

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(4): 12-14 Received: 19-01-2024 Accepted: 22-02-2024

## Shivam Singh

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Chandra Bhanu Gupt Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Bakshi Ka Talab, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Shubhranshu Singh

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Acharaya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Gajendra Singh

Professor, Department of Agronomy, Chandra Bhanu Gupt Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Bakshi Ka Talab, University of Lucknow, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Vinod Bahadur Singh

Subject Matter Specialist, Krishi Vigyan Kendra-Basti, Acharaya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

## **Hariom Mishra**

Subject Matter Specialist, Krishi Vigyan Kendra-Basti, Acharaya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Corresponding Author: Shubhranshu Singh

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Acharaya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of conservation tillage and weed management practices on weeds on nutrients content and uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Shivam Singh, Shubhranshu Singh, Gajendra Singh, Vinod Bahadur Singh and Hariom Mishra

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i4a.514

#### Abstract

An experiment on "Effect of conservation tillage and weed management practices on weeds on Nutrients content and uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)" was conducted at Shradhay Bhagwati Singh Agriculture Research farm, Hazipur, Chandra Bhanu Gupt Krishi Mahavidyalaya, B.K.T., Lucnkow (U.P.) during *Rabi* Season of 2022-23 The experiment was laid out in split plot design (SPD) with conversation tillage and four weed control practice. Results revealed that among tillage practices crop received zero tillage + residue and in weed management practices Sulfosulfuron 75% WP @ 24 g A.I./ha found significantly superior respect to nutrients content and uptake by wheat.

Keywords: Triticum aestivum, conservation tillage, weed management, nutrients content

## Introduction

Roughly 2.5 billion people, or 36% of the world's population, depend on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), one of the most important staple crops in the world. Improvements in its production have made the nation self-sufficient in food grains. Roughly 55% of all carbohydrates and 20% of all dietary calories consumed worldwide come from it (USDA, 2019) <sup>[12]</sup>. Due to improved irrigation and fertilizer facilities (Avena ludoviciana Dur), wheat varieties have led to an issue with grassy weeds, especially Phalaris minor Retz and wild oats, depending on the severity of the infestation. Broadleaf and grassy weeds together can cause significant crop losses and make weed control more difficult (Singh *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[10]</sup>.

## Methodology

The experiment was carried out during Rabi 2022-23 at Shradhay Bhagwati Singh Agriculture Research Farm, Hajipur, Chandra Bhanu Gupta Krishi Mahavidyalaya, BKT, Lucknow (U.P.). The field was well leveled having good soil condition. In order to determine the physicochemical characteristics of experimental plot a soil sample was collected from different places at random with the help of soil augar to a depth of 0-15 cm prior to application of fertilizers. The soil sample representing the whole field was taken and analyzed in laboratory for physicochemical properties. The experiment was laid out in split plot design (SPD) with conversation tillage and four weed control practice with combination of 12 treatment and replicated three times. The treatments were allotted randomly to various main plots and sub plots.

## **Result and Discussion**

The data pertaining to nutrient content (%) in grain and straw and its uptake are subjected to statistically analyzed and present in table .A perusal of data indicate that tillage practices and weed management affected the nutrients content and its uptake by grain and straw statistically. Crop received zero tillage + residue ( $T_2$ ) recorded higher content (%) of N, P and K and its uptake by grain and straw significantly over rest of the treatments. The lowest content (%) and uptake of N, P and K was recorded with conventional tillage ( $T_1$ ).

The higher uptake of N, P and K by grain and straw with zero tillage + Residue ( $T_3$ ) was mainly because of higher grain and straw yield with this treatments. Post emergence spray of sulfosulfuron @ 25 g A.I./ha recorded the highest content (%) and its uptake (N, P and K) by grain and straw which was followed by metsulfuron @ 60 g A.I./h and 2, 4-D @ 0.75 kg/ha. The lowest content (%) and uptake of N, P and K was observed under weedy check treatment.

The higher availability of nutrients owing to efficient control of weeds by zero tillage + residue recorded higher content (%) of N, P, K and higher uptake of N, P and K by grain and straw was mainly due to higher grain and straw yield with this treatments.

Weedy check recorded the lowest content (%) of N, P and K is grain and straw was because of lower availability of Nutrients in soil due to higher weed dry weight and lower uptake due to lower grain and straw yield. Post emergence spray of sulfosulfuron recorded higher content (%) of nutrients and its uptake as compared to metsulfuron and 2, 4-D was due to higher availability of nutrients plant which provided higher grain and straw yield and higher uptake of N, P and K by crop. Poor availability of nutrients to crop under weedy check resulted lover content (%) of N, P and K and its uptake due to poor grain and straw yield with this treatment.

Table 1: Uptake of N, P and K (kg/ha) by grain and straw as affected by tillage practices and weed management practices

Treatments		Nutrient Uptake (kg/ha)					
	Grain	Grain Content (%)			Straw Content (		
	N	P	K	N	P	K	
Tillage Practices							
Conventional tillage (CT)	75.97	9.58	21.30	57.58	13.55	76.55	
Zero tillage (ZT)	96.93	12.52	27.06	68.06	17.20	92.00	
Zero tillage + residue (ZTR)	102.77	14.86	28.87	74.81	19.48	100.52	
SEm+	2.22	0.40	0.44	0.60	0.45	1.27	
CD (P=0.05)	6.55	1.21	1.25	1.82	1.27	3.73	
Weed management							
Weedy check	55.11	7.33	15.47	43.92	10.43	58.75	
Metsulfuron 20% WP @ 20g A.I./ha (PoE)	101.35	13.51	27.44	73.46	19.53	96.12	
2,4-D @ 38@EC@0.8kg A.I./ha (PoE)	86.07	10.01	22.63	67.10	15.37	83.88	
Sulfosulfuron 75% WP @ 24 g A.I./ha	106.60	14.65	28.87	76.53	20.30	99.18	
SEm+	1.20	0.31	0.20	0.31	0.40	0.60	
CD (P=0.05)	3.55	0.92	0.61	0.92	1.21	1.80	

These results are in arrangement with Katara *et al.* (2012) <sup>[13]</sup>; Mishra *et al.* (2010) <sup>[14]</sup>; Mishra *et al.* (2021) <sup>[6]</sup>; Mishra *et al.* (2023) <sup>[7]</sup>; Ahmed *et al.* (2010) <sup>[1]</sup>; Choudhary *et al.* (2011) <sup>[15]</sup>; Sharma *et al.* (2011) <sup>[9]</sup>; Kumar *et al.* (2013) <sup>[5]</sup>; Upasani *et al.* (2014) <sup>[11]</sup>; Sharma *et al.* (2015) <sup>[8]</sup>; Choudhary *et al.* (2017) <sup>[3]</sup>; Kaur *et al.* (2018) <sup>[16]</sup>.

## Conclusion

Zero tillage + residue (ZTR) find significant higher than other tillage practices under nutrient uptake. Sulfosulfuron 75% WP @ 24 g A.I/ha significantly more suitable than other herbicides treatments under nutrient uptake

## References

- 1. Ahmed F, Tariquq MH. Effect of herbicides on the yield and yield components of wheat. International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Technology. 2010;6(3):27-30.
- 2. Chaudhary S, Hussain M, Iqbal J. Chemical weed control in wheat under irrigated conditions. Journal of Agriculture Research. 2011;49(3):353-361.
- 3. Choudhary RR, Yadav HL, Choudhary SL, Prajapat AL, Choudhary R. Effect competition, soil microbial activity, and rice productivity in conservation agriculture-based direct-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2017;63(2):129-136.
- 4. Kaur T, Brar LS. The residual effect of wheat applied sulfonylurea herbicides on succeeding crops as affected by soil pH. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2014;46(3):241-243.
- 5. Kumar S, Rana SS, Ramesh, Chander N. Herbicide combinations for broad-spectrum weed control in wheat. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(1):29-33.

- 6. Mishra H, Shanker S, Gupta A, Shukla VK, Verma D. Effect of fertility levels and weed management practices on growth and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under irrigated condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):1639-1641.
- 7. Mishra H, Tripathi A, Pal RP, Singh RS. Effect of fertility levels and weed management practices on weed species and weed dry matter accumulation. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(16):342-351.
- 8. Sharma N, Thakur N, Chopra P, Kumar S, Badiyala D. Evaluation of metsulfuron-methyl and clodinafop alone and in combination with other herbicides against weeds in wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.). Research on Crops. 2015;16(3):447-455.
- 9. Sharma SN, Singh RK. Productivity and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as influenced by weed management and seed rate. CAB Abstracts Progressive Agriculture. 2011;11(2):242-250.
- 10. Singh S, Yadav A, Malik RK, Singh H. Long term Effect of zero tillage sowing technique on weed flora and Productivity of wheat in rice wheat cropping zone of Indo-Gangetic Plains. International Proceedings of the International Workshop Herbicide resistance Management and zero tillage in rice wheat cropping system CCS HAU Hisar, India. 2002;(4-6):155-157.
- 11. Upasani RR, Barla S, Singh MK. Tillage and weed management in the direct-seeded rice-wheat cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014;59(2):75-79.
- 12. USDA. World Agricultural Production and Agricultural projections. Oxford University Press, 2019, 32.
- 13. Katara GK, Ansari NA, Singh A, Ramesh V, Salotra P. Evidence for involvement of Th17 type responses in post kala azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL). PLoS neglected

- tropical diseases. 2012 Jun 19;6(6):e1703.
- 14. Mishra S, Lalumière ML, Williams RJ. Gambling as a form of risk-taking: Individual differences in personality, risk-accepting attitudes, and behavioral preferences for risk. Personality and individual differences. 2010 Oct 1;49(6):616-21.
- 15. Choudhary AK, Sultana R, Pratap A, Nadarajan N, Jha UC. Breeding for abiotic stresses in pigeonpea. Journal of Food Legumes. 2011;24(3):165-74.
- 16. Kaur P, Stoltzfus J, Yellapu V. Descriptive statistics. International Journal of Academic Medicine. 2018 Jan 1;4(1):60-3.