5. Bhayal L, Kewat ML, Aakash, Jha AK, Bhayal, Badkul AJ. Effect of sowing Time and nutrient management on physiological parameters of wheat. International Journal of Economic Plants. 2022a;9(4):271-275.
- Bhayal Lalita, Kewat ML, Aakash, Bhayal Divya, Jha AK, Badkul Anamika Jain. 2022b. Influence of different sowing dates and nutrient management on yield attributes and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022b;34(22):362-367.
- Biswas S, Jana K, Agrawal RK, Puste AM. 2020. Effect of integrated nutrient management on green forage, dry matter and crude protein yield of oat in oat-Lathyrus intercropping system. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2020;16(2):233-238.
- 8. Chauhan A, Jha G, Chourasiya A, Jha A, Joshi J. 2017. Effect of tillage and weed management practices and growth productivity and energy analysis of late sown chickpea. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2017;9(5):3779-3781.
- 9. Chauhan A, Jha G, Chourasiya A, Jha A. Effect of tillage and weed management practices on soil microbial. Journal of Pharmacognosy. 2018;7(1S):1106-1108.
- Chauhan PS, Jha AK, Soni M. Efficacy of chlorimuronethyl against weeds in transplanted rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(2):135-136.

- Deva S, Tandon A, Pandey P. Effect of tillage practices and nutrient management on yield and economics of fodder oat. Forage Research. 2014;40(1):49-50.
- Dubey A, Jha AK, Shrivastava A. 2010. Effect of nitrogen levels on green fodder yield of oat (*Avena sativa*) varieties. International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2010;29:367– 369.
- Dwivedi AP, Mishra A, Singh SRK, Singh RP, Jha A. 2012. Multiplier effect of zero tillage technology on Resource Conservation in wheat cultivation. Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development. 2012;7(1):137– 140.
- 14. Gangwar S, Naik KR, Jha A, Bajpai A. Soil properties as influenced by organic nutrient management practices under rice based cropping systems. Research on Crops. 2011;17(1):8-12.
- 15. Gautam AK, Shrivastava A, Samaiya RK, Jha A. 2018. Design and development of tractor drawn seed cum pressurized aqueous fertilizer drill. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2018;52(3).
- 16. Gautam AK, Shrivastava AK, Jha A. 2021. Design Parameters of Tractor Drawn Pressurized Aqueous Fertilizer Drill. AMA-agricultural mechanization in Asia Africa and Latin America. 2021;52(3):54-60.
- 17. IGFRI. 2011. Vision 2030: In: Pandey KC, Roy AK (eds). Forage Crops Varieties. IGFRI, Jhansi, India 2011, 23-27.
- Iqbal A, Iqbal MA, Nabeel F, Khan HZ, Akbar N, Abbas RN. 2014. Economic and sustainable forage oat (*Avena* sativa) production as influenced by different sowing techniques and sources of nitrogen. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture & Environmental Sciences. 2014;14(10):1035-1040.
- 19. Jain S, Jha AK. 2012. Effect of different tillage system on yield and economics of mustard varieties and soil properties for Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hillzone of Madhya Pradesh. Journal of Research on Crops. 2012;13(1).
- Jayanthi C, Malarvizhi P, Fazullah AK, Chinnusamy C. 2002. Integrated nutrient management in forage oat (*Avena sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2002;47(1):130-133.
- 21. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Raghuvanshi NS. Effect of different phosphorus levels on growth, fodder yield and economics of various cowpea genotypes under Kymore plateau and Satpura hills zone of Madhya Pradesh. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;10(1):409-411.
- 22. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Mehta AK, Billaiya SK, Raghuvanshi NS. Effect of Different Concentration of Seaweed Saps on Quality, Green Fodder and Seed Yields of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). International Journal of

Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2016;27(5):1008-1011.

- 23. Jha AK, Soni M. 2013. Weed management by sowing methods and herbicides in soybean. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(4):250-252.
- 24. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Raghuvansi NS, Kantwa SR. 2014. Effect of weed control practices on fodder and seed productivity of Berseem in Kymore plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2014;35(1):61-65.
- 25. Jha AK, Shrivastava AK. Water productivity and energy efficiency increase by mechanization in pulse-based cropping system. In: By and, editor. Farm mechanization for production. Scientific publisher; c2018.
- Jha AK, Dwivedi BS, Shrivastava AK, Soni M, Taniwaki K, Kokuryu T, *et al.* Effect of drainage, tillage and land configurations on root, nodules and yield of soybean in Vertisols. Soybean Research. 2014;12(1, Special issue):194-198.
- 27. Jha AK, Pandey S, Pandey D, Meshram RK. Effect of fertilizer management with growth regulator on growth and yield of wheat. Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(2):2999-3000.
- 28. Jha AK, Shri S, Shrivastava A. Influence of physiological parameters and yield by different maize-based cropping system. The Bioscan. 2015;10(1):203-205.
- Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Mehta AK, Billaiya SK, Raghuvanshi NS. Effect of Different Concentration of Seaweed Saps on Quality, Green Fodder and Seed Yields of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2016;27(5):1008-1011.
- 30. Jha AK, Kewat ML, Chaturvedi PL, Sharma RS, Vishwakarma SK. Effect of varying tillage and sowing methods on weed dynamics under rice-wheat cropping system in Kymore plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2008;40(1 & 2):37–40.
- 31. Jha AK, Shri S, Shrivastava A. Influence of physiological parameters and yield by different maize-based cropping system. The Bioscan. 2015;10(1):203-205.
- 32. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Nayak S. Integrated Nutrient Management in Forage Based Cropping System (Jowar + Cowpea - Berseem). International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2018;6(5):1206-1211.
- 33. Jha AK, Yadav PS, Shrivastava A, Upadhyay AK, Sekhawat LS, Verma B, Sahu MP. Effect of nutrient management practices on productivity of perennial grasses under high moisture condition. Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America (AMA). 2023;54(3):12283-12288.
- 34. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Raghuvanshi NS. Effect of different phosphorus levels on growth, fodder yield and economics of various cowpea genotypes under Kymore plateau and Satpura hills zone of Madhya Pradesh. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;10:409-411.
- 35. Jha, A.K., Kewat, M.L., Upadhyay, V.B., Vishwakarma, S.K. 2011. Effect of tillage and sowing methods on productivity, economics and energetics of rice (*Oryza* sativa)-wheat (*Triticum aestivam*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy 56(1): 35–40.
- 36. Jha AK, Sharma RS, Vishwakarma SK. Development of resource conservation techniques for tillage and sowing management in rice-wheat cropping system under irrigated

production system of Kymore Plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. JNKVV Research Journal. 2007;41(1):26-31.

- 37. Jha A, Kewat ML. Weed compositions and seed bank as affected by different tillage and crop establishment techniques in rice-wheat system. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(1):19–24.
- Kantwa SR, Agrawal RK, Jha A, Pathan SH, Patil SD, Choudhary M, Roy AK. Effect of different herbicides on weed control efficiency, fodder and seed yields of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) in central India. Range Management & Agroforestry. 2019;40(2):323-328.
- 39. Khare D, Nahatkar SB, Shrivastava AK, Jha AK. Farm Mechanization for Production. In: Book Farm mechanization for production. Scientific publisher; 2018.
- 40. Kumar B. Tillage and nutrient management as quality improver in fodder oat under oat-paddy cropping system. International Journal of Advanced Research. 2015;4(7):1314-1320.
- 41. Kumar B, Shaloo, Bisht H, Meena MC, Dey A, Dass A, *et al.* Nitrogen management sensor optimization, yield, economics, and nitrogen use efficiency of different wheat cultivars under varying nitrogen levels. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. 2023;7:1228221.
- 42. Kumar D, Singh Y, Magan, Makarmana MR, Kushwaha G, Susanta M, Dutta B, Rajesh. Growth and yield performance of fodder oats (*Avena sativa*) grown under different nutrient management practices. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2022;92(2):267–272.
- 43. Kumar S, Sanodiya P, Jha AK, Sahu MP, Verma B. Effect of 2,4-D sodium salt on weeds, growth and yields in rabi maize (*Zea mays* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023;68(4):100-103.
- Kumar S, Sanodiya P, Jha AK, Sahu MP, Verma B. Effect of 2,4-D sodium salt on weeds, growth and yields in rabi maize (*Zea mays* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023;68(4):100-103.
- 45. Kumbhare R, Jha AK, Kumar A, Gopilal A, Patel R, Tekam Y. Combination of Fertilizer and Growth Regulators Impact on Nutrient Balance in Wheat Crop (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(22):823-832.
- 46. Kumhar BL, Agrwal KK, Jha AK, Rai HK, Kumar V, Choudhary M, *et al.* Productivity and economical viability of grass-based cropping systems. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2022;43(1):167-171.
- Kumhar BL, Agrawal KK, Jha AK, Rai HK. Variability of soil infiltration rate in different grass based cropping system in Kymore Plateau Satpura Hills region. Forage Research. 2021;47(3):325-328.
- 48. Małecka I, Blecharczyk A. Effect of tillage systems, mulches and nitrogen fertilization on spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). Agronomy Research. 2008;6(2):517– 529.
- Malviya P, Jha AK, Upadhyay VB. Effect of different proportions of vermicompost and fertilizers on growth and yield of scented rice and soil properties. Annals of Agricultural Research New Series. 2012;33(4):228–234.
- 50. Pahade S, Jha AK, Verma B, Meshram RK, Toppo O, Shrivastava A. Efficacy of sulfentrazone 39.6% and pendimethalin as a pre-emergence application against weed spectrum of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(12):51-58.
- 51. Pandey MK, Surjeet, Singh UN. Effect of integrated

nutrient management on productivity of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) and soil fertility. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2020;22(2):151-155.

- 52. Patel R, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Toppo O, Raghuwanshi S. Performance of pinoxaden herbicide against complex weed flora in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):339-345.
- Porwal M, Verma B, Jha AK, New and innovative technologies and machineryin conservation agriculture. Climate smart agriculture. Principles and practices. 2023;113-127.ISBN: 978-81-19149-15-5.
- Pravalika Y, Gaikwad DS. Effect of different levels of nitrogen application and cutting management on yield, quality and economics of fodder oats (*Avena sativa* L.). Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2021;13(1):452-457.
- 55. Raghav P, Jha AK, Badal V, Rahul K, Richa S. Bioefficacy of pinoxaden as post-emergence herbicide against weeds in wheat crop. Pollution Research. 2023;42(1):115-117.
- 56. Raghuwanshi M, Jha AK, Verma B, Yadav PS, Shrivastava A. Weed dynamics of fodder maize as influenced by different herbicides. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):245-251.
- 57. Raghuwanshi M, Jha AK, Verma B, Sahu MP, Dubey A. Assessing the effect of weed management practices on weed flora, growth and yield of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(11):112-120.
- 58. Rai HK, Jha A, Mishra PK. Potential of conservation agriculture in Vertisols of Madhya Pradesh. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2019;37(6):1-4.
- Ranjan D, Gontia AS, Jha AK, Upadhyay A, Kumar S, Das SN. Phenology and dry matter remobilization in dualpurpose wheat as affected by nitrogen levels and seeding rates. Progressive Research - An International Journal. 2016;11(2):261-265.
- 60. Sahu MP, Kewat ML, Jha AK, *et al.* Effect of crop residue and weed management on weed incidence, soil moisture and yield of chickpea. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023;68(4):404-412.
- 61. Sahu MP, Kewat ML, Jha AK, *et al.* Weed dynamics as affected by practices and straw mulches in chickpea. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020a;8(4):1857-1859.
- Sahu MP, Kewat ML, Jha AK, *et al.* Identification of problematic weeds in chickpea crop in Jabalpur district of Madhya Pradesh. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020b;9(9):973-977.
- 63. Sahu MP, Kewat ML, Jha AK, *et al.* Weed prevalence, root nodulation and chickpea productivity influenced by weed management and crop residue mulch. Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2022;53(6):1-6.
- 64. Sahu V, Kewat ML, Verma B, *et al.* Effect of carfentrazone-ethyl on weed flora, growth and productivity in wheat. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(3):3621-3624.
- 65. Sairam G, Jha AK, Verma B, *et al.* Effect of mesotrione 40% SC on weed growth, yield and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023a;13(7):608-616.
- 66. Sairam G, Jha AK, Verma B, *et al*. Effect of pre and postemergence herbicides on weed flora of maize. International

Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023b;35(11):68-76.

- 67. Sanodiya P, Jha AK, Shrivastava A. Effect of integrated weed management on seed yield of fodder maize. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(3):214-216.
- 68. Sharma KK, Sharma S, Neog BS. Integrated nutrient management in oat (*Avena sativa* L.). Forage Research. 2004;29:195-197.
- 69. Shri Sonam, Jha, A.K. and Shrivastava, Arti 2014 , Evaluation of different intercropping systems for productivity and economics in maize (Zea mays L.).Ann. Ann. Agric. Res. New Series Vol. 35 (2) : 200-204
- Shrivastava A, Jha AK, Jha G. Productivity and nutrient uptake influenced by different food-forage-based cropping systems. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2014;35(3):286-291.
- 71. Singh H, Jha G, Babu S, Jha AK. Effect of seed rate and sowing depth on growth, yield attributes and yield of irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013a;58(2):57-59.
- 72. Singh H, Jha G, Rawat A, Babu S, Jha AK. Low seed rate at surface sowing enhance resilience of physiological parameters and economics of wheat. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013b;83(8):881-884.
- 73. Sinodiya P, Jha AK. Effect of weed management control practices on nutrient uptake and soil properties in fodder maize. JNKVV Research Journal. 2014;48(1):60-63.
- 74. Soni M, Jain KK, Jha AK. Weed dynamics and yield to transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with post emergence herbicides. Journal of Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences. 2012;4(2):165-167.
- Tanisha N, Jha AK, Verma B, Yadav PS, Anjna M, Bhalse L. Bio efficacy of pinoxaden on weed flora and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Biological Forum An International Journal. 2022;14(4):558-561.
- 76. Tiwana US, Puri KP, Chaudhary DP. Fodder productivity quality of multicut oat grown pure and in mixture with different seed rates of sarson. Forage Research. 2008;33(4):224-226.
- 77. Tiwari RK, Dwived B, Deshmukh G, Pandey AK, Jha A. Effect of weed control treatments on growth of little seed cannary grass and productivity of wheat. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2011b;43(3 & 4):239–240.
- 78. Tiwari RK, Jha A, Tripathi SK, Khan IM, Rao SK. Rice based cropping system and climate change. JNKVV Research Journal. 2013;47(1):239-247.
- 79. Tiwari RK, Khan IM, Singh N, Jha A. Chemical weed control in wheat through on farm demonstration in Rewa district of Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2011a;43(3&4):215-216.
- Tomar DS, Jha AK, Porwal M, Verma B, Tirkey S, Khare Y, Chouhan M. Efficacy of Halauxifen-methyl+Florasulam against Complex Weeds in Wheat under Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hill Zone of Madhya Pradesh, India. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023a;35(15):161-171.
- 81. Tomar DS, Jha AK, Verma B, Meshram RK, Porwal M, Chouhan M, Rajpoot A. Comparative Efficacy of Different Herbicidal Combinations on Weed Growth and Yield Attributes of Wheat. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023b;13(8):889-898.
- 82. Toppo O, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Yadav PS, Verma B. Effect of Sowing time and weed management practices on weed dynamics, productivity and economics of direct-seeded rice. Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 2023;29(3):80-85.

- Verma D, Gontia AS, Jha A, Anita D. Study of cutting management on proximate analysis in wheat, oat and barley crops. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2016a;9(4):593-597.
- 84. Verma D, Gontia AS, Amit J, Anita D. Study on leaf area index and leaf area duration of growth analytical parameters in Wheat, Barley, and Oat. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2023;9(5):827-831.
- Verma B, Jha AK, Ramakrishnan RS, Sharma PB. Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application on nutrient uptake of wheat. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(10):979-985.
- Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, Porwal M. Influence of weed management practices on direct-seeded rice grown under rainfed and irrigated agroecosystems. Environment Conservation Journal. 2023;24(3):240-248.
- Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, Agrawal KK, Kewat ML, Porwal M. Weed management in direct-seeded rice (*Oryza* sativa L) in central India. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2023;68(2):217-220.
- 88. Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, *et al.* Weeds of direct-seeded rice influenced by herbicide mixture. Pharma Innovation. 2022;11(2):1080-1082.
- 89. Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, Porwal M, Patel R. Assessment of different herbicides for effective weed management in direct seeded rice technology. Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 2023;29(3):211-217.
- 90. Verma B, Bhan M, Jha AK, Singh V, Patel R, Sahu MP, Kumar V. Weed management in direct-seeded rice through herbicidal mixtures under diverse agroecosystems. AMA, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2022;53(4):7299-7306.
- 91. Verma D, Gontia AS, Jha A, Deshmukh A. Study on leaf area index and leaf area duration of growth analytical parameters in Wheat, Barley, and Oat. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2016b;9(5):827-831.
- 92. Verma AK, Dadheech RC. Yield and economics of fodder oat as influenced by balanced fertilization and legume mixture. Forage Research. 2005;31(2):73-74.
- Yadav, P.S., Kewat, M.L., Jha, A.K., Sahu, M.P., Verma, B. and Toppo, Oscar. 2023. Floristic composition of weeds as influence by sowing time and herbicides in berseem. Ecology, Environment and Conservation Journal 29(3): 64–68.
- 94. Yadav PS, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Kondagari H, Verma B. Effect of sowing management and herbicides on the weed dynamics of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023b;12(2):2845-2848.
- 95. Yadav PS, Jha AK, Pachauri V, Verma B, Shrivastava A. 2023a. Oat genotypes response to different nitrogen levels under agro-climatic condition of Kymore Plateau zone of Madhya Pradesh. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(4):2371-2374.