

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(3): 155-158 Received: 07-12-2023 Accepted: 15-01-2024

Jayalaxmi B Pawar

- 1. Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Extension and Communication Management, College of Community Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Horticulture, Kolar, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

Surekha Sankangoudar

Professor, Programme Officer and Head, Krishi Community Radio Station, Directorate of Extension, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Venugopal CK

Professor and Head, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vijayapura, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Uma Kulkarni

Professor and Head, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Community Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Rajeshwari N

Professor and Head, Department of Extension and Communication Management, College of Community Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Jayalaxmi B Pawar

- 1. Ph.D., Scholar, Department of Extension and Communication Management, College of Community Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Horticulture, Kolar, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

A study on entrepreneurial behaviour of farm women involved in tomato production in Kolar district

Jayalaxmi B Pawar, Surekha Sankangoudar, Venugopal CK, Uma Kulkarni and Rajeshwari N

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i3c.395

Abstract

The study was conducted in Kolar district of five talukas namely Malur, Kolar, Mulbagal, Srinivaspur and Bangarpet to assess the entrepreneurial behaviour of farm women involved in tomato farming. The respondents were purposively selected, a sample size of 300 tomato growers were considered for the study. The data was analysed using frequency, mean, percentage and behavioural index. The results showed that the entrepreneurial behaviour index like economic motivation was found to be as high as 78.52 followed by leadership ability 76.15, decision making ability 73.24, confidence level 71.82, risk orientation 71.36 and the least management orientation 69.91. The study indicated that 42.67 percent of the tomato growers were having high category of entrepreneurial behaviour. Therefore this means that NGOs and Government sector should take initiative in organising training programmes to self-help groups (SHGs) and FPOs which would go a long way in empowering farm women to take up value addition of tomato as a group entrepreneurial activity. This would help to minimise the post-harvest losses and would also develop professionalism in their traditional life skills. Thereby encouraging rural women to enrol in various programmes which help them to gain knowledge and skill in all aspects and can take active part in implementation as well as dissemination of knowledge.

Keywords: Training programmes, value addition, professionalism and entrepreneurial behaviour

Introduction

Women are actively involved in agriculture, horticulture, dairy, fishery, and agro based industries and contribute significantly more than men in the post-harvest process. Women handle more than 60% of the cutting, cleaning, grading, packing, manufacturing of bundles, etc. of veggies. Women have a significant role in moving harvests from the field to the storage facility. Women play a crucial role in managing homes and significantly contribute to agricultural production in rural parts of developing countries. However, the disparities between men and women make it difficult for women to reach their full potential.

Value addition of tomato is one of the most important income generating activities (IGAs) in alleviating poverty. High perishability of vegetables, lack of storage facilities, mechanical injury due to improper handling, packaging, transportation and microbial infection are major causes of post-harvest losses in tomatoes. If these losses could be minimized, the growers as well as the intermediaries of the marketing channel could get a better economic return.

Therefore it is necessary to assess the entrepreneurial behaviour of farm women involved in tomato production to overcome the problem of post-harvest losses of tomato in Kolar district which is a hub for tomato production.

Materials and Methods

A random sampling method was used to select 60 respondents from each five taluks of Kolar district namely namely Malur, Kolar, Mulbagal, Srinivaspur and Bangarpet to form a sample of 300. The interview schedule was used to study the entrepreneurial behaviour of tomato growers who were involved in post-harvest activities of tomato. The data was collected from each respondents through home and farm visit. The data elicited was pooled and analysed using statistical tools.

Results and Discussion

The entrepreneurial behaviour such as leadership ability, management orientation, confidence level, decision making ability, achievement motivation are presented through Garrate rank.

1. Distribution of respondents based on leadership ability

It is clearly stated from the table 1 that the overall leadership

index among respondents found to be 76.15 percent. Based on the mean score calculated for each statement the rank was assigned, maximum (4.22) and minimum (3.07) mean score ranked 1 to 8 ranks. The high level of leadership ability among farm women might be due to their enthusiasm to become financially sound and involvement in SHG also encourage to take lead in the activities. The findings were similar to the results of Gayathri *et al.* (2023) [2].

Table 1: Distribution of respondents based on leadership ability N=300

S. No	Statements	Always	Most of the times	Some times	Rarely	Never	Index (%)	Mean score	Rank
1	I understand others feelings	72	222	6	0	0	84.40	4.22	I
2	I actively involve in group activities	120	130	34	12	4	83.33	4.17	II
3	I make immediate action and make things happen	158	43	64	23	12	80.80	4.04	III
4	I motivate others to take risks	146	58	50	45	1	80.20	4.01	IV
5	I will manage my co-workers	123	58	82	26	11	77.07	3.85	V
6	I am interested to involve in new ventures	122	54	65	42	17	74.80	3.74	VI
7	I am able to convince others	54	64	128	43	11	67.13	3.36	VII
8	I involve myself in challenging situation to learn new things	56	78	58	78	0	61.47	3.07	VIII
	Overall leadership index		•			76.15			

2. Distribution of respondents based on management orientation

Table 2 shows the management orientation of the respondents, the overall management index was 69.91 percent. Respondents possessed maximum mean score of 3.95 and minimum score of

2.19 ranked 1 to 12 ranks. They were enthusiastic about training programmes to manage their income even during glut periods and thereby maintaining their standard of living. The findings are in agreement with Satish *et al.* (2017) ^[5].

Table 2: Distribution of respondents based on management orientation N=300

S. No	Statements	Always	Most of the times		Rarely	Never	Mean score	Rank
1	I always think a new idea about the enterprise to be developed every year	164	30	34	72	0	3.95	I
2	I make assessment of raw materials needed for running the enterprise	110	68	85	23	14	3.79	II
3	I plan systematically to increase the production	72	89	58	72	9	3.48	III
4	I listens to others opinion	26	110	60	100	4	3.18	IV
5	I consider others skills	46	110	6	101	37	3.09	V
6	I always think of the cost involved in developing the enterprise	20	116	0	164	0	2.97	VI
7	I use the right amount of materials to maximise production	6	65	130	99	0	2.93	VII
8	I consult before experts before planning	57	77	8	93	65	2.89	VIII
9	I use raw materials as per the technical feasibility	11	50	103	72	64	2.57	IX
10	I use suitable raw materials for timely production	34	38	58	78	92	2.48	X
11	I make prior decisions about type of products to be developed	30	100	0	6	164	2.42	XI
12	I make use of market news for my enterprise	18	54	58	6	164	2.19	XII
	Overall management index (%)			69.9	1	•		

3. Distribution of respondents based on decision making ability: From Table 3 it could be found that the overall decision making ability is comparatively high with 73.24 percent. It is also indicated the mean score ranged from 4.29 to 2.81 and it

was ranked 1 to 11 ranks. Majority of respondents were found to be high decision maker during price fluctuation this might be due to middle aged wants to innovate and enthusiastic to take decisions.

Table 3: Distribution of respondents based on decision making ability N=300

S.	Statements	Always	Most of	Some	Rarely	Never	Mean	
No	Succinents	inways	the times	times	rui cij	110101	score	Rank
1	I take decision according to situation	172	59	57	8	4	4.29	I
2	To take right decisions I explore information from other sources	166	58	72	2	2	4.28	II
3	I select the right shops for purchase of ingredients	113	112	43	26	6	4.00	III
4	I select the right market for right price	97	100	63	30	10	3.81	IV
5	I take initiative in any kind of situations	68	136	43	39	14	3.68	V
6	I grade the produce to get good price	51	121	103	17	8	3.63	VI
7	I think of possible options to complete a work	107	58	78	25	32	3.61	VII
8	I took for alternative solutions in difficult situations	98	72	64	37	29	3.58	VIII
9	I make effort in thinking and bringing ideas into reality.	55	136	30	37	42	3.42	IX
10	I select items for production which has market demand.	52	57	90	92	9	3.17	X
11	I take decisions only after careful analysis	40	58	78	52	72	2.81	XI
	Overall index				73.24			•

4. Distribution of respondents based on confidence level

It could be found from the table 4 that the overall confidence index was 71.82 percent. The mean score of maximum 4.19 to minimum 3.12 was ranked 1 to 11. Majority of respondents were

found to be high decision maker during price fluctuation this might be due to middle aged wants to innovate and enthusiastic to take decisions.

Table 4: Distribution of respondents based on confidence level N=300

S. No	Statements	Always	Most of the times	Some times	Rarely	Never	Mean score	Rank
1	I accept challenges of a new project	131	126	23	10	10	4.19	I
2	I am persistent in my efforts to convert failures into success	140	75	49	26	10	4.03	II
3	I achieve higher goals than others	134	60	64	38	4	3.94	III
4	I am able to complete my work as per the schedule	85	98	74	23	20	3.68	IV
5	I am able to perform successfully	113	64	42	47	34	3.58	V
6	I make constant progress in work.	92	68	59	47	34	3.46	VI
7	I manage to solve difficult problems	99	67	45	46	43	3.44	VII
8	I accept failures positively for better future plan	78	65	77	59	21	3.40	VIII
9	I find new solution to problems	79	90	44	38	49	3.37	IX
10	I am interested to learn new skills	60	78	72	65	25	3.28	X
11	I have desire to improve myself	64	68	48	80	40	3.12	XI
	Overall index	71.82						•

5. Distribution of respondents based on economic motivation It could be stated from the table 5 that the overall economic motivation index was 78.52 percent. The maximum mean score

of 4.36 to 3.33 mean score was ranked 1 to 10. The tomato

growing farm women were economically motivated might be due to getting year round crop which increased their purchasing power, in recent years the very hike price may be motivated them to continue in the same area of cultivation.

Table 5: Distribution of respondents based on economic motivation N=300

S. No	Statements	Always	Most of the times	Some times	Rarely	Never	Mean score	Rank
1	I have adequate knowledge about finance	168	87	35	4	6	4.36	I
2	I can get financial assistance from my family	164	72	55	5	4	4.29	II
3	I can adequately utilize money	158	64	57	13	8	4.17	III
4	I have adequate guidance and training	155	63	36	28	18	4.03	IV
5	I can get timely availability of loan from the bank	136	72	58	23	11	4.00	V
6	I get assistance from government initiatives by funding of loans and granting of subsidies	135	77	35	22	31	3.88	VI
7	I have control over money	129	55	57	46	13	3.80	VII
8	I have personal income	120	69	56	35	20	3.78	VIII
9	I have got adequate information on changing markets	127	47	55	28	43	3.62	IX
10	I have healthy competition	125	17	36	77	45	3.33	X
	Overall index			78.52				

6. Distribution of respondents based on risk orientation

From Table 6 it could be found that the overall risk orientation is comparatively high with 71.36 percent. The maximum mean score 3.82 and minimum mean score 3.09 was ranked 1 to 11. It might be due to inability of respondents under small and

marginal land holding category to face risk and low exposure to value added training programmes add to this, the high fluctuation in prices of tomatoes categorised under medium level risk.

Table 6: Distribution of respondents based on risk orientation N=300

SI. No	Statements	Always	Most of the times	Some Times	Rarely	Never	Mean score	Rank
1.	I feel secured	77	65	103	44	11	3.82	I
2.	I feel I am independent	68	50	64	78	40	3.78	II
3.	I am interested in IG activities	99	69	75	47	10	3.75	III
4.	I feel value addition minimises risks of uncertainty	108	67	79	43	3	3.72	IV
5.	I prefer to use modern value addition practices	109	69	97	10	15	3.68	V
6.	I try to to take risks when I know the chances of success are fairly high	99	64	43	66	28	3.67	VI
7.	I feel not to try new value addition practices, unless others have used	99	85	65	23	28	3.51	VII
8.	I try new methods on my own risk	87	47	55	89	22	3.47	VIII
9.	I feel trying an entirely new practices of processing is risky, but it is worth taking	91	88	79	29	13	3.47	IX
10.	I will overcome the emergencies	99	64	43	66	28	3.29	X
11.	I utilize skills & resources of the family in better way	83	98	89	22	8	3.09	XI
	Overall index			7	1.36			

Data from table 7 shows that 42.67 percent of the respondents were found under high category of entrepreneurial behaviour followed by 33.33 percent under medium and 24.00 percent under low category. This indicates that respondents had high

economic motivation to adopt new technologies related tomato value addition to overcome the problem of price fluctuation in tomato crop. Therefore they can increase their income thereby enhancing their standard of living.

Table 7: Overall distribution of respondents based on entrepreneurial behaviour N=300

Respondents							
Categories	f	%					
Low (< 110.46)	72	24.00					
Medium (110.50 to 144.46)	100	33.33					
High (> 144.47)	128	42.67					
Mean =127.46, SD=54.41							

F-Frequency % -Percentage

Conclusion

Moreover, year-round supply of processed food items, value addition and enhanced family income cannot be attained if the rural women do not get the necessary scope to demonstrate their contribution in post-harvest activities. Therefore, efforts should be made to increase the entrepreneurial behaviour among farm women through intensive training programmes for socio economic upliftment of the farm women involved in tomato production.

Reference

- Asha K, Narayanagowda K, Krishnamurthy B, Ananda MG, Nagaraj KH, Vijayalakshmi KG. Entrepreneurial Behaviour of Women Dairy Farmers in Ramanagara District of Karnataka. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;11:450-463.
- Gayathri GN, Gopal Sankhala, Yankam Shivkumar Ramrao. Entrepreneurial behaviour of dairy farmers under Dairy Business School model. Indian J Dairy Sci. 2023;76(1):91-96.
- 3. Kumar V, Goyal TC. Entrepreneurial behaviour of dairy farmers in Udaipur district of Rajasthan. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10):28-32.
- 4. Pawar Jayalaxmi, Rajesh AM, Pushpa P, Chikkanna GS, Tulasiram K, Ambika DS. Impact of Value Addition Training Programmes of KVK in Kolar District, Karnataka Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(12):1475-1481.
- 5. Satish MS, Santosh SP, Manish NS, Ramesh N, Pordhiya KI. Entrepreneurial Behaviour of Dairy Farmers: A Study in Marathwada Region of Maharashtra, India. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(7):97-101.