



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)

2024; 7(4): 564-567

Received: 08-01-2024

Accepted: 16-02-2024

**SS Nitave**

Assistant Professor, Goa College of  
Agriculture, Ela farm, Old-Goa,  
Goa, India

**UV Mahadkar**

Ex Associate Dean, College of  
Agriculture, Dapoli, Maharashtra,  
India

**SD Raut**

Assistant Professor, RMAC,  
Navaha, Jalana, Maharashtra,  
India

## Impact of various weed control methods on nutrient uptake and quality of dibbled rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

**SS Nitave, UV Mahadkar and SD Raut**

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i4h.604>

### Abstract

The study conducted at the ASPEE Agricultural Research and Development Foundation Farm in Nare, Thane during the Kharif season of 2013 utilized a randomized block design to evaluate various weed management methods. Treatments included single herbicides, pre and post-emergence herbicide combinations, and herbicide mixtures. Rice was sown using the dibbling method with a spacing of 15 cm between plants and 20 cm between rows, using 30 kg of seeds per hectare. Results indicated that Oxyfluorfen pre-emergence followed by 2,4-D post-emergence, and two hand weeding's at 20 and 40 days after sowing (DAS), significantly enhanced nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium uptake in rice grains and straw compared to other treatments. Conversely, the weedy check exhibited the lowest nutrient uptake. In terms of quality, protein content in rice grains varied significantly among weed control treatments compared to the weedy check.

**Keywords:** Dibbled rice, herbicides, nutrient uptake, protein

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) serves as a staple food for over 60% of the global population and more than 65% of India's populace. It plays a vital role in both global food grain and cereal production. Rice cultivation typically employs either direct seeding or transplanting methods. In coastal regions, the predominant method is puddled transplanting, although drilling and dibbling techniques are also utilized in certain areas for paddy cultivation. Direct sowing or drilling techniques for rice cultivation are characterized by their efficiency, simplicity, and cost-effectiveness. However, they are often challenged by weed proliferation. Direct seeding of rice involves establishing the crop directly from seeds in the field, bypassing the traditional method of transplanting seedlings from a nursery, as described by Farooq *et al.* (2011) [3]. The primary challenge encountered in direct-seeded rice cultivation, particularly under dry field conditions, is the significant weed infestation. Transitioning to direct-seeded rice cultivation may lead to shifts in weed flora, often favoring the emergence of highly competitive grasses and sedges that are more challenging to manage, as highlighted by Azmi *et al.* (2005) [1]. Weeds that emerge concurrently with rice plants tend to absorb significant quantities of essential nutrients from the soil, leading to a potential reduction in yield. The impact of weeds on yield varies depending on the cultivation method. Ravichandran (1991) [6] reported yield losses of 36 percent in transplanted rice, whereas direct-seeded rice experiences substantially higher losses, reaching up to 84 percent. In direct-seeded rice cultivation, it is crucial to maintain weed-free fields during the initial 30 to 40 days to prevent early competition between the crop and weeds. Early competition from weeds can lead to a substantial reduction in rice yield, underscoring the importance of employing pre-emergence herbicides, which are commonly utilized by farmers. However, some weed seeds may continue to germinate over time, and pre-emergence herbicides, with their relatively limited residual effectiveness, may not effectively control all weed species.

**Corresponding Author:**

**SS Nitave**

Assistant Professor, Goa College of  
Agriculture, Ela farm, Old-Goa,  
Goa, India

As a result, solely relying on pre-emergence herbicides may not suffice to optimize rice yields. To ensure effective weed management, it is essential to consider the use of post-emergence herbicides in conjunction with other weed control methods. To ensure effective weed management, it's crucial to consider the use of post-emergence herbicides in conjunction with other weed control methods. As a result, we've decided to undertake a systematic research study to investigate how pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides, both individually and in combination or as herbicide mixtures, impact the nutrient uptake and quality of dibbled rice.

### Materials and Methods

During the Kharif season of 2013, a field trial was conducted at the ASPEE Agricultural Research and Development Foundation Experimental Farm located in Nare, Thane District. The purpose of this trial was to investigate the influence of various weed control methods on the nutrient uptake and quality of transplanted rice. The experimental plot soil exhibited a clay texture and was characterized by moderate levels of available nitrogen (282.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and phosphorus (13.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Additionally, it showed moderately high availability of potassium (276.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium organic carbon content (9.7 g ha<sup>-1</sup>), and an alkaline pH level of 7.81.

In the experimental setup, a total of 16 treatments were administered, each designated as follows: T<sub>1</sub> involved Pyrazosulfuron application at 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> between 3-7 days after sowing (DAS), T<sub>2</sub> applied Pretilachlor-s at 750 g ha<sup>-1</sup> within 0-3 DAS, T<sub>3</sub> utilized Cyhalofop butyl at 90 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 25 DAS, T<sub>4</sub> utilized Fenoxaprop p-ethyl at 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 30 DAS, T<sub>5</sub> involved Cyhalofop butyl in combination with Chlorimuron ethyl and Metasulfuron methyl at 90+4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> between 25-30 DAS, T<sub>6</sub> combined Fenoxaprop p-ethyl with Chlorimuron ethyl and Metasulfuron methyl at 60+4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> between 25-30 DAS, T<sub>7</sub> combined Pyrazosulfuron with Cyhalofop butyl and Chlorimuron ethyl plus Metasulfuron methyl at 25+90+4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 3-7 DAS followed by 25-30 DAS, T<sub>8</sub> combined Pyrazosulfuron with Fenoxaprop p-ethyl and Chlorimuron ethyl plus Metasulfuron methyl at 25+60+4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 3-7 DAS followed by 25-30 DAS, T<sub>9</sub> combined Pretilachlor-s with Cyhalofop butyl and Chlorimuron ethyl plus Metasulfuron methyl at 750+90+4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-3 DAS followed by 25-30 DAS, T<sub>10</sub> combined Pretilachlor-s with Fenoxaprop p-ethyl and Chlorimuron ethyl plus Metasulfuron methyl at 750+60+4 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-3 DAS followed by 25-30 DAS, T<sub>11</sub> utilized Azimsulfuron at 35 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 DAS, T<sub>12</sub> applied Bispyribac-Na at 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 DAS, T<sub>13</sub> involved Fenoxaprop p-ethyl in combination with Ethoxysulfuron at 60+15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> between 25-30 DAS, T<sub>14</sub> utilized Oxyfluorfen followed by 2,4-D at 300+500 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-4 DAS followed by 30 DAS, T<sub>15</sub> included two hand weeding's at 20 and 40 DAS, and T<sub>16</sub> served as the weedy check. These treatments were distributed in a randomized block design with three replications. Seeds were sown in the experimental plot using dibbling method, with a spacing of 15 cm between individual plants and 20 cm between rows. On June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2013, rice seeds were manually sown to a depth of approximately 3 to 4 cm at a rate of 30 kg per hectare and subsequently covered with soil. Regular package of practices were implemented according to

standard guidelines, and growth observations were recorded periodically. The nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) levels in both plant and weed samples were analyzed using the alkaline permanganate method (Subbaih and Asija, 1956)<sup>[9]</sup> for N, Bray's method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945)<sup>[2]</sup> for P, and Flame photometer (Jackson, 1973)<sup>[4]</sup> for K.

### Results and Discussion

#### Impact of various weed control methods on the nutrient uptake of rice

The findings from Table 1 indicate that treatment T<sub>14</sub>, involving oxyfluorfen pre-emergence followed by 2,4-D post-emergence, demonstrated notably increased nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) uptake in both grain and straw compared to other treatments. This performance was comparable to treatment T<sub>15</sub>, which involved two manual weeding's at 20 and 40 days after sowing (DAS). These outcomes align with previous studies conducted by Mandal *et al.* (2011)<sup>[5]</sup> and Singh *et al.* (2013)<sup>[8]</sup>. Conversely, Treatment T<sub>16</sub>, which involved allowing weeds to grow unchecked (weedy check), demonstrated significantly lower uptake of essential nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) compared to other weed management strategies. This was attributed to the uncontrolled proliferation of weeds under Treatment T<sub>16</sub>. These findings closely mirror those reported by Singh *et al.* (2013)<sup>[8]</sup> and Verma *et al.* (2013)<sup>[11]</sup>.

#### Impact of various weed control methods on the nutrient uptake of weeds

In contrast, Treatment T<sub>16</sub>, designated as the weedy check, witnessed intense competition between the rice crop and weeds, as indicated by the substantially higher uptake of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) by the weeds, as shown in Table 2. This phenomenon was more pronounced in Treatment T<sub>16</sub> compared to other treatments. Similar findings were reported by Singh *et al.* (2013)<sup>[8]</sup>.

#### Impact of different weed control methods on quality of rice

Regarding quality considerations, the protein content in rice grain (as depicted in Table 3) was notably influenced by various weed management practices. Treatment T<sub>14</sub>, involving oxyfluorfen pre-emergence followed by 2,4-D post-emergence, demonstrated a significant increase in rice grain protein content compared to most other treatments, except for treatments T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub>. This rise in protein content could be attributed to higher nitrogen concentration in the rice grain resulting from these particular weed management practices. Conversely, Treatment T<sub>16</sub>, the weedy check, exhibited the lowest protein content in rice grain among all treatments. These findings are consistent with prior studies conducted by Sawant (2003)<sup>[7]</sup> and Tendulkar (2004)<sup>[10]</sup>. In summary, our investigation indicates that treatment T<sub>14</sub>, involving oxyfluorfen pre-emergence followed by 2,4-D post-emergence, and treatment T<sub>15</sub>, comprising two manual weeding's at 20 and 40 days after sowing (DAS), emerged as the most efficacious weed management strategies for enhancing both nutrient absorption and rice quality.

**Table 1:** Representation and description of treatment method

Symbol	Treatment details
T <sub>1</sub>	Pyrazosulfuron @ 25 g/ha at 3-7 DAS
T <sub>2</sub>	Pretilachlor-s @ 750 g/ha at 0-3 DAS
T <sub>3</sub>	Cyhalofop butyl @ 90 g/ha at 25 DAS
T <sub>4</sub>	Fenoxaprop p-ethyl @ 60 g/ha at 30 DAS
T <sub>5</sub>	Cyhalofop butyl + (Chlorimuron ethyl + Metasulfuron methyl) @ 90+ 4 g/ha at 25-30 DAS
T <sub>6</sub>	Fenoxaprop p-ethyl + (Chlorimuron ethyl + Metasulfuron methyl) @ 60 + 4 g/ha at 25-30 DAS
T <sub>7</sub>	Pyrazosulfuron @ 25 g/ha + [Cyhalofop butyl + (Chlorimuron ethyl + Metasulfuron methyl)] @ 90+ 4 g/ha at 3-7 DAS fb 25-30 DAS
T <sub>8</sub>	Pyrazosulfuron @ 25 g/ha + [Fenoxaprop p-ethyl + (Chlorimuron ethyl + Metasulfuron methyl)] @ 60+ 4 g/ha at 3-7 DAS fb 25 30 DAS
T <sub>9</sub>	Pretilachlor-s @ 750 g/ha + [Cyhalofop butyl + (Chlorimuron ethyl + Metasulfuron methyl)] @ 90+ 4 g/ha at 0-3 DAS fb 25-30 DAS
T <sub>10</sub>	Pretilachlor-s @ 750 g/ha + [Fenoxaprop p-ethyl + (Chlorimuron ethyl + Metasulfuron methyl)] @ 60+ 4 g/ha at 0-3 DAS fb 25-30 DAS
T <sub>11</sub>	Azimsulfuron @ 35 g/ha at 20 DAS
T <sub>12</sub>	Bispyribac- Na @ 25 g/ha at 20 DAS
T <sub>13</sub>	Fenoxaprop p-ethyl + Ethoxysulfuron @ 60 +15 g/ha at 25-30 DAS
T <sub>14</sub>	Oxyfluorfen fb 2,4- D @ 300 + 500 g/ha at 0-4 DAS fb 30 DAS
T <sub>15</sub>	Two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS
T <sub>16</sub>	Weedy check

**Table 2:** Impact of various weed control methods on the nutrient uptake of rice

Symbols	N uptake in grain kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	N uptake in straw kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Total uptake of N Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	P uptake in grain kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	P uptake in straw kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Total uptake of P kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	K uptake in grain kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	K uptake in straw kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Total uptake of K kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	45.52	20.02	65.54	7.94	5.15	13.09	8.63	33.32	41.94
T <sub>2</sub>	45.52	19.87	65.39	8.46	5.75	14.21	9.35	34.86	44.22
T <sub>3</sub>	44.59	20.10	64.69	8.06	5.35	13.41	8.81	33.64	42.45
T <sub>4</sub>	43.80	20.02	63.82	8.08	5.19	13.26	9.22	34.61	43.83
T <sub>5</sub>	50.01	24.95	74.96	9.54	7.00	16.54	10.69	40.74	51.43
T <sub>6</sub>	50.68	25.58	76.26	10.06	7.55	17.61	11.01	40.05	51.05
T <sub>7</sub>	56.30	26.83	83.13	11.45	7.96	19.41	13.55	42.21	55.75
T <sub>8</sub>	56.44	27.48	83.92	10.99	8.24	19.23	12.49	43.82	56.32
T <sub>9</sub>	57.13	27.91	85.04	11.33	7.95	19.28	13.13	44.63	57.76
T <sub>10</sub>	58.32	28.65	86.98	11.66	8.14	19.81	13.52	45.28	58.80
T <sub>11</sub>	49.57	24.23	73.80	9.11	6.06	15.18	9.54	38.89	48.43
T <sub>12</sub>	50.43	24.65	75.08	9.35	6.19	15.54	10.77	39.00	49.77
T <sub>13</sub>	53.81	26.28	80.09	10.66	7.43	18.08	11.98	41.83	53.81
T <sub>14</sub>	64.56	32.50	97.06	13.67	9.71	23.39	17.32	51.55	68.87
T <sub>15</sub>	59.99	29.32	89.30	12.27	8.56	20.84	14.62	46.70	61.31
T <sub>16</sub>	21.88	9.15	31.03	3.34	2.14	5.48	3.46	16.51	19.98
S.Em ±	2.27	1.28	3.32	0.66	0.64	1.22	0.70	1.92	2.35
C.D. at 5%	6.55	3.68	9.69	1.92	1.84	3.52	2.02	5.53	6.79

**Table 3:** Impact of various weed control methods on the nutrient uptake of weeds and quality of rice

Symbols	Nutrient uptake by weed (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Protein content in Grain (%)
	N	P	K	
T <sub>1</sub>	15.99	8.77	15.99	7.50
T <sub>2</sub>	14.63	8.15	15.59	7.40
T <sub>3</sub>	11.89	6.56	13.12	7.38
T <sub>4</sub>	12.88	6.66	13.74	7.44
T <sub>5</sub>	8.42	4.68	9.67	7.58
T <sub>6</sub>	7.31	3.99	7.97	7.67
T <sub>7</sub>	5.27	3.01	5.81	7.79
T <sub>8</sub>	3.91	2.03	4.06	7.81
T <sub>9</sub>	3.91	2.08	4.31	7.90
T <sub>10</sub>	3.16	1.65	3.56	7.92
T <sub>11</sub>	12.62	7.15	13.04	7.48
T <sub>12</sub>	9.79	5.40	10.13	7.52
T <sub>13</sub>	7.17	3.86	9.38	7.69
T <sub>14</sub>	2.35	1.17	2.94	8.06
T <sub>15</sub>	2.98	1.63	3.52	7.96
T <sub>16</sub>	34.49	18.97	34.49	6.94
S.Em ±	0.75	0.41	0.80	0.10
C.D. at 5%	2.16	2.18	2.31	0.28

## Conclusion

The study elucidated the significant influence of various weed control methods on both the nutrient uptake of rice and weeds, as well as the quality of rice. Notably, treatments involving oxyfluorfen pre-emergence followed by 2,4-D post-emergence (Treatment T<sub>14</sub>) and two manual weeding's at 20 and 40 days after sowing (DAS) (Treatment T<sub>15</sub>) exhibited superior performance in enhancing nutrient uptake by rice, particularly nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), while concurrently suppressing weed growth. These findings align with previous research and underscore the importance of effective weed management strategies in optimizing rice production and quality. Moreover, Treatment T<sub>14</sub> showed a significant increase in rice grain protein content, further emphasizing its potential to enhance the nutritional quality of rice. Thus, the study provides valuable insights into the selection and implementation of weed control methods to maximize both yield and quality in dibbled rice cultivation.

## References

1. Azmi M, Chin DV, Vongsaroj P, Johnson DE. Emerging issues in weed management of direct-seeded rice in Malaysia, Vietnam, and Thailand. In: Rice is Life: Scientific Perspectives for the 21st Century, Proceedings of the World Rice Research Conference, 4–7 November 2004, Tsukuba, Japan; c2005. p. 196-198.
2. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphate in soil. *Soil Sci.* 1945;59:39.
3. Farooq M, Siddique KHM, Rehman H, Aziz T, Dong-Jin Lee, Wahid A. Rice direct seeding: Experiences, challenges and opportunities. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2011;111:87-98.
4. Jackson ML. *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Wisconsin Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp. 46 and 128.
5. Mandal D, Singh D, Rakesh Kumar, Anupma Kumari, Vinod Kumar. Effects on production potential and economics of direct seeded rice sowing dates and weed management techniques. *Indian J of Weed Sci.* 1973-2011;43(3&4):139-144.
6. Ravichandran VK. Integrated management is necessary to check the weeds in direct sown rice. *Indian Fmg.* 1991;41(5):5-6.
7. Sawant YC. Effect of different weed management practices on the performance of direct seeded drilled rice. M.Sc. Thesis, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, India; c2003.
8. Singh A, Singh RK, Kumar P, Singh S. Growth, weed control and yield of direct-seeded rice as influenced by different herbicides. *Indian J of Weed Sci.* 2013;45(4):235-238.
9. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in soil. *Curr. Sci.* 1956;25(8):259-260.
10. Tendulkar DD. Integrated weed management in upland drilled rice. M.Sc. Thesis, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, India; c2004.
11. Verma PK, Dhama V, Yadav P. Efficacy of different herbicides in transplanted Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under different nutrient Option. *Plant Archives.* 2013;13(2):1123-1128.