

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

#### www.agronomyjournals.com

2025; 8(3): 132-136 Received: 05-01-2025 Accepted: 09-02-2025

#### **Balram Yadav**

Department of Agronomy, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

#### Vikram Bharati

Department of Agronomy, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

#### Tikendra Kumar Yadav

Department of Agronomy, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh India

#### Deepak Kumar Dwivedi

Department of Soil Science, CPGS-AS, CAU (Imphal), Umiam, Meghalaya, India

#### Alok Kumar

Department of Soil Science, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

#### Anchita Borah

Department of Soil Science, CPGS-AS, CAU (Imphal), Umiam, Meghalaya, India

### Archana Kumari

Department of Agronomy of Jharkhand Rai University, Jharkhand, India

#### Abhay Kumar

Department of Biotechnalogy, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

#### Corresponding Author: Vikerm Bharati Department of Agronomy,

Department of Agronomy, RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

# The effect of liquid biofertilizer practices on the growth and yield of finger millet

Balram Yadav, Vikram Bharati, Tikendra Kumar Yadav, Deepak Kumar Dwivedi, Alok Kumar, Anchita Borah, Archana Kumari and Abhay Kumar

**DOI:** https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i3b.2605

#### Abstract

Biofertilizers are products that contain living microorganisms that are used in agriculture for N<sub>2</sub> fixation, P absorption and nutrient mobilisation to improve soil quality and agricultural production. The randomized block design with three replications involving 11 treatments to determine the effect of liquid biofertilizer on the growth and yield of finger millet. The factors under study comprised different liquid biofertilizer practices. The variety of finger millet (RAU-8) was sown on 28th July 2020. A common recommended dose of fertilizer of 40N:20 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:20 K<sub>2</sub>OKg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied. The maximum significant grain yield (23.58 qha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with T<sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer (2.5 lit., mix with 500kgha<sup>-1</sup> FYM and apply in-furrow which was statistically at par with treatment T<sub>3</sub>-100% RDF + Soil application with liquid biofertilizer and (21.47 qha<sup>-1</sup>) T<sub>4</sub>-85%RDF+Seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) followed by Soil application of liquid biofertilizer (2.5 lit., mix with 500kgha<sup>-1</sup> FYM and apply in-furrow, (20.92 qha<sup>-1</sup>) T<sub>6</sub>-85%RDF + Soil application of liquid biofertilizer. All the growth parameters like plant height (92.45 cm), the number of tillers per plant (4.39), dry matter accumulation (20.57g plant<sup>-1</sup>), days to 50% flowering (81.25), crop growth rate (2.73 g day-1m-2), and relative growth rate were significantly influenced the treatments and maximum recorded at T<sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer (2.5-liter mix with 500kgha<sup>-1</sup>FYM applied in furrows.

Keywords: Finger millet, growth, liquid biofertilizer, growth

#### Introduction

Finger millet is a herbaceous annual plant extensively grown in the semiarid and arid areas of Asian and African countries. It is a self-pollinating tetraploid species under the Poaceae family and is one of the essential food cereals in Sub- Saharan Africa. Finger millet, native to Uganda and Ethiopia's highlands, is commonly grown by small-scale farmers and consumed locally (Adugna et al., 2011) [1]. In Africa, finger millet is usually consumed as a porridge, but it is also used to create bread, soup, roti (flatbread), and beer in South Asia. New finger millet-based food products, including pasta, noodles, vermicelli, snacks, sweets, and various bakery products, are becoming increasingly popular among younger people. Finger millet outperforms other major cereal crops, particularly polished rice, when it comes to several nutritious components. Finger millet is known for its high nutritional content with protein-7 g, carbohydrate-72 g, fat-3.6 g, and calories-328 kilocalories in each 100 g of seed. Finger millet contains a huge amount of fiber (18%), calcium (0.38%), phenolic compounds (0.3-3%), and sulfur-containing amino acids. Finger millet contains a huge amount of cysteine, tryptophan, methionine, and total amino acids compared to other cereals. It is an important crop in underdeveloped countries to combat starvation. Millets are one of the oldest foods known to humans, but they were forsaken in favor of wheat and rice with urbanization and industrialization. Ragi (Eleusine coracana L.), also known as finger millet, is a staple food crop in Karanataka, particularly in the southern region, and a portion of excellent food for diabetic patients. Among the minor millets, it has the most area under cultivation. In addition to being high in carbohydrates and protein, the grains are high in calcium and iron.

It is grown on 11.94 million hectares in India with a production of 19.85 million tonnes and productivity of 1662 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Finger millet was planted on 4.21 lakh ha in Bihar, with an annual yield of 4.19 lakh tonnes and productivity of 944 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Millets are important food and fodder crops in semiarid locations, and they're gaining popularity around the world. They are primarily planted in marginal areas or agricultural settings where major cereals fail to produce sufficient yields (Global Facilitation Unit for Underutilized Species, 2014). Both natural (Van Der 2 Heijden *et al.* 2008) and agricultural (Artursson *et al.* 2006) <sup>[2]</sup> ecosystems rely heavily on soil microbes for plant productivity and health. They may improve interpersonal systems and mutually beneficial interactions with plant roots that serve as hosts influencing plant growth, nutrient intake, and stress tolerance in the process (Bender *et al.* 2016) <sup>[3]</sup>.

### Materials and Methods Experimental site

A field experiment was carried out during Kharif 2020 at Research Farm of Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar, located on the BurhiGandak's southern bank, at an elevation on 58 metres above sea level, it is located at 25.590 North latitude & 85.350 East longitudes. The monsoon has a tremendous impact on the humid sub-tropical climate zone. He soil was alluvial and calcareous in nature, having evolved over time from BudhiGandak river debris. During the crop development season, 598.6 mm of precipitation was reported. The wettest month was September 2020, with 125.4 mm of rain. During the agricultural season, the highest and lowest weekly average temperatures range from 15.8°c to 32.7°c and 6.7°c to 27.5°c respectively. The highest temperature of 36.52°c was noted in April, whereas; the minimum temperature of 6.7°c was recorded in January. The RH was measured twice a day, at 7 A.M and 2 P.M., along with the weekly average was considered. During the experiment, the highest weekly RH range starting 94.2 percent to 100.0 percent, while the lowest relative humidity goes from 73.7 percent to 96.0 percent. Finger millets variety RAU-8 was chosen for the experiment. This variety was released in the year 1994 by Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa Samastipur. It has protein content (7.3%) and carbohydrates content (72%) and matures in 110-120 days. Maximum seed yield (35-37 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Certified RAU-8 seeds were used for seeding on 28th July 2020, the crop was planted using the recommended seed rate of 10-12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## Biometric and yield observation

Mostly from base of the parent plant to that same apex including its plant, average height on 5 randomly chosen plants from every plot were evaluated by cm during 30, 60DAS, and harvesting. Total no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> were observed from one m<sup>-2</sup> area. Three hills were chosen by each block then dried overnight in a

hot air oven between 65 to 70°c to determine the dry mass for plant<sup>-1</sup>. Its CGR and RGR indicates the dry weight growth over time in proportion towards the starting weight. After sun drying, the ripe plant has been collected as from net plot area, as well as the bundles mass was measured. Throughout the thrashing, winnowing, washing, then dry operations, grain yield were measured (kg plot<sup>-1</sup>). After that, it was multiplied by the conversion factor to get kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The (HI) was computed to use the preceding method based on the resultant force from economical (grain) seed yield and biological (grain + straw) yield.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Plant height, tillers per unit area, dry matter accumulation by plant, days to 50% flowering, crop growth rate and relative growth rate are all growth parameters that were directly or indirectly responsible for the grain and straw yields of finger millet at produce. Higher growth and yield attributing viz. it was significantly affected at different phases of its growth plant height (92.45 cm). The maximum number of tillers per plant was registered under T<sub>1</sub>- (4.39) (Table1). Those very same finding is consistent of Rathore et.al. (2006) The dry weight of plants was significantly affected by several liquid biofertilizers on maximum dry matter accumulation was registered under dry matter accumulation per plant (20.57g plant<sup>-1</sup>). It was explained by (Table 2). Similar findings have been confirmed by Singh et al. (2008) [9] days to 50% flowering (81.25) (Table 3), crop growth rate per unit area (2.73 g day-1 m -2) (Table 4), Comparable findings was found from Meena et al. (2015), and relative growth rate per unit area (0.0054 gg<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 5), These finding correlate the work of Swapna and Brahmaprakash (2013) [10], test weight (3.42 g), seed yield (23.58g ha<sup>-1</sup>) Similar results were found by Shah and Kumar (2014), straw yield (48.51q ha<sup>-1</sup>) where as observed an increase in grain and straw yields. These results were found to be related to the work of Nath *et al.* (2011) <sup>[6]</sup>, biological yield (72.16 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 6). These findings correlate the work of Khan et al (2012) [4] and harvest index (33.52%) were recorded with the liquid biofertilizer treatment T<sub>1</sub> -100%RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer (2.5 lit., mix with 500 kgha<sup>-1</sup> FYM and apply in-furrow. Which was statistically at par with T<sub>3</sub>-100%RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer and T<sub>4</sub>-85%RDF+Seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer (2.5 lit., mix with 500 kgha-1 FYM and apply in furrow, T<sub>6</sub> - 85%RDF + soil application of liquid biofertilizer. the most economically effective treatment and also recorded the highest economics parametric values i.e., maximum cost of cultivation of ₹ 26965, gross return of ₹89824, net return of ₹62859 and benefit to cost ratios were 2.33 followed by the treatment T<sub>3</sub>.

Table 1: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on plant height and number of tillers of Finger millet

Treatment		Plant hig		Plant hight (cm)	
No.	Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	At Harvest	No of tiller plant <sup>-1</sup>
$T_1$	100%RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	30.65	60.49	92.45	4.39
$T_2$	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	27.62	54.52	82.78	3.48
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ soil application with liquid biofertilizer	30.24	59.68	91.11	4.31
T <sub>4</sub>	85% RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	29.99	59.20	90.05	4.16
T <sub>5</sub>	85%RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	26.16	51.63	78.45	3.15
T <sub>6</sub>	85%RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	29.81	58.84	89.55	3.95

T <sub>7</sub>	70%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	24.57	48.49	73.49	2.98		
T <sub>8</sub>	70% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	23.56	46.51	70.43	2.75		
T <sub>9</sub>	70% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	24.06	47.49	72.36	2.87		
T <sub>10</sub>	RDF (40:20:20,N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	27.00	53.30	81.02	3.36		
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	17.18	33.91	51.58	2.15		
	S.Em.±	1.02	2.02	3.12	0.094		
	CD (P=0.05)	3.07	6.077	9.28	0.27		
	Seed treatment = (Bio- NPK liquid biofertilizer @ 5ml/kg seed)						
	Soil application = liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM applied in furrow						

Table 2: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on Dry matter accumulation of Finger millet

Treatment		Dry Matter Accumulation (		ation (g	g hill <sup>-1</sup> )			
No.	Treatment detail	30 DAS   60   90   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	30 DAS	At Harvest				
$T_1$	100%RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	3.80	12.91	17.48	20.57			
$T_2$	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	3.24	10.95	13.58	15.55			
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ soil application with liquid biofertilizer	3.72	12.62	16.13	18.82			
T <sub>4</sub>	85%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	3.67	12.64	16.49	18.69			
T <sub>5</sub>	85%RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	3.06	10.25	13.30	14.89			
T <sub>6</sub>	85% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	3.59	12.30	16.17	18.43			
T <sub>7</sub>	70%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	2.67	8.98	11.97	13.24			
T <sub>8</sub>	70%RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	2.40	7.62	11.73	13.02			
<b>T</b> 9	70% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	2.57	8.56	12.94	13.96			
T <sub>10</sub>	RDF (40:20:20, N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kgha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.19	10.72	13.48	14.56			
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	1.42	4.59	7.13	7.58			
	S.Em.±	0.14	0.57	0.63	0.61			
	CD (P=0.05)	0.42	1.73	1.89	1.84			
	Seed treatment - (Bio- NPK liquid biofertilizer @ 5ml/kg seed)  Soil application - liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM applied in furrow							

Table 3: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on days on flowering and test weight of finger millet

Treatment No.	Treatment detail	Days to flowering	1000 seed Weight (g)
$T_1$	100% RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	81.25	3.42
$T_2$	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	81.13	3.29
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ soil application with liquid biofertilizer	81.02	3.39
T <sub>4</sub>	85% RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	80.86	3.34
T <sub>5</sub>	85% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	80.16	3.26
$T_6$	85% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	80.44	3.31
$T_7$	70% RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	80.06	3.22
T <sub>8</sub>	70% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	79.67	3.19
T <sub>9</sub>	70%RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	79.82	3.21
T <sub>10</sub>	RDF (40:20:20,N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	80.98	3.27
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	78.48	3.16
	S.Em.±	1.15	0.07
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS
	Seed treatment = (Bio- NPK liquid biofertilizer @ 5ml/kg seed) Soil application = liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM applied	in furrow	

Table 4: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on crop growth rate of Finger millet

Treatment		Cro	p growth 1	rate (g day	-1 m-2)
No.	Treatment	0-30 DAS	30-60 DAS	60-90 DAS	90- Harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	100%RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	3.38	8.25	4.31	2.73
$T_2$	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	2.88	6.85	2.33	1.74
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ soil application with liquid biofertilizer	3.30	7.97	3.85	2.39
T <sub>4</sub>	85%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	3.26	7.96	3.72	1.95
T <sub>5</sub>	85% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	2.72	6.38	2.71	1.41
T <sub>6</sub>	85%RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	3.19	7.73	3.43	2.00
<b>T</b> 7	70%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	2.37	5.60	2.65	1.12

$T_8$	70%RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	2.13	4.64	3.65	1.14		
T <sub>9</sub>	70% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	2.28	5.32	2.89	0.90		
$T_{10}$	RDF (40:20:20,N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.84	6.68	2.45	0.95		
$T_{11}$	Control	1.26	2.82	2.25	0.40		
	S.Em.±	0.12	0.51	0.22	0.076		
	CD (P=0.05)	0.37	1.55	0.65	0.22		
Seed treatment = (Bio- NPK liquid biofertilizer @ 5ml/kg seed)							
	Soil application = liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM applied in furrow						

Table 5: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on Relative growth rate of Finger millet

T4		Relat	ive growth	rate (g g <sup>-</sup>	<sup>1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )		
Treatment No.	Treatment	0-30	30-60	60-90	90-		
140.		DAS         DAS         DAS           tion of liquid         0.15         0.05         0.014           0.14         0.04         0.007           0.15         0.04         0.013           ion of liquid         0.15         0.04         0.012           0.14         0.04         0.008           ion of liquid         0.15         0.04         0.009           ion of liquid         0.14         0.04         0.009           0.13         0.04         0.010           0.14         0.04         0.007           0.14         0.04         0.007           0.12         0.03         0.014           0.0015         0.002         0.0009	Harvest				
$T_1$	100% RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	0.15	0.05	0.014	0.0054		
$T_2$	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	0.14	0.04	0.007	0.0045		
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+soil application with liquid biofertilizer	0.15	0.04	0.013	0.0052		
$T_4$	85%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	0.15	0.04	0.012	0.0042		
T <sub>5</sub>	85% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	0.14	0.04	0.008	0.0037		
T <sub>6</sub>	85% RDF +soil application with liquid biofertilizer	0.15	0.04	0.009	0.0043		
T <sub>7</sub>	70%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	0.14	0.04	0.009	0.0034		
T <sub>8</sub>	70%RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	0.13	0.04	0.010	0.0035		
T <sub>9</sub>	70%RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	0.14	0.04	0.007	0.0025		
$T_{10}$	RDF (40:20:20,N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.14	0.04	0.007	0.0025		
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	0.12	0.03	0.014	0.0020		
	S.Em.±	0.0015	0.002	0.0009	0.0003		
	CD (P=0.05)	0.0044	0.0067	0.0026	0.0008		
 [	Seed treatment = (Bio- NPK liquid biofertilizer @ 5ml/kg seed	)					
	Soil application = liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM applied in furrow						

Table 6: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on yield and harvesting index of Finger millet

Treatment No.	Treatment detail	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	HI (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	23.58	48.51	72.16	33.54
$T_2$	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	19.40	38.45	57.88	33.41
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ soil application with liquid biofertilizer	23.05	47.04	70.78	33.47
T <sub>4</sub>	85% RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	21.47	44.18	65.71	33.42
T <sub>5</sub>	85% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	18.24	36.48	54.79	33.38
$T_6$	85% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	20.92	42.57	63.42	33.41
<b>T</b> 7	70% RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	16.86	35.25	52.17	33.29
T <sub>8</sub>	70% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	14.28	30.45	44.76	33.37
T9	70% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	15.28	32.14	47.49	33.18
T <sub>10</sub>	RDF (40:20:20,N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	19.02	38.07	57.13	33.40
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	9.40	20.92	30.35	32.24
	S.Em.±	1.00	1.64	1.53	0.004
	CD (P=0.05)	2.99	4.87	4.60	NS
	Seed treatment = (Bio- NPK liquid biofertiliz Soil application = liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed w			urrow	

Table 7: Response of liquid biofertilizer and their mode of application on cost of cultivation and benefit cost ratio of Finger millet

Treatment No.	Treatment detail	Cost of Cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross Return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net Return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
$T_1$	100%RDF+seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	26965	89824	62859	2.33
T <sub>2</sub>	100% RDF +seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	24098	73536	49438	2.05
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ soil application with liquid biofertilizer	26593	87710	61117	2.30
T <sub>4</sub>	85%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	26685	81789	55104	2.07
$T_5$	85% RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	23808	69221	45413	1.91
$T_6$	85% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	26313	79574	53261	2.02
<b>T</b> 7	70%RDF+ seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer followed by soil application of liquid biofertilizer	26402	64366	37964	1.44

$T_8$	70%RDF + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer	24025	54665	30640	1.28
T <sub>9</sub>	70% RDF + soil application with liquid biofertilizer	26030	58383	32353	1.24
$T_{10}$	RDF (40:20:20,N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	23726	72188	48462	2.04
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	21500	36203	14703	0.68
	S.Em.±		3188	3188	0.093
	CD (P=0.05)		9470	9470	0.277

Seed treatment = (Bio- NPK liquid biofertilizer @ 5ml/kg seed)

Soil application = liquid biofertilizer(bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM applied in furrow

#### Conclusion

On the basis of above finding the broad conclusion can be drawn for adoption of farmer's level. Application of  $40N:20\ P_2O_5:20\ K_2O\ kg\ ha^{-1}$  + seed treatment with liquid biofertilizer (bio-NPK @ 5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup>seed) + soil application of liquid biofertilizer (bio-NPK @ 2.5 lit.) mixed with 500 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM applied in furrow may be recommended for obtaining profitable grain yield as well as improves soil nutrient status in finger millet we had investment on ₹ 1 in our research trail and profit in ₹ 2.33.

#### References

- Adugna A, Tesso T, Degu E, Tadesse T, Merga F, Legesse W, et al. Genotype-by-Environment Interaction and Yield Stability Analysis in Finger Millet (Eleusinecoracana L. Gaertn) in Ethiopia. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2011;2:408-415.
- 2. Artursson V, Finlay RD, Jansson JK. Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and bacteria and their potential for stimulating plant growth. Environment. Microbiology. 2006;8:1-10. DOI: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00942.
- 3. Bender SF, Wagg C, van der Heijden MG. An underground revolution: biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2016;31:440-452. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2016. 02.016.
- 4. Khan MAA, Rajamani K, Reddy APK. Nutrient management in rabi sweet sorghum grown as inter-crop in *Pongamia* based agri-silvi culture system. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2012;60(4):335-339.
- 5. Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Aeron A, Kumar A, Kim K, *et al.* Potassium solubilizing rhizobacteria (KSR): Isolation, identification, and K-release dynamics from waste mica. Ecol. Eng. 2015b;81:340-347.
- Nath DJ, Ozah B, Baruah R, Borah DK. Effect of Integrated nutrient management on soil enzymes, microbial biomass carbon and bacterial populations under rice (*Orizasativa*) and wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) sequence. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2011;81(12):1143-1148.
- 7. Rathore VS, Singh P, Gautam RC. Productivity and wateruse efficiency of rainfedpearlmillet (*Pennisetumglaucum*) as influenced by planting patterns and integrated nutrient management. Indian journal of Agronomy. 2006;51(1):46-48.
- 8. Shaha RA, Kumar S. Direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management and economics in hybrid rice-wheat cropping system. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture & Environmental Science. 2014;14(5):455-458.
- 9. Singh R, Singh B, Patidar M. Effect of preceding crops and nutrient management on productivity of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) based cropping system in arid region. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2008;53(4):267-272.
- Swapna G, Brahmaprakash GP. Effect of granular inoculant formulation of microbial consortium on growth and yield of finger millet. BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2013;10(4c):1585-1586.
- 11. Asad SA, Bano A, Farooq M, Aslam M, Afzal A.

- Comparative study of the effects of biofertilizers on nodulation and yield characteristics of mung bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2004;6:837-843.
- 12. Awodun MA. Effect of poultry manure on the growth, yield and nutrient content of fluted pumpkin. Asian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2007;1(2):67-73.