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Abstract

A field trial was carried out at the Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, during the *rabi* season of 2021-22 to study the effects of different phases and levels of Detopping on the yield and yield characteristics of *rabi* maize. The field experiment had sixteen treatment combinations with one control, and it was set up in a split plot design with three replications. The four stages of detopping viz., at 15, 20, 25, and 30 days after silking in main plot and there were four detopping levels in the sub-plot viz., detopping up to two, three, four, and five leaves stages of crop. The results showed that when detopping was done 30 days after silking with the top two leaves, increased grain production (6604 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (7845 kg ha⁻¹), and harvest index (43.30%) were observed. With the exception of grain yield of detopping took place 25 days after silking with the top two leaves. Nevertheless, detopping the top two leaves 30 days after silking resulted in noticeably higher yield and yield attributing traits, including cob length (16.67 cm), cob girth (16.87 cm), number of rows per cob (15.41), number of seeds per row (27.92), number of seeds per cob (406) and 100 seed weight (36.15 g). The control treatment (no detopping) recorded significantly higher yield and yield attributing traits.
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Introduction

Maize, also commonly known as corn or makka, is an annual plant that belongs to the family *Poaceae* (*Gramineae*) and the tribe Maydeae. It is considered one of the most significant cereal crops in the world, ranking third in India after rice and wheat. With its exceptional physiological efficiency and highest genetic yield potential among food grain crops, maize is rightly referred to as the “Queen of cereals”. It is a photo-thermo-insensitive crop, which makes it suitable for cultivation throughout the year in most Indian states to serve various purposes, including food, fodder, and industrial products.

Standard agronomic techniques are necessary for achieve maximum yield in maize, in order to maximize productivity in maize, a method used by cultivators of maize is called detopping, and it entails cutting off the terminal section from the top node. In enhancing the functionality of the remaining leaves, this technique helps to increase yield. Detopping boosts nutrient uptake, improves light interception, eliminates mutual shadowing of leaves and directs relation in plant nutrients to the reproductive regions of the plant. This lessens competition between the tassel and cob for available plant nutrients, aiding in improved cob development and the source sink connection. However there is not much is known about how detopping affects *rabi* maize productivity. Therefore, an experiment was conducted to study the effect of various stages and levels of detopping on the yield and yield attributes of *rabi* maize.

Materials and Methods

At the University of Agricultural Sciences' Main Agricultural Research Station in Dharwad, Karnataka, a field experiment was carried out in the Rabi season of 2021-2022. Situated in Karnataka's Northern Transition Zone (Zone 8) between the Northern dry zone (Zone 3) and the Western hilly zone (Zone 9), the farm is situated at 15°26’1”N latitude, 75°7’1”E longitude, and 678 meters above sea level. A split-plot design with three replications was used for the experiment, which comprised sixteen treatment combinations with one control (no stopping).
The sub-plot comprised four degrees of detopping (detopping up to two, three, four, and five leaves), whereas the main plot featured four stages of detopping (detopping at 15 days, 20 days, 25 days, and 30 days after silking). Maize seeds (NK-6240 Plus) sown on December 1st 2021 with spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm. The soil type at the testing site was clayey and medium black (vertisols) with an alkaline nature (7.74). The soil's characteristics were low organic carbon content (0.49%), medium available phosphorus (28.30 kg ha⁻¹) and nitrogen (290.80 kg ha⁻¹), high available potassium (331.40 kg ha⁻¹) and normal electrical conductivity (0.39 dS m⁻¹).

The recommended fertilizer (150:65:65 kg N, P₂O₅, K₂O kg ha⁻¹ and 25 kg ZnSO₄ and FeSO₄ each ha⁻¹) was applied to all treatments. Herbicide and pesticide were used to control the weeds and pests and every 12-15 days interval, irrigation was given based on soil moisture content. Crop was harvested on 29th March, 2022. Maize cobs were threshed after complete drying, cleaned and seed weight was recorded from each plot and expressed in seed yield hectare (kg ha⁻¹). The experimental data, that was collected during harvest was compiled and statistically analyzed using Fischer's approach of analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) [8]. Five percent was the level of significance employed in the “F” test. The Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was applied independently to the mean values of the main plot, subplot, and interactions using the associated error mean sum of squares and degrees of freedom.

Results and Discussion

The various detopping levels did not significantly affect the grain yield, stover yield, or harvest index of rabi maize. However, when detopping was done 30 days after silking, statistically significant in grain yield (6516 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (7526 kg ha⁻¹), and harvest index (43.10%) were obtained (Table 4). When detopping was done 30 days after maize was compared to the other treatments, there was a 2.24 percent and 3.82% increase in grain and stover yields, respectively. Delay in detopping was associated with increased trend in grain yield (Afrarinesh, 2005) [1] and also due to detopping at two weeks after anthesis did not have any adverse effect on grain yield (Tahmasbi et al., 2001) [14]. These results are in conformity with the findings of Bhargavi et al. (2017) [3], Emam et al. (2013a) [4], Woldeamlak et al. (2006) [18], Minmar and Susyowati (1995) [12]. The cumulative expression of yield-attributing characters (Tables 1, 2, and 3) contributed to the rise in grain yield. Similarly, when detopping was done 30 days after silking, significantly maximum cob length (16.25 cm), cob girth (16.05 cm), number of rows per cob (15.19), number of seeds per row (27.08), number of seeds per cob (402), and 100 seed weight (33.45 g) were reported. This could be the effect of a delayed harvest, which increased translocation of photosynthetic assimilation of optimal grain filling and a better source-to-sink relationship. These outcomes agree with Afrarinesh's (2005) [1] findings.

The productivity and yield characteristics of maize were significantly impacted by different degrees of detopping (Table 1, 2 and 3). Grain yield from detopping up to two leaves was significantly higher (6530 kg ha⁻¹) and it was on par to that from detopping up to three leaves (6472 kg ha⁻¹). The higher grain yield with detopping up to two leaves was due to the direct relationship between grain yield and the number of leaves removed (Tilahun, 1993) [15]. Additionally, compared to the other treatments, the enhanced yield was caused by considerably higher cob length (16.17 cm), cob girth (16.44 cm), number of rows per cob (15.13), number of seeds per row (27.31), number of seeds per cob (401), and 100 seed weight (35.26 g). These findings are consistent with those findings of Barimavandi et al. (2010) [2] and Jalilian and Delkhoshi (2014) [11], who found that, among other factors, ear length is one of the yield attributing character and it most impacted by defoliation and leaf position on the plant. Similar results are also reported by Rathika et al. (2008) [18]. However, the lowest grain yield was recorded when detopping was carried out up to five leaves (6333 kg ha⁻¹). The degree of yield reduction was directly proportional to the percentage of leaf area removed. The loss of functional leaf area reduced the plant's photosynthetic area and reduced assimilate availability to crop (Walpole and Morgan, 1970) [19], as the kernel stores photosynthesized through three main resources viz., current photosynthesis of the leaves, photosynthesis from green parts of plants excluding leaves and transfer from the sink (Hashemi and Maraashi, 1993) [8]. These results are consistent with those of Barimavandi et al. (2010) [2], Jalilian and Delkhoshi (2014) [11], Gaurkar and Bharad (1998) [7], Heidari (2012) [10], Esechie and Al-Aliawi (2002) [6], and Wilhelm et al. (1995) [17].

The interaction effect of various stages and levels of detopping had a significant effect on grain yield (Table 3). Detopping at 30 days after silking with top two leaves resulted in a greater grain production (6604 kg ha⁻¹), which was comparable to detopping at 30 days after silking with top three leaves and 25 days after silking with top two leaves. The increase in yield could be attributed to the delay in detopping, which resulted in the removal of fewer leaves, and timely irrigation throughout crop growth. Detopping at two weeks after anthesis had no adverse effect on grain yield because it increased the accumulation of more plant biomass and the development of large sink sizes, followed by channelization of more carbohydrates from source to sink for a long period of time, indicating a strong source-sink relationship. Detopping at 30 days after silking with top two leaves resulted in a higher grain (6604 kg ha⁻¹), which was comparable to detopping at 30 days after silking with top three leaves and 25 days after silking with top two leaves. The increase in yield could be attributed to the delay in detopping with removal of fewer leaves and timely irrigation throughout crop growth period. Detopping at two weeks after anthesis had no benefit effect on grain yield because it increased the accumulation of more plant biomass and large sink sizes and followed by channelization of more carbohydrates from source to sink for a long period of time. Consequently, a higher yield of maize was attained as evidenced of superior yield attributing characters (Tables 1, 2, and 3), which include cob length (16.67 cm), cob girth (16.87 cm), number of rows per cob (15.41), number of seeds per cob (27.92), number of seeds per cob (406) and 100 seed weight (36.15 g). These outcomes are similar with the research findings of Bhargavi et al. (2017) [3] and Emam et al. (2013b) [5].

However, when detopping was done with the top five leaves at 15 days after silking recorded significant lowest grain production (6237 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (6826 kg ha⁻¹), and harvest index (42.47%) were observed (Table 4) and it was followed by the detopping at 20 days after silking. The loss of more green leaves during the early reproductive phase, which, the plant was more susceptible to source constraint and stress had an adverse effect on the amount of photosynthetically active leaf area and dry matter produced are may be the cause of the yield reduction. This shows the crucial plant stage that leads to the formation of seeds. The harvest index decreased with the removal of five leaves compared to two leaves. This
demonstrates a decline in yield-attributing characteristics, which resulted in a fall in maize production. The control treatment recorded significantly higher grain (7929 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (45.92%) when no detopping was done than other detopped treatment combinations (Table 4).

### Table 1: Cob length and cob girth of *rabi* maize as influenced by various stages and levels of detopping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cob length (cm)</th>
<th>Cob girth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>15.93b1</td>
<td>16.01c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>14.96c2</td>
<td>15.18bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>14.85e</td>
<td>15.14e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>15.16b</td>
<td>15.43bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control 17.66a  17.02a  S.Em. ±  S.Em. ±

S: 0.21  0.26  L: 0.22  0.24  S×L: 0.44  0.48  Control: 0.42  0.46

Mean followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly differed by DMRT (p= 0.05)

**Main plot:** Stages of detopping after silking (S)
- S1: Detopping at 15 days after silking
- S2: Detopping at 20 days after silking
- S3: Detopping at 25 days after silking
- S4: Detopping at 30 days after silking

**Sub plot:** Levels of detopping (L)
- L1: Detopping up to two leaves
- L2: Detopping up to three leaves
- L3: Detopping up to four leaves
- L4: Detopping up to five leaves

Control: No detopping

### Table 2: Number of rows cob⁻¹ and number of seeds row⁻¹ of *rabi* maize as influenced by various stages and levels of detopping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Number of rows cob⁻¹</th>
<th>Number of seeds row⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>14.67b</td>
<td>15.11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>15.33a</td>
<td>14.58b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>14.76b</td>
<td>15.20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>14.79a</td>
<td>14.88a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control 15.47a  31.07a  S.Em. ±  S.Em. ±

S: 0.16  0.29  L: 0.19  0.29  S×L: 0.38  0.58  Control: 0.36  0.58

Mean followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly differed by DMRT (p= 0.05)

**Main plot:** Stages of detopping after silking (S)
- S1: Detopping at 15 days after silking
- S2: Detopping at 20 days after silking
- S3: Detopping at 25 days after silking
- S4: Detopping at 30 days after silking

**Sub plot:** Levels of detopping (L)
- L1: Detopping up to two leaves
- L2: Detopping up to three leaves
- L3: Detopping up to four leaves
- L4: Detopping up to five leaves

Control: No detopping

### Table 3: Number of seeds cob⁻¹ and 100 seed weight of *rabi* maize as influenced by various stages and levels of detopping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Number of seeds cob⁻¹</th>
<th>100 Seed weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>395b</td>
<td>400a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>389c</td>
<td>394d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>382b</td>
<td>389c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>376d</td>
<td>383b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>386d</td>
<td>392a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control 413a  38.66a  S.Em. ±  S.Em. ±

S: 0.67  0.42  L: 0.36  0.31  S×L: 0.73  0.63  Control: 1.12  0.68

Mean followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly differed by DMRT (p= 0.05)

NS: Non-significant;  DAS: Days after Sowing
Main plot: Stages of detopping after silking (S)  
S1: Detopping at 15 days after silking 
S2: Detopping at 20 days after silking 
S3: Detopping at 25 days after silking 
S4: Detopping at 30 days after silking 
Control: No detopping

Sub plot: Levels of detopping (L)  
L1: Detopping up to two leaves 
L2: Detopping up to three leaves 
L3: Detopping up to four leaves 
L4: Detopping up to five leaves

Table 4: Yield and harvest index of rabi maize as influenced by various stages and levels of detopping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Stover yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Harvest index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₁</td>
<td>6459±e</td>
<td>6502d</td>
<td>6553bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₂</td>
<td>6393±d</td>
<td>6444cd</td>
<td>6496d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₃</td>
<td>6379±e</td>
<td>6389de</td>
<td>6436cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L₄</td>
<td>6273gh</td>
<td>6307gh</td>
<td>6369f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6370e</td>
<td>6408e</td>
<td>6463e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control 7632e 7929e 45.92f
S.Em. ± 0.18 0.22 0.44 0.42

Mean followed by the same letter (s) within a column are not significantly differed by DMRT (p<0.05)
NS: Non-significant;  DAS: Days after Sowing

Conclusion
Through a comprehensive analysis of data, it has been indicated that the removal of the upper section of the rabi maize plant 30 days post-silking, coupled with the elimination of up to two leaves, yielded the most favourable results. This treatment combination demonstrated a remarkable increase in yield and improved yield-related properties when compared to other treatment combinations. Therefore, if you want to enhance the potential of your maize crop, consider implementing this proven method and witness the remarkable transformation for higher yield and green fodder for our livestock.
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