International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy www.agronomyjournals.com 2018; 1(1): 19-21 Received: 20-02-2018 Accepted: 24-03-2018

Abhilash Kujur

Department of Agronomy, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

AP Singh

Department of Agronomy, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Anjum Ahmad

Department of Agronomy, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Correspondence Abhilash Kujur Department of Agronomy, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Effect of herbicides on mixed weed flora and economics of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Abhilash Kujur, AP Singh and Anjum Ahmad

Abstract

The present experiment was conducted at Instructional cum Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, IGKV, Raipur (C.G.) during *Kharif* season 2013 to find out the most effective weed management in direct seeded Rice. The eleven different weed management practices were laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Rice variety "MTU-1010" was grown as a test crop. Rice was sown on 20^{th} June, 2013 with a spacing of 20 cm (row to row). The crop was fertilized with 100, 60 and 40 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹, respectively. The results of experiment indicated that lower weed density and dry matter accumulation at initial period of crop growth responded significantly better to oxadiargyl @ 100 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS (T₃) and this was followed by pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS (T₄). At later period of growth, lower weed density and dry matter accumulation under pendimethalin @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS (T₅) favoured significant enhancement in number of effective tillers, weed index, straw and grain yield. The experimental field dominated by mainly *Echinochloa colona, Alternanthera triandra, Cyperus iria, Spilanthes acmella, Cyanotis axillaris* throughout the crop season. It was also observed that all the herbicide treatments were effective and significantly enhanced the grain yield over control.

Keywords: Rice, oxadiargyl, bispyribac sodium, pyrazosulfuron, pendimethalin, weed

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), is considered as a major cereal, which can be viewed from the fact that it occupies 11 per cent of world's crop area. Rice is a staple food for more than 60% of the world population, especially in South and South-east Asia and Latin America. Direct seeding of rice refers to the process of establishing the crop from seeds sown in the field rather than by transplanting seedlings from the nursery. Weeds are most serious biological constraints in direct seeded rice, because weed emergence coincide with the seedlings due to which the productivity is often lower. Rice grain production in India suffers a yearly loss of 15 million tonnes due to weed competition. Chemical weed control is regarded to be better than hand weeding due to drudgery involved in weeding and unavailability of labour at peak period of weed infestation. In this respect, application of new and wide spectrum herbicide alone or in combination may give satisfactory weed control.

Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted at Instructional cum Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, IGKV, Raipur (C.G.) during *Kharif* season (June to October) of 2013 to find out the most effective weed management method in direct seeded Rice. The eleven different weed management practices were laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Rice variety "MTU-1010" was grown as a test crop. Rice was sown on 20th June, 2013 with a spacing of 20 cm (row to row) and was harvested in third week of October. The crop was fertilized with 100, 60 and 40 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹, respectively.

Results and discussion

The predominant weeds in experimental field were *Echinochloa colona*, *Alternanthera triandra*, *Cyperus iria*, *Spilanthes acmella*, *Cyanotis axillaris* throughout the crop season. The results of experiment indicated that lower weed density and dry matter accumulation at initial period of

crop growth responded significantly better to oxadiargyl @ 100 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS (T₃) and this was followed by pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS (T₄). At later period of growth, lower weed density and dry matter accumulation under pendimethalin @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS (T₅) favoured significant enhancement in number of effective tillers, weed index, straw and grain yield. Timely and effective control of weeds with integrated use of pre-and post-emergence herbicides resulted in increased yield components, which ultimately reflect on grain

yield. Similar result was found by Walia *et al.* (2008) ^[15] and Yadav *et al.* (2011) ^[17]. Among different weed management practices, higher gross return (Rs. 73,876 ha⁻¹) and net return (Rs. 49,516 ha⁻¹) was obtained under pendimethalin @ 1000 g ha⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha⁻¹ at 21 DAS followed by HW at 45 DAS. However highest B: C (2.24) ratio was obtained under oxadiargyl @100 g ha⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha⁻¹ at 25 DAS Gowda (2009) was of the similar views. Maximum (154.35 MJ × 10⁻³ ha⁻¹) net energy output was recorded at pendimethalin @ 1000 g ha⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha⁻¹ at 21 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha⁻¹ at 21 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha⁻¹ at 25 DAS Gowda

Treatment	Weed density (No.m ⁻²)	Dry matter (g m ⁻²)
	At harvest	At harvest
T_1 : Bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS	10.09	10.34
	(101.34)	(9.89)
T ₂ : Pendimethalin* @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹	8.03	7.42
at 25DAS	(64.0)	(54.6)
	6.46	6.47
T ₃ : Oxadiargyl 100 @ g ha $^{-1}$ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha $^{-1}$ at 25 DAS	(41.33)	(41.4)
T4: Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ha $^{-1}$ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha $^{-1}$ at 25 DAS	5.70	6.57
	(32.0)	(42.7)
T ₅ : Pendimethalin* @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS fb HW at 45 DAS	3.89	4.48
	(14.67)	(24.4)
	6.46	6.79
T_6 : Pendimethalin* @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb HW at 26 DAS	(41.33)	(45.7)
	6.57	6.14
T ₇ : Bispyribac sodium @ 20 g ha $^{-1}$ + (CME + MSM) @ 4 g ha $^{-1}$ at 21 DAS	(42.67)	(37.2)
	8.35	8.8
T_8 : Azimsulfuron @ 35 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS	(69.34)	(77.0)
T9: Mechanical weeding at 20,40 and 60 DAS	9.47	10.17
	(89.34)	(103.0)
T ₁₀ : HW at 20,40 and 60 DAS	4.22	5.04
	(17.33)	(25.0)
T ₁₁ : Unweeded control	14.42	14.72
	(207.57)	(216.3)
SEm ± CD(P=0.05)	0.56	0.37
	1.68	1.10

CME+MSM = Chlorimuron ethyl + Metsulfuron methyl; DAS = Days after sowing; fb = followed by; HW = Hand weeding; * Pendimethalin (stomp xtra 38.7% CS); Original data are given in parenthesis. Without yield mentioned how can it possible analyze of B:C ratio

Treatment	Total cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Gross return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Benefit cost ratio (Rs. ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : Bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 21DAS	21355	61387	40032	1.87
T ₂ : Pendimethalin* @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS	22530	71983	49453	2.19
T ₃ : Oxadiargyl @100 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS	22005	71308	49303	2.24
T ₄ : Pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS	21818	66383	44565	2.04
T ₅ : Pendimethalin* @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS fb bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS fb HW at 45 DAS	24360	73876	49516	2.03
T ₆ : Pendimethalin* @ 1000 g ha $^{-1}$ at 2 DAS fb HW at 26 DAS	23700	65708	42008	1.77
T ₇ : Bispyribac sodium @ 20 g ha ⁻¹ + (CME + MSM) @ 4 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS	21680	59670	37990	1.75
T ₈ : Azimsulfuron @ 35 g ha ⁻¹ at 21 DAS	22155	65495	43340	1.96
T ₉ : Mechanical weeding at 20,40 and 60 DAS	20878	62123	41245	1.98
T ₁₀ : HW at 20,40 and 60 DAS	30760	69556	38796	1.26
T_{11} : Unweeded control	19780	13389		

CME+MSM = Chlorimuron ethyl + Metsulfuron methyl; DAS = Days after sowing; fb = followed by; HW = Hand weeding; * Pendimethalin (stomp xtra 38.7% CS)

Conclusion

On the basis of results obtained, it was concluded that oxadiargyl @ 100 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS (T₃) followed by pyrazosulfuron @ 20 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by bispyribac sodium @ 25 g ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAS (T₄) were effective at initial stage (at 20 DAS) of rice growth but in later stages (60 DAS and at harvest) pendimethalin @ 1000 g ha ⁻¹ at 2 DAS followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS (T₅) were found equally effective to control the broad spectrum weeds in direct seeded rice. Also its application proved best with respect to rice production (maximum rice yield 5.16 t ha⁻¹). The maximum total cost of cultivation (Rs. 30,760.00 ha⁻¹) was recorded under HW at 20, 40 and 60 DAS (T₁₀).

References

- Ahmed GJU, Mamun AA, Hossain SMA, Mridha AJ, Hossain ST. Agro-economic study of weed control in direct seeded Aus rice in the farmer's field. Annals of Bangladesh Agriculture. 2000; 8(2):111-118.
- 2. Ashraf MM, Awan TH, Manzoor Z, Ahmad M, Safdar ME. Screening of herbicides for weed management in transplanted rice. Journal of Animal and Plant Science. 2006; 16(1-2).
- Bahar FA, Singh G. Effect of herbicides on dry seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and associated weed. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2004; 36(3-4):269-270.
- 4. Dixit A, Varshney JG. Assessment of post-emergence herbicides in direct seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2008; 40(3-4):144-147.
- Gopinath KA, Kundu S. Evaluation of metsulfuron methyl and chlorimuron ethyl for weed control in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2008; 78(5):466-469.
- Gowda PT, Shankaraiah C, Jnanesh AC, Govindappa M, Murthy KN. Studies on chemical weed control in aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Crop and Weed. 2009; 5(1):321-324.
- Kathiresan G, Manoharan ML. Effect of seed rate and method of weed control on weed growth and yield of directsown rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2002; 47(2):212-215.
- Mann RA, Ahmad S, Hassan G, Baloch MS. Weed management in direct seeded Rice crop. Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research. 2007; 13(3-4):219-226.
- 9. Nair HG, Choubey NK, Shrivastava GK. Influence of nitrogen and weed management practices on weed dynamics in direct seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2002; 34(1-2):134-136.
- Rao AN, Johnson DE, Sivaprasad B, Ladha JK, Mortimer AM. Weed Management in Direct-Seeded Rice. Advances in Agronomy. 2007; 93:153-255.
- 11. Saha S. Efficacy of herbicides in wet direct sown summer rice. CRRI, Cuttack. Indian J Weed Sci. 2006; 38:45-48.
- 12. Singh M, Singh RP. Efficacy of herbicides under different methods of direct-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) establishments. Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2010; 80(9):815-9.
- Singh RK, Namdeo KN. Effect of fertility levels and herbicides on growth yield and nutrient uptake of direct seeded rice. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004; 49(1):34-36.
- 14. Tiwari N. Effect of herbicide on performance of direct seeded rice, weed dynamic and soil microflora. M. Sc. (Ag)

Agronomy Thesis, I.G.K.V. Raipur, (C.G.), 2002.

- Walia US, Bhullar MS, Nayyar S, Walia SS. Control of complex weed flora of dry-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with pre- and post-emergence herbicides. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2008; 40(3-4):161-164.
- Walia US, Bhullar MS, Shelly N, Sidhu AS. Role of seed rate and herbicides on the growth and development of direct dry-seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2009; 41(1-2):33-36.
- 17. Yadav DB, Yadav A, Malik RK, Gill G. Combination of bispyribac-sodium with azimsulfuron or pyrazosulfuron for control of complex weedflora in direct seeded rice. Environment and Ecology. 2011; 29(4):1840-1844.