International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X

www.agronomyjournals.com
2024; 7(4): 258-261
Received: 03-02-2024
Accepted: 05-03-2024

Kumar Raj
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rajesh Singh
Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Akankhya Pradhan
Ph.D Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Kumar Raj
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Influence of biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Kumar Raj, Rajesh Singh and Akankhya Pradhan

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i4d.547

Abstract
The field study took place at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.), India, during the Rabi season of 2022. To research how Zinc Sulphate and Bio-fertilizers affect the production and growth of Maize. PSB, Azotobacter, PSB + Azotobacter, and Zinc Sulphate 20, 25, and 30 kg/ha make up the treatments. The soil on the experimental plot had a sandy loamy texture, had a pH of 7.8, and had little organic carbon (0.35%). The results showed that the greater plant height (159.03 cm), plant dry weight (162.70 g/plant), crop growth rate (26.250 g/m2/day), number of cobs per plant (1.8), number of rows per cob (16.8), number of seeds per cob (553.4), higher 100 seed weight (29.3 gm), higher Grain yield (6.5 t/ha) higher straw yield (12.9 t/ha), and Harvest index (33.8) were significantly influenced with the application of PSB + *Azotobacter* + zinc Sulphate 30 kg/ha.

Keywords: Maize; bio-fertilizers; zinc boron; growth parameters; yield attributes

Introduction

Next to wheat and rice, maize (*Zea mays* L.) ranks third in importance as a grain both globally and in India. It may flourish in humid, subtropical, warm temperate, and tropical climates, as well as warm temperate regions. It is also grown in the tropics. Production of maize occupies a unique position historically, economically, and agronomically. Due to its potential applications in the production of starch, plastic, rayon, dye, resins, boot polish, syrups, ethanol, etc., it is used as food, feed, and fodder and is also becoming extremely important. A maize grain's nutritional composition is around 70% carbohydrates, 10% protein, 4% oil, 2.3% crude fibre, 10% aluminium, and 1.4% ash. Due to its C4 plant type and excellent ability to transform solar energy into the creation of dry matter, maize has a very high productivity. Because it is a miracle crop, it is referred to as the "Queen of Cereals". From latitudes 50° N to 40° S, from sea level to elevations greater than 3000 m, and in regions with annual rainfall ranging from 250 to 500 mm, maize is grown in nearly every region of the nation.

Biofertilizer is a substance with microorganism(s) put to the soil to make specific nutrients directly or indirectly available to plants for sustenance. Nitrogen fixers, rhizobacteria that promote plant development, and other agents are examples of various biofertilizers. Bio-fertilizer often comprises microorganisms with specialised functions, such as Azospirillum to fix N2 and P solubilizing bacteria to solubilize P from the soil and fertiliser to be available to the plants. Numerous researchers had carried out tests to assess the reactions of various plants, including juvenile Robusta coffee.

The micronutrient zinc and boron are most frequently found to be the factor limiting maize output. With phosphate (P) or potassium (K) fertilisers, zinc and boron are frequently administered physically to maize crops. According to Alloway (2009) [25], zinc sulphate is the most used zinc source. Crops that lack zinc are more likely to be maize. Zinc insufficiency in the soil-crop system has developed over the past few decades due to the selective cultivation of high yielding maize varieties, greater purity of chemical fertilisers employed, and intensification of cropping. According to Mengel and Kirkby, zinc is critical for the proper operation of several enzyme systems, the production of nucleic acids and auxins (a plant hormone), protein metabolism, and normal crop development and growth. Zinc and phosphorus, both necessary for plant growth, can be hostile to one another under certain conditions, such as when zinc absorption is sluggish or insufficient and phosphorus supply is excessive.

Materials and Methods

At the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, the experiment was carried out during *Kharif* of 2023. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with 10 treatments each replicated thrice. Each treatment's plot was 3m x 3m in size. Micronutrients levels at (30, 40 and 50 DAS) and bio-fertilizers (PSB, Azotobacter, PSB + Azotobacter/kg seed) are factors. The maize harvest was planted on November 17, 2023. Each plot was harvested by removing 1 m2 of land. Five plants were then at random chosen from it to record the yield and growth characteristics. The treatment details are as follows, T_1 -(Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%), T_2 -(Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron 0.75%), T_3 –(Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron: 1%), T_4 -(PSB 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%), T_5 -(PSB 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron 0.75%), T_6 -(PSB 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%), T_7 -(Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%), T_8 -(Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%), T_9 -(Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%), and Control Plot. The observations were recorded for plant height, dry weight, Crop growth rate, number of No. of cobs/plant, No. of seeds/cob, No. of seed row/cob, Seed index, see yield and stover yield. The data was subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance method.

Results and Discussion

Plant height: Though statistically comparable to treatment-9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%), treatment-8 Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was not statistically superior. The availability of nutrients to the plant at critical growth phases in a timely manner causes a significant difference in plant height, and the administration of zinc stimulates the creation of IAA, which raises plant height. Alka Jyoti Sharma *et al*.

Plant dry weight: At Harvest, Treatment-9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%) had noticeably taller plants (162.70 gramme). The statistical comparison between treatment-8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) and treatment-9 Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%) was nonetheless equal. Interestingly, the fresh weight and dry weight of the plant were measured after Azotobacter inoculation. The microbial balance, inhibition of pathogenic microbes, metabolism of soil phosphate, and production of compounds that promote plant development after germination are all ways that Azotobacter might influence plant growth in addition to fixing nitrogen. The impact of Azotobacter inoculation on plant dry weight is consistent with a previous study conducted on maize by Jarak *et al.* According to Ghodpage *et al.* the increase in yield could be attributed to the proper supply of Zn in the soil up until the stages of harvesting, which may have resulted in increased photosynthetic activity for a longer period of time and their favourable effect on plant metabolism, ultimately increasing dry-matter accumulation.

Crop growth rate

At 60–80 DAS, Treatment-9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg 1% Zinc + Boron 1%) showed considerably higher crop growth rate (64.12 g/m2/day). Treatment 8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was statistically comparable to Treatment 9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). According to Monib *et al.*, an increase in soil nitrogen by fixation by azotobacter inoculation has boosted crop growth. Fallik *et al.* reported that under controlled circumstances, *Zea mays* showed improved root and shoot development.

Yield attributes

Number of cobs/plant

Treatment 9 with (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%), which was much better than the rest of the treatments, had a considerable and greater number of Cobs/plant (1.8). Treatment 8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was discovered to be statistically equivalent to Treatment 9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). Because of the secretion of growth-promoting substances like gibberellin, cytokinin, and auxin as well as the availability of nitrogen fixed by the microorganisms, seed inoculation with Azotobacter and PSB resulted in a significant increase in the number of cobs per plant in the current Investigation. This favourable environment also allowed for better root growth.

Number of seeds/cob

Treatment 9 with (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%) had a greater and much more significant number of Seeds/cob (553.4) than the other treatments. However, it was discovered that treatment-8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was statistically equivalent to treatment-9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). In this field experiment, the use of biofertilizers and zinc together barely boosted the quantity of grains per cob. Given that the number of grains per cob is a direct indicator of pollen viability and that magnesium has been shown to increase fruit set and pollen viability as well as have a significant impact on pollen formation, Mahgoub *et al*. and Siam *et al*. (2008) [26] speculate that the increase in grains per cob is the result of the presence of magnesium in multi-nutrient solutions.

Seed Index (gm)

Treatment 9 with (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%) had a considerably higher Test weight (29.35 gm), which was superior to the other treatments. Treatment 8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was discovered to be statistically equivalent to Treatment 9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). The increased availability of nitrogen, which led to an increase in leaf area, may be the cause of the rise in yield components. The results were consistent with those of Kader *et al.*, who found that Azotobacter, a bio-fertilizer, enhances nitrogen availability in the soil, which may increase the number of grains and 100-grain weight.

Seed yield (t/ha)

Treatment 9 with (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%), which was much better than the other treatments, had a considerable and higher Seeds yield (6.5 t/ha). Treatment 8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc

+ Boron0.75%) was discovered to be statistically equivalent to Treatment 9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). Due to the availability of nutrients in the right quantities during the crop's reproductive period, the use of biofertilizer contributed to the development of maize yield attributing characteristics. The application of zinc, which enhanced the concentration of chlorophyll, is responsible for the rise in yield. It appears that seed treatment with biofertilizers had a good impact on photosynthetic activity, the synthesis of metabolites and growth-regulating chemicals, oxidation and metabolic activities, and ultimately enhanced growth and development of crop, which led to increase in yield attributes of baby corn. These results are in agreement with the findings of Chand *et al.* and Naik *et al.*

Stover yield (t/ha)
Treatment 9 with (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%) had a considerable and higher Stover yield (12.9 t/ha), which was much better than the other treatments. However, it was discovered that treatment 8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was statistically equivalent to treatment 9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). Zinc fertilisation has positive effects on plant growth and metabolism, increasing output. Zinc treatment and the use of biofertilizers such Azotobacter increased the production of green cob and green fodder, and the results were confirmed by Tariq *et al*. and Palai *et al*. (2018) [27].

Harvest Index (%)
Treatment 9 with (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%), which was much better than the other treatments, had a considerable and higher Harvest Index (33.8%). However, it was discovered that treatment 8 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%) was statistically equivalent to treatment 9 (Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%). According to data that concur with Afzal *et al*., a biofertilizer's beneficial effects may be attributable to its capacity to boost the availability of phosphorus and other nutrients, particularly when the soil is particularly calcareous, which reduces the availability of nutrients [25-27].

Table 1: Influence of bio-fertilizers and zinc sulphate on growth parameters of maize

S. No.	Treatment combinations	Plant height	Plant Dry Weight	Crop growth rate
1.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%	148.23	142.72	52.50
2.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron 0.75%	149.87	148.33	54.75
3.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron: 1%	152.45	151.32	57.75
4.	PSB 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%	151.99	152.70	60.37
5.	PSB 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron 0.75%	154.04	156.71	60.16
6.	PSB 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%	155.72	158.72	61.61
7.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%	154.97	159.00	63.75
8.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%	156.65	161.32	62.62
9.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%	159.03	162.70	64.12
10.	Control (N-P-K 120-60-40 kg/ha)	143.50	146.07	53.62
	F test	S	S	S
	S.Em (±)	2.88	2.07	1.63
	CD (p=0.05)	8.54	6.15	4.85

Table 2: Influence of bio-fertilizers and zinc sulphate on yield attributes of maize

S. No.	Treatments	No. of. Cobs/plant	No. of. Rows/Cobs	No. of. Seeds/Cob	Seed index (gm)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Stover yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%	1.3	12.1	332.6	26.0	3.6	7.4	32.1
2.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron 0.75%	1.4	14.1	351.5	26.8	3.8	8.9	30.4
3.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron: 1%	1.5	14.5	383.4	27.6	3.9	9.8	28.6
4.	PSB 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%	1.3	12.7	374.3	26.9	4.0	10.5	27.7
5.	PSB 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron 0.75%	1.6	14.7	425.4	27.4	4.2	11.1	27.6
6.	PSB 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%	1.7	14.9	463.7	27.9	4.5	11.4	29.3
7.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.5% Zinc + Boron 0.5%	1.6	14.4	495.3	27.2	5.4	11.9	32.1
8.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 0.75% Zinc + Boron0.75%	1.7	16.1	519.7	28.4	6.3	12.3	33.4
9.	Azotobacter 25 g/kg + PSB: 25 g/kg + 1% Zinc + Boron 1%	1.8	16.8	553.4	29.3	6.5	12.9	33.8
10.	Control (N-P-K 120-60-40 kg/ha)	1.4	12.4	336.4	26.7	4.3	9.9	30.7
	F-Test	S	S	S	NS	S	S	S
	S.Em(±)	0.05	0.38	6.51	0.74	0.07	0.85	0.77
	CD (p=0.05)	0.14	1.13	19.34	---	0.22	2.52	2.28

Conclusion
The application of (PSB + Azotobacter + $ZnSO_4$- 30 kg/ha) resulted in greater seed performance (6.5 t/ha) compared to other treatments. Results may need to be confirmed by additional testing as they are based on studies that were only completed during one season

References

1. Singh R, Totawat KL. Effect of integrated use of nitrogen on the performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) on haplustalfs of sub-humid southern plains of Rajasthan. Indian J Agric Res. 2002;36(2):102-107.
2. Alley MM, Martens DC, Schnappinger MG, Hawkins GW. Field calibration of soil tests for available zinc. Soil Sci Soc

Am J. 1972;36(4):621-624.
3. Shekh BA, Das K, Dang RTN. Biotechnology and biofertilization: Key to sustainable agriculture. 2006;1.
4. Shevananda. Influence of bio-fertilizers on the availability of nutrients (N, P and K) in soil in relation to growth and yield of stevia rebaudiana grown in South India. Int J Appl Res Nat Prod. 2008;1(1):20-24.
5. Saraswati R, Sumarno. Application of soil microorganisms as a component of agriculture technology. IPTEK Tan Pangan. 2008;3:41.
6. Guntoro D, Purwoko, R.G. Hurriyah. Growth, nutrient uptake, and quality of turfgrass at some dosages of mycorrhiza application. Bul. Agron. 2007;35:142-147.
7. Fageria NK, de Morais OP, dos Santos AB. Nitrogen use efficiency in upland rice genotypes. J Plant Nutr. 2010;33(11):1696-1711.
8. Nuru Seid Tehulie. Review on critical period of weed competition and management in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Int. J Hortic Food Sci. 2021;3(2):44-48.
9. Mengal K, Kirkby EA. Principles of plant Nutrition 5th edition. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht; c1982.
10. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Three or more factor experiments. In: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research 2nd Ed. 1976;139-141.
11. Sharma AJ, Singh MK, Kumar S, Shambhavi S. Effect of plant geometry, graded fertility and zinc level on growth characters, yield, and quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) fodder in Bihar. Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8(3):816-821.
12. Joshi G, Chilwal A. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). Int J Adv Agric Sci Technol. 2018;5(7):216-225.
13. Jarak M, Mrkovacki N, Bjelic D, Josic D, Jafari TH, Stamenov D. Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on maize in greenhouse and field trial. Afr J Microbiol Res. 2012;6(27):5683-5690.
14. Ghodpage RM, Balpanda SS, Babhulkar VP, Pongade S. Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on nutrient content in roots, yield, and nutritional quality of maize. J Soils Crops. 2008;18:458-461.
15. Monib M, Abd-el-Malek Y, Hosny I, Fayez M. Effect of Azotobacter inoculation on plant growth and soil nitrogen. Zentralbl Bakteriol Naturwiss. 1979;134(2):140-148.
16. Fallik E, Okon Y, Fischer M. Growth response of maize roots to Azospirillum inoculation: effect of soil organic matter content, number of rhizosphere bacteria, and timing of inoculation. Soil Biol Biochem. 1988;20(1):45-49.
17. Ritchie WS, John J. Hanway, Garreno, B. How a corn plant develops. Special report. No. 48. Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Cooperative Extension Service; c1993.
18. Singh S, Singh V, Mishra P. Effect of NPK, boron, and Zinc on productivity and profitability of late-sown kharif maize (*Zea mays* L.) in western Uttar Pradesh, India. Annals of Agricultural New Series. 2017;38(3):310-313.
19. Mahgoub M, H, El-Quesni FEM, Kandil MM. Response of vegetative growth and chemical constituents of *Schefflera arboricola* L. Plant to foliar application of inorganic fertilizer (Grow-More) and ammonium nitrate at Nubaria. Ozean J Appl Sci. 2010;3:177-184.
20. Gupta S, Swaroop N, Thomas T, Dawson J, Rao SP. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and zinc on physico-chemical properties of soil, growth, and yield of maize (*Zea may* L.) var. Shivani. Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(6):2105-2108.
21. Kader MA, Mian MH, Hoque MS. Effect of Azotobacter inoculants on the yield and nitrogen uptake by wheat. J Biol Sci. 2002;4:259-261.
22. Shaikh Wasim Chand SR, Sreelatha D, Shanti M, Hussain SA. Effect of zinc fertilization on yield and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(5):989-992.
23. Naik C, Meena MK, Ramesha YM, Amaregouda A, Ravi MV, Dhanoji MM. Morphophysiological impact of growth indices to Biofortification on growth and yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. Saccharata). Bull Environ Pharmacol Life Sci. 2020;9(3):37-43.
24. Azeem Tariq SA, Anjum MA, Randhawa EU *et al*. Influence of zinc nutrition on growth and yield behavior of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. Am J Plant Sci. 2014;5:2646-2654.
25. Alloway TP. Working memory, but not IQ, predicts subsequent learning in children with learning difficulties. European journal of psychological assessment. 2009 Jan;25(2):92-98.
26. Samson A, Siam H. Adapting to major chronic illness: A proposal for a comprehensive task-model approach. Patient Education and Counseling. 2008 Mar 1;70(3):426-429.
27. Palai A, Vora M, Shah A. Empowering light nodes in blockchains with block summarization. In2018 9th IFIP international conference on new technologies, mobility and security (NTMS); c2018. p. 1-5. IEEE.