



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; SP-7(4): 30-34

Received: 14-01-2024

Accepted: 17-02-2024

Nalish Kumar Anchal

Department of Forestry, College of
Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi
Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

Dr. Mahendra Nath Naugraiya

Department of Forestry, College of
Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi
Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

Phytosociological study of woody species in the Khujji forest ranges of Rajnandgaon district in CG

Nalish Kumar Anchal and Dr. Mahendra Nath Naugraiya

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i4Sa.510>

Abstract

Chhattisgarh is known for dense forest (44%) and it has forest division among them the survey of woody species structure was conducted in Rajnandgaon, Baghnadi and Khujji forest range of Rajnandgaon forest division Rajnandgaon district in C.G. Total woody species in Khujji forest range were identified as 50 therein 46 trees and 4 shrub with 81.2% Similarity index. The total woody species Density 444 ha⁻¹ was recorded in Khujji forest range with Abundance 4185.12 ha⁻¹ in Khujji forest range. The Basal area was recorded 21637 cm² ha⁻¹ in Khujji forest range. On the basis of Importance value index (IVI) of the top ten woody species were *Lagerstroemia parviflora*, *Anogeissus latifolia*, *Tectona grandis*, *Diospyros melanoxylon*, *Casearia graveolens*, *Terminalia elliptica*, *Cassia fistula*, *Madhuca indica*, *Embllica officinalis* and *Buchanania latifolia* in Khujji forest range. The Diversity and Dominance level of minor forest species was 1.58 and 0.03 in Khujji forest range.

Keywords: Frequency, density, basal area, abundance, relative frequency, density, abundance & dominance, diversity, similarity index and IVI

Introduction

India is a pioneer country in the field of NTFPs, production and utilization there are reported 45,000 plant species occurs in India of which is 12% are the global wealth of flowering plants and about 33% are endemic. (Jain, 1987) ^[15] and out of which 3,000 plant species are economic value. The income from woody species viz. Mahua yielding edible flowers, Mango (*Mangifera indica*), Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana*), Aonla (*Embllica officinalis*), Sal (*Shorea robusta*), Karanj (*Pongamia pinnata*), Tendu (*Diospyros melaonxylon*) have been played important role changing the local economy.

Chhattisgarh is endowed with rich forest wealth and more than 44% of the geographical area of the state is covered with luxuriant Sal (*Shorea robusta*), Teak (*Tectona grandis*), Bamboo species and mixed forests. (Bardani 2003) ^[16] surveyed the Bhoramdeo wildlife sanctuary of Kawardha district and report that out of 84 NTFPs there were 38 trees contributing the population structure of the dry deciduous forest.

The higher value of dominance in the forest particularly of woody species according to IVI was found to be differed area to area mainly due to variation in biogeography, habitat and disturbance (Sagar *et al.*, 2003) ^[13], which have also been considered as the important factor for structuring the forest communities (Burslem and whitmore, 1999) ^[3]. Srivastava *et al.*, (2005) ^[17] reported that the community characters differ with aspect, slop and altitude even in the same vegetation type.

In our study, we found that tree diversity decrease with increasing dominance was found to be related with higher density and abundance. Similar result was observed by Naugraiya *et al.* in the study of rangelands.

The higher value of dominance in the forest was due to lower species richness. Baduni and Sharma (1997) ^[18], as the dominance of Simpson's index was strangle affected by the IVI of the important species in a community. Species diversity (richness) and dominance (Simpson index) related to each other (Zobel *et al.*, 1976) ^[19]. That the forest with low diversity as a result of increased ecosystem resilience to disturbance. Therefore diversity tend to increase as the environment become more favorable and more predictable (Putman, 1994) ^[11].

Corresponding Author:

Nalish Kumar Anchal

Department of Forestry, College of
Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi
Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

Materials and Methods

Rajnandgaon is situated nearly in the central part of Chhattisgarh and lies between 20° 07' 08'' to 21° 49' 55'' North latitudes and 80° 23' 20'' to 81° 13' 05'' with an altitude of 298 m above the mean sea level. Rajnandgaon is one of the westerly located District of Chhattisgarh state. The climatic condition of CG is sub-humid dry mixed deciduous forest with average annual rainfall of 1200-1400 mm, of which 80% occurs during rainy season. May is the hottest and December is coolest month of year. The soil of Rajnandgaon belongs to four different orders viz. Entisols, Vertisols, Inceptisols and Alfisols. Low to medium nitrogen, high potassium and low to medium phosphorus with low organic matter. 3.1. The area has been covered by Vertisols & Alfisols. The total geographical area of Chhattisgarh is 137.90 lakh ha (4.15% of the geographical area of the country) which covers 63.55 lakh ha (46 percent of forest cover of its total geographical area) of forest area. Further, the state is well known in the country for its Sal forests, which occupy nearly 36 percent of total forest cover. The Rajnandgaon forest division mainly southern dry mixed deciduous forest 5A/C3 is present as per classification of Champion and Seth. Mixed forest are present near about entire area of Rajnandgaon forest division. The mixed forests are mainly of IV a category. The dominant species are *Shorea robusta*, *Pterocarpus marsupium*, *Syzygium cumini*, *Adina cordifolia*, *Dalbergia paniculata*, *Diospyros melanoxylon*, *Careya arborea*, *Terminalia bellirica*, *Ficus bengalensis*, *Terminalia tomentosa*, *Terminalia chebula*, *Madhuca indica*, *Anogeissus latifolia*, *Schleichera oleosa*, *Boswellia serrata*, *Albizia procera*, *Bombex ceiba*, *Ficus glomerata*, *Garuga pinnata*, *Mangifera indica*, *Bridelia retusa*, *Chloroxylon swietenia*, of certain places. Among the shrubs *Zizyphus rotundifolia*, *Zizyphus routendifolia*, *Lantana camera*, *Ventilago calyculata*, species are present and among the herbs, *Ocimum canum*, *Cassia tora*, *Grewia hirsuta* species are found. The population of MFP woody species was recorded by using 10 x 10 m size quadrat (Mishra 1968)^[7] which was randomly in 150 times in each forest range. The number of MFP species in each quadrat was being counted with height and collar diameter measurement. The population structure of MFP woody species was being worked out in respect to density, frequency, abundance, similarity index, diversity and dominance etc. as per following formulas.

$$\text{Frequency (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of quadrates in which the species occurred}}{\text{Total number of quadrates studied}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Density (ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Total number of individuals of a species in all quadrates}}{\text{Total number of quadrates studied}}$$

$$\text{Abundance (ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Total number of individuals of a species in all quadrates}}{\text{Total number of quadrates in which the species occurred}}$$

$$\text{Basal cover (cm}^2\text{ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \Pi (\text{DBH of tree} / 2)^2$$

$$\text{Relative frequency} = \frac{\text{Frequency of individual species}}{\Sigma \text{ Frequency of all the species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative density} = \frac{\text{Density of individual species}}{\Sigma \text{ Density of all the species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative abundance} = \frac{\text{Abundance of individual species}}{\Sigma \text{ Abundance of all the species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative dominance} = \frac{\text{Basal area of individual species}}{\Sigma \text{ basal area of all the species}} \times 100$$

Importance value index

IVI = Relative density + Relative frequency + Relative abundance or dominance

Simpson (1949) index of Dominance

The equation used to calculate Simpson's index was

$$D = \sum [(ni/N)^2 + (ni/N)^2 + (ni/N)^2 \dots + (ni/N)^2]$$

Where,

D = Simpson index of dominance

ni = the IVI of individual species

N = IVI of all the species

Margalef (1968)^[5] Diversity

$$H = - \sum [(ni/N) \cdot \log (ni/N)] + (ni/N) \cdot \log (ni/N) + \dots + (ni/N) \cdot \log (ni/N)$$

Where,

H = Shannon index of diversity

ni = the IVI of individual species

N = IVI of all the species

Similarity index

$$\text{Index of similarity (S)} = 3C/A+B+D$$

Where,

A, B & D = Number of species in the community

3 = Number of community involve

C = Number of common species in the communities.

Results and Discussion

Results of phytosociological studies parameters viz; frequency, density, abundance, basal area of their relative values and IVI of woody species in Khujji forest range are presented in Table-1 and it showed that out of 50 woody species, there were 46 trees and 4 shrubs respectively.

Frequency: In Khujji forest range more than 50% of woody species shared less than 5% of frequency of their availability. The maximum frequency was found in *Anogeissus latifolia* (37.33%) followed by *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (35.33%) *Tectona grandis* (24.67%), *Diospyros melanoxylon* (20.00%), *Cassia fistula* (15.33%) while frequency level (10-15%) was occurred in *Chloroxylon swietenia* (13.33%), *Cordia myxa* (11.33%), *Butea monosperma* (10.67%), *Pongamia pinnata* (10.67%), *Flacourtia indica* (10.00%), *Adina cordifolia* (10.00%) respectively. While frequency level from 5 to 10% was recorded in 13 woody species in which *Buchanania latifolia* (5.33%), *Madhuca indica* (8.67%), *Shorea robusta* (8.0%), *Terminalia chebula* (8.67%) and *Terminalia belirica* (6.0%) are the most common minor forest produce woody species.

Density: The density of total woody species in the Khujji forest range was 444 plant ha⁻¹ where the maximum density was found in *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (63.33 ha⁻¹) followed by *Anogeissus*

latifolia (49.33 ha⁻¹) *Tectona grandis* (32.00 ha⁻¹), *Cassia fistula* (20.00 ha⁻¹), *Diospyros melanoxylon* (27.33 ha⁻¹), *Chloroxylon swietenia* (17.33 ha⁻¹). The density between 10 to 15 plant ha⁻¹ was occurred in 13 woody species, which were *Adina cordifolia*, *Bombax ceiba*, *Bridelia retusa*, *Buchanania latifolia*, *Butea monosperma*, *Cordia myxa*, *Flacourtia indica*, *Lantana camara*, *Madhuca indica*, *Pongamia pinnata*, *Shorea robusta*, *Terminalia elliptica* and *Terminalia chebula*, while density between below 10 to 5 plant ha⁻¹ was reported in 6 species with important minor forest produce woody species of *Aegle marmelose* and *Terminalia belirica*. There was 25 woody species recorded for less than 5 plant ha⁻¹ and among them important minor forest produce woody species were *Azadirachta indica* and *Emblca officinalis*.

Abundance: A very large quantity of woody species showed overall total 6277.67 plant ha⁻¹ in Khujji forest range where the maximum abundance was found in *Buchanania latifolia* (141.67 plant ha⁻¹) followed by *Grewia hirsute* (133.33 plant ha⁻¹), *Schleichera oleosa* (133.33 ha⁻¹), *Ventilago calyculata* (133.33 ha⁻¹) and *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (119.5 plant ha⁻¹), *Litsaea sebifera* (111.11 plant ha⁻¹), *Acacia Arabica* (111.11 plant ha⁻¹), *Azadirachta indica* (100 plant ha⁻¹), *Bridelia retusa* (100 plant ha⁻¹), The abundance between 80 to 100 plants ha⁻¹ was reported in 15 wood species in which *Casearia graveolens* (93.33 plant ha⁻¹) followed by *Bombax ceiba*, *Terminalia elliptica* and *Terminalia chebula* (92.31 plant ha⁻¹) and *Diospyros melanoxylon* (91.11 plant ha⁻¹) were major minor forest produce species of the area. The abundance between 50 to 80 plants ha⁻¹ gathered 27 wood species including 20 species having abundance of 66.67 plants ha⁻¹ and among them the *Aegle marmelose*, *Emblca officinalis*, *Madhuca indica*, *Shorea robusta*, *Tamarindus indica* and *Terminalia belirica* were minor forest producing ones for the area.

Basal area: It was worked out on the basis of area of stand at diameter breast height overall it was found 21637.0 cm²ha⁻¹ for woody species occurred in the Khujji forest range, where the maximum basal area was found in *Anogelissus latifolia* (5469.16 cm²ha⁻¹) followed by *Tectona grandis* (3282.30 cm²ha⁻¹), *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (2455.81 cm²ha⁻¹), *Diospyros melanoxylon* (1468.00 cm²ha⁻¹), *Chloroxylon swietenia* (1159 cm²ha⁻¹). The basal areas occupied by wood species between 500 to 1159 cm²ha⁻¹ were *Lantana camera* (985.7cm²ha⁻¹), *Bombax ceiba* (803 cm²ha⁻¹), *Adina cordifolia* (703.3 cm²ha⁻¹) and *Madhuca indica* (555.2 cm²ha⁻¹). There was 18 wood species having basal area in each range between of 1 to 100 cm²ha⁻¹ and 100 to 500 cm²ha⁻¹ while only 5 woody species having less than 1.0 cm²ha⁻¹ basal area. The important MFP woody species having occupancy between 1 to 500 cm²ha⁻¹ were *Aegle marmelose*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Buchanania latifolia*, *Emblca officinalis*, *Tamarindus indica*, *Shorea robusta*, *Terminalia spp.* respectively.

Relative frequency: The relative frequency for woody species occurred in the Khujji forest range was found higher in order of *Anogelissus latifolia* (11.11%) followed by *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (10.52%) *Tectona grandis* (7.34%), *Diospyros melanoxylon* (5.95%). The relative frequency between 1 to 5%

level was found in 21 species where minor forest produce ones were *Aegle marmelose*, *Butea monosperma*, *Madhuca indica*, *Shorea robusta*, *Terminalia chebula* and *Terminalia belirica* sequentially, while minor forest produce woody species having less them 1% of frequency were *Azadirachta indica*, *Emblca officinalis*.

Relative density: The density of total wood species was 444 plant ha⁻¹ in Khujji forest range where it was found higher in *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (14.26%) followed by *Anogelissus latifolia* (11.11%) *Tectona grandis* (7.36%) and *Diospyros melanoxylon* (5.95%). The rest of woody species showed their density between 1 to 5 and below 1 plant ha⁻¹ were 22 and 24 respectively which contributed the 51.38 and 9.75% density respectively in the forest range.

Relative abundance: The relative abundance of each woody species against the total abundance of woody species in the Khujji forest was 6277.67 plant ha⁻¹ and it was found maximum in *Buchanania latifolia* (3.38%) followed by *Ventilago calyculata*, *Grewia hirsute* and *Schleichera oleosa* (3.19%), *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (2.86), *Litsaea sebifera* (2.65) and *Acacia arebica* (2.65). There were 13 woody species which shared 2 to 2.5% level of abundance while rest of 30 species shared their presence of population less than 2% in respect of abundance and among these two groups the MFP woody species were *Adina cordifolia*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Diospyros melanoxylon*, *Emblca officinalis*, *Madhuca indica*, *Shorea robusta*, *Tamarindus indica* and *Terminalia spp.*

Relative dominance: The relative dominance is the basal area occupancy of the species and the total basal area occupancy of all species was 21637 cm²ha⁻¹ in the Baghnadi forest range, where the maximum relative dominance was found in *Anogelissus latifolia* (25.28%) followed by *Tectona grandis* (15.17%), *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (11.33%), *Diospyros melanoxylon* (6.78%), *Chloroxylon swietenia* (5.36%) and *Lantana camara* (4.56%). However 13 woody species shared 24.27% basal area of the woody species having basal area in range of 1 to 3%. The 32 woody species having less than 1% occupancy through basal area shared only 7.23% occupancy of basal area.

The Importance Value index of individual woody species in the Khujji forest range was found maximum for *Lagerstroemia parviflora* (27.64) followed by *Anogelissus latifolia* (24.33), *Tectona grandis* (16.31), *Diospyros melanoxylon* (14.29).

Importance value index: *Cassia fistula* (11.15) respectively, while IVI between 5 to 10 level was occurred in 16 woody species in order of *Chloroxylon swietenia* < *Cordia myxa* < *Pongamia pinnat* < *Adina cordifolia* < *Butea monosperma* < *Buchanania latifolia* < *Bombax ceiba* < *Terminalia elliptica* < *Terminalia chebula* < *Flacourtia indica* < *Lantana camara* < *Madhuca indica* < *Bridelia retusa* < *Shorea robusta* < *Terminalia belirica* < *Dalbergia paniculata*. The 29 woody species showed their IVI value less than 5 and the important MFP woody species in this were *Aegle marmelose*, *Azadirachta indica* and *Emblca officinalis* only.

Table 1: Distribution of Woody Minor Forest Species in the Khujji Forest Range of Rajnandgaon Forest Division in CG

| S.N | Botanical name | Frequency (%) | Density (ha ⁻¹) | Abundance (ha ⁻¹) | Basal area (cm ² ha ⁻¹) | Relative | | | | IVI |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| | | | | | | Frequency | Density | Abundance | Dominance | |
| 1 | <i>Acacia arabica</i> | 2.00 | 3.33 | 111.11 | 117.47 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 0.54 | 4.00 |
| 2 | <i>Aegle marmelose</i> | 4.67 | 5.33 | 76.19 | 108.55 | 1.39 | 1.20 | 1.82 | 0.50 | 4.41 |
| 3 | <i>Adina cordiolia</i> | 10.00 | 12.67 | 84.44 | 703.29 | 2.98 | 2.85 | 2.02 | 3.25 | 7.85 |
| 4 | <i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> | 37.33 | 49.33 | 88.10 | 5469.16 | 11.11 | 11.11 | 2.10 | 25.28 | 24.33 |
| 5 | <i>Anona squamosa</i> | 2.00 | 2.00 | 66.67 | 8.86 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 1.59 | 0.04 | 2.64 |
| 6 | <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | 1.33 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 18.76 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 2.39 | 0.09 | 3.24 |
| 7 | <i>Bauhinia variegata</i> | 6.00 | 6.00 | 66.67 | 40.10 | 1.79 | 1.35 | 1.59 | 0.19 | 4.73 |
| 8 | <i>Bombax ceiba</i> | 8.67 | 12.00 | 92.31 | 803.27 | 2.58 | 2.70 | 2.21 | 3.71 | 7.49 |
| 9 | <i>Boswellia serrata</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 0.93 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.00 | 1.94 |
| 10 | <i>Bridelia retusa</i> | 6.67 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 217.40 | 1.98 | 2.25 | 2.39 | 1.00 | 6.63 |
| 11 | <i>Buchanania latifolia</i> | 5.33 | 11.33 | 141.67 | 440.44 | 1.59 | 2.55 | 3.38 | 2.04 | 7.52 |
| 12 | <i>Butea monosperma</i> | 10.67 | 12.00 | 75.00 | 328.21 | 3.17 | 2.70 | 1.79 | 1.52 | 7.67 |
| 13 | <i>Capparis decidua</i> | 2.67 | 2.67 | 66.67 | 36.96 | 0.79 | 0.60 | 1.59 | 0.17 | 2.99 |
| 14 | <i>Casearia graveolens</i> | 3.33 | 4.67 | 93.33 | 120.06 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 2.23 | 0.55 | 4.27 |
| 15 | <i>Cassia fistula</i> | 15.33 | 20.00 | 86.96 | 280.97 | 4.56 | 4.50 | 2.08 | 1.30 | 11.15 |
| 16 | <i>Chloroxylon swietenia</i> | 13.33 | 17.33 | 86.67 | 1159.09 | 3.97 | 3.90 | 2.07 | 5.36 | 9.94 |
| 17 | <i>Cordia myxa</i> | 11.33 | 13.33 | 78.43 | 249.26 | 3.37 | 3.00 | 1.87 | 1.15 | 8.25 |
| 18 | <i>Dalbergia latifolia</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 1.31 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 1.94 |
| 19 | <i>Dalbergia paniculata</i> | 6.67 | 6.67 | 66.67 | 187.62 | 1.98 | 1.50 | 1.59 | 0.87 | 5.08 |
| 20 | <i>Diospyros melanoxylon</i> | 20.00 | 27.33 | 91.11 | 1468.05 | 5.95 | 6.16 | 2.18 | 6.78 | 14.29 |
| 21 | <i>Ehretia laevis</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 2.46 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 1.94 |
| 22 | <i>Elaeodendron falkata</i> | 2.00 | 2.00 | 66.67 | 1.57 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 2.64 |
| 23 | <i>Emblica officinalis</i> | 3.33 | 3.33 | 66.67 | 40.75 | 0.99 | 0.75 | 1.59 | 0.19 | 3.34 |
| 24 | <i>Ficus bengalensis</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 2.10 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 1.94 |
| 25 | <i>Ficus glomerata</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.02 | 1.94 |
| 26 | <i>Flacourtia indica</i> | 10.00 | 10.67 | 71.11 | 364.27 | 2.98 | 2.40 | 1.70 | 1.68 | 7.08 |
| 27 | <i>Gardenia latifolia</i> | 3.33 | 4.00 | 80.00 | 95.07 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 1.91 | 0.44 | 3.80 |
| 28 | <i>Grewia hirsute</i> * | 0.67 | 1.33 | 133.33 | 24.47 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 3.19 | 0.11 | 3.68 |
| 29 | <i>Holarrhena hantdysenterica</i> | 1.33 | 1.33 | 66.67 | 3.74 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 1.59 | 0.02 | 2.29 |
| 30 | <i>Lagerstroemia parviflora</i> | 35.33 | 63.33 | 119.50 | 2455.81 | 10.52 | 14.26 | 2.86 | 11.35 | 27.64 |
| 31 | <i>Lannea cormandalica</i> | 6.00 | 6.00 | 66.67 | 153.62 | 1.79 | 1.35 | 1.59 | 0.71 | 4.73 |
| 32 | <i>Lantana camara</i> * | 8.67 | 10.67 | 82.05 | 985.76 | 2.58 | 2.40 | 1.96 | 4.56 | 6.94 |
| 33 | <i>Litsaea sebifera, pers.</i> | 2.00 | 3.33 | 111.11 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| 34 | <i>Madhuca indica</i> | 8.67 | 10.00 | 76.92 | 555.19 | 2.58 | 2.25 | 1.84 | 2.57 | 6.67 |
| 35 | <i>Pongamia pinnata</i> | 10.67 | 12.67 | 79.17 | 462.60 | 3.17 | 2.85 | 1.89 | 2.14 | 7.92 |
| 36 | <i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> | 5.33 | 5.33 | 66.67 | 140.88 | 1.59 | 1.20 | 1.59 | 0.65 | 4.38 |
| 37 | <i>Schleichera oleosa</i> | 1.33 | 2.67 | 133.33 | 200.77 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 3.19 | 0.93 | 4.18 |
| 38 | <i>Semecarpus anacardium</i> | 1.33 | 1.33 | 66.67 | 10.51 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 1.59 | 0.05 | 2.29 |
| 39 | <i>Shorea robusta</i> | 8.00 | 10.00 | 83.33 | 285.39 | 2.38 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 1.32 | 6.62 |
| 40 | <i>Steculia urens</i> | 1.33 | 1.33 | 66.67 | 2.63 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 2.29 |
| 41 | <i>Streblus asper</i> | 2.67 | 2.67 | 66.67 | 10.76 | 0.79 | 0.60 | 1.59 | 0.05 | 2.99 |
| 42 | <i>Tamarindus indica</i> | 1.33 | 1.33 | 66.67 | 12.03 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 1.59 | 0.06 | 2.29 |
| 43 | <i>Tectona grandis</i> | 24.67 | 32.67 | 88.29 | 3282.30 | 7.34 | 7.36 | 2.11 | 15.17 | 16.81 |
| 44 | <i>Terminalia arjuna</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 3.06 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 1.94 |
| 45 | <i>Terminalia elliptica</i> | 8.67 | 12.00 | 92.31 | 332.97 | 2.58 | 2.70 | 2.21 | 1.54 | 7.49 |
| 46 | <i>Terminilia belirica</i> | 6.00 | 7.33 | 81.48 | 201.40 | 1.79 | 1.65 | 1.95 | 0.93 | 5.38 |
| 47 | <i>Terminilia chebula</i> | 8.67 | 12.00 | 92.31 | 227.44 | 2.58 | 2.70 | 2.21 | 1.05 | 7.49 |
| 48 | <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> | 0.67 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 1.94 |
| 49 | <i>Ventilago calyculata</i> * | 0.67 | 1.33 | 133.33 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 3.19 | 0.03 | 3.68 |
| 50 | <i>Zizyphus jujube</i> * | 2.00 | 2.67 | 88.89 | 19.38 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 2.12 | 0.09 | 3.32 |

Note: The mark * are Shrub

Conclusion

In conclusion, the phytosociological studies conducted in the Khujji forest range have unveiled crucial insights into the distribution and abundance of woody species. Analysis of parameters such as frequency, density, abundance, basal area, and Importance Value Index (IVI) has highlighted the ecological significance of various species. While certain species like *Anogeissus latifolia* and *Lagerstroemia parviflora* dominate in terms of frequency and density, others like *Azadirachta indica* and *Emblica officinalis* are less prevalent. These findings underscore the importance of informed forest management strategies to preserve the diverse ecosystem of the Khujji forest

range for future generations.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their deep sense of gratitude to DRS, IGKV, Raipur (CG), Dean, college of Agriculture, Raipur. I extend my gratitude to CCF, CF, DFO, Range officers and other field officers of Rajnandgaon forest division of state forest department of Chhattisgarh for their rendered support to identify the species and guidance to move in forest during course of study in Khujji forest ranges, without their support we could not be able to commence the work smoothly.

Reference

1. Ahmad M, Khan MJ, Manzoor S, Zafer M, Sultana S. Check list of Among Tribal's of M.P. Indian Journal of Tropical Biodiversity. 2006;12:57-60.
2. Bhattacharua P, Hayal SF. Sustained NTFPs Management for rural Development: A case study form Madhya Pradesh, India. International Forestry review. 2004;6(2):161-168, 205, 208.
3. Burslem DF, Whit More TC. Species diversity susceptibility to disturbance and tree population dynamics in tropical rain forest. J Veg. Sci. 1999;10:767-776.
4. Malhotra KC, Dev D, Dutta M, Vasulu TS, Yadav G, Adhikari M. Role of Minor Forest Products in Village Economy: A household Survey in Jamponi Range Mimeographed, Indian Institute of Bios social Research and Development, Culcutta, 1991.
5. Margalef R. Perspective in ecological theory university of Chicago press, 1968.
6. Masih SK, Sharma CB, Sharma MC. NTFPs and their Price Trends in Medicinal flora of Tehsil Isakhel, District Mianwali Pakistan. *Ethnodirected*. 2001.
7. Mishra R. A forest ecological book. New Delhi, 1968.
8. Murthy IK, Ravindranath PR, Sukumar R. Financial valuation of Non- Timber Forest Product flows in Utrakannada district, WesthernGhat, Karnataka. Current science. 2005;(88):10.
9. Naugraiya MN, Pathak PS. An analysis of grassland vegetation on a Top sequence, Indian J Range Mgmt. 1986;7(1):27-32.
10. Naugraiya MN, Pathak PS. Post felling colonization and production of winter annuals in Leucaena forest. Intl. J Eco. Environ. Sci. 1993;19:213-217.
11. Putman RJ. Community Ecology. Chapman & Hall, London. 1994.
12. Rahbek C. The role of spatial scale and the perception of large scale species- richness. Patterns. Ecol. Lett. 2005;8:224-239.
13. Sagar R, Ragubanshi AS, Singh JS. Tree species composition, dispersion and diversity along a disturbance gradient in a dry tropical forest region of India. For. Ecol. Manage. 2003;186:61-71.
14. Tripathi S, Verma S, Goldey P. Ushing plants for health: Indigenous Knowledge in health care in a tribal region of Bihar, India. Int. J Sust Develop World Eco. 2000;7:321-332.
15. Jain PC. The effect on stock price of inclusion in or exclusion from the S&P 500. Financial Analysts Journal. 1987 Jan 1;43(1):58-65.
16. Kryovrysanaki N, James A, Tselika M, Bardani E, Kalantidis K. RNA silencing pathways in plant development and defense. The International Journal of Developmental Biology. 2021 Nov 22;66(1-2-3):163-175.
17. Srivastava P, Singh B, Angove M. Competitive adsorption behavior of heavy metals on kaolinite. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 2005 Oct 1;290(1):28-38.
18. Baduni NP, Sharma CM. Flexibility-fitness-compromise of the trees in moist temperate forests of Garhwal Himalaya; c1997. p. 126-135.
19. Zobel DB, McKee A, Hawk GM, Dyrness CT. Relationships of environment to composition, structure, and diversity of forest communities of the central western Cascades of Oregon. Ecological Monographs. 1976 Mar;46(2):135-156.