



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)

2025; 8(1): 935-939

Received: 14-10-2024

Accepted: 20-11-2024

**Pushpa Kumari**

Department of Horticulture, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**M Feza Ahmad**

Department of Horticulture, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**AK Chanchal**

Department of Soil Science &  
Agricultural Chemistry, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**Shaheena Parveen**

Department of Horticulture, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**Dr. AK Jha**

Department of Horticulture, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**Randhir Kumar**

Department of Horticulture, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**Anil Kumar Singh**

Department of Agronomy, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**AK Chanchal**

Department of Soil Science &  
Agricultural Chemistry, Nalanda  
College of Horticulture, Nalanda,  
Noorsarai, Bihar Agricultural  
University, Sabour, Bhagalpur,  
Bihar, India

## Influence of nitrogen and potash fertilizer on yield and quality of papaya cv. red lady under alluvial soil of Bihar

**Pushpa Kumari, M Feza Ahmad, AK Chanchal, Shaheena Parveen, AK Jha, Randhir Kumar and Anil Kumar Singh**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i1.2492>

### Abstract

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Red Lady, a high-yielding gynodioecious tropical fruit of the *Caricaceae* family, was studied to evaluate the effects of various fertilizer doses on its yield and quality attributes. Neem-coated urea (0, 100, 200 g/plant), calcium nitrate (0, 100, 200 g/plant), and muriate of potash (0, 200, 400 g/plant) were applied in different combinations. The results showed significant variation in yield parameters, with the number of flowers ranging from 16.125 to 23.125, fruits per plant from 11.50 to 20.50, and fruit yield per plant from 10.649 to 18.412 kg. Quality attributes such as total soluble solids (TSS), acidity, and carotenoid content of ripe fruits varied from 7.150 to 9.600 °Brix, 0.305 to 0.350%, and 1.637 to 3.795 mg/100 g fresh weight, respectively. Fruit firmness ranged between 7.500 and 10.500 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, while fruit volume was recorded between 820.00 and 973.750 ml. This study highlights the influence of balanced fertilizer management on optimizing yield and improving fruit quality in papaya cultivation.

**Keywords:** Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.), red lady cultivar, gynodioecious, tropical fruit

### Introduction

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is an important tropical fruit of *Caricaceae* family. There are 48 species under genus *Carica* in which only *Carica papaya* is grown for consumption. It is a nutrient loving plant that requires relatively more nutrient during entire growing period. Proper nutrient management is necessary for optimum yield with quality fruit (Purohit, 1984) [24]. For the growth of different tissues/organ, it needs varying amounts of different nutrients throughout the growing period. The higher or lower doses of fertilizer may cause adverse effects on plant growth and development. Nitrogen, directly related to fruit production (Koo, 1957) [10]. Nitrogen contributes mainly in proteins, nucleic acid and chlorophyll, besides its direct role in cell division and expansion (Marchner, 2005) [17]. Its management is very complex due to leaching losses, different soil biochemical reaction and dependency on climatic parameters (Hu *et al.*, 2012) [16]. Potassium, as a quality nutrient, increases the fruit quality of papaya *viz.* pulp thickness, fruit size, high TSS with low acidity content (Römhald and Kirby, 2010) [22]. It involves in different plant activities like a messenger to transfer electric charge with in cell system of plants and act as catalysts for an enzyme during several plant physiological activity. Due to activation of more than sixty enzyme in the plant system, potassium is recognize as 'spark plug'. Apart from all these activity, it stimulate tolerance mechanism in plant against biotic and abiotic stress (Ranade-Malvi, 2011) [21]. Therefore, N along with K is responsible for quality improvements of papaya fruits with high yield potential and other activity in plant system. Nitrogen and potassium exert strong correlation in plant growth and development. The optimum concentration of potassium in soil helps efficient utilization of nitrogen from the given source of nutrient or soil. Higher dose of nitrogenous fertilizers may cause nutritional imbalance in the plants and pollute the water table along with surroundings. The management practices of fertilization also becomes uneconomic, due to higher percentage of soluble forms of N in the soil profile, which may increase the susceptibility of the plants to losses (Lorenzini *et al.*, 2012) [15] while, uptake of magnesium and calcium decreased at higher doses of potassium. Therefore, balance dose of fertilizer is important for plant growth.

## Materials and Methods

This trail was conducted at Horticulture Garden, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar) during the year 2017 and 2018. The trail consist of twenty seven treatment with three replication under factorial Randomised Block Design (FRBD). Uniform basal doses of phosphorus @ 100g per plant were given. Treatment consist with three levels of each neem coated urea, calcium nitrate (0g, 100g & 200g/plant) and muriate of potash (0, 200 & 400g/plant). Fertilizer applied in 4 equal splits (July, September, February and March), and placed 15-20 cm away from the plant in ring basin. The yield and yield attributing parameters of papaya cv. Red Lady were evaluated and statistically analysed.

The data were imperilled to analysis of variance (ANOVA) in order to get significant effects of N and K doses by Tukey test.

## Results and Discussion

The absorption and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink increases under good soil nutrient management that results better growth and yield of plant. Photosynthetic activity of plant might be increased due to more number of leaves and is responsible for more accumulation of carbohydrates that accelerate the growth rate and ultimately increases the fruit yield of papaya. Higher fruit yield (t/ha) in papaya was recognised due to increased number of fruits per plant.

The recorded pooled value of total no. of flowers, fruits and fruit yield per plant of papaya cv. Red Lady under the influence of various fertilizer doses is given in table 1.

It would be evident from the table that total no. of flowers, fruits and fruit yield varies significantly among the applied fertilizer doses. Number of flowers per plant showed highest value (23.125) in  $N_0C_2M_2$  & equal to  $N_2C_1M_1$  and lowest value (16.125) in  $N_0C_2M_0$ .

The value of number of fruits and fruit yield varied from 11.50 - 20.50 per plant and 10.649- 18.412 kg per plant respectively.

Number of flowers, fruits per plant, and yield per plant were examined and the maximum no. of flowers and yields per plant recorded with the application of  $N_0C_2M_2$  (neem coated urea 0g,  $CaNO_3$  @ 200 g along with MOP @ 400 g/plants) which is equal to  $N_2C_1M_1$  (neem coated urea 200g,  $CaNO_3$  @ 100 g along with MOP @ 200 g/plants). Number of fruits/plant increased continuously with increasing dose of nitrogen, while it increases only up to 200 g/plant dose of MOP application. This might be due to cumulative stimulating influence of nitrogen on vegetative plant parts that is the base for flowering and fruiting. The higher dose of Ca was more effective in enhancing average tuber weight in potato (Hamdi *et al.*, 2015) [9]. However, El-Beltagy *et al.* (2002) [7], observed highest tuber yield with the application of medium doses of calcium nitrate. Rai *et al.* 2002 [20] observed that the fruit yield of litchi increased significantly with the application of potassium. Application of chemical fertilizer significantly enhances the fruit yield by increasing the soil fertility (Luo *et al.*, 2012 and Zhao *et al.*, 2013) [16, 30]. Similarly, Singh *et al.* 2012 recorded maximum number of fruit and fruit yields in papaya cv. Pusa Dwarf under application of nitrogen @ 200 g and potash @ 300 g/plant. Further, it was also recorded that application of organic matter @ 2250 kg/ha along with nitrogen @ 450 kg, phosphorus @ 247.5 kg and potassium @ 438 kg effectively improved the fruit yield of citrus cv. Longanyou (Li *et al.* 2017) [14]. Similar to this, Nasreen *et al.* (2013) [18], found that highest yield and yield components with the treatment of  $N_{300}P_{125}K_{225}Mg_{60}$  g/plant in mandarin. Likewise, Young and Koo, 1974 [27] seen that increasing levels of nitrogen and potash increases the fruit yield in mango cv.

Kent and Parvin but the effect is non-significant. In sweet pepper Aminifard *et al.* (2012) [2] and Law and Egharevba (2009) [13], observed that higher quantity of nitrogen enhances the fruit number and yield/plant but yield was decreased at highest dose of nitrogen. Fertilizer toxicity in soil may be one of the reason for reduction yield potential of plant (Boroujerdnia and Ansari, 2007) [5]. Number of primary and secondary fingers and rhizome fresh weight in ginger crop increases with the application of ideal levels of nitrogen in the soil (Singh *et al.*, 2016; Xu and Xu, 1999) [27, 31].

**Table 1:** Effects of neem coated urea, calcium nitrate and muriate of potash on number of flowers, fruits and fruit yields per plant

Treatment	No. of flowers/plant	No. of fruits/plant	Fruit yield (kg/plant)
$N_0C_0M_0$	16.125±0.854	11.50±1.940	10.649±0.901
$N_0C_0M_1$	19.125±1.031	14.50±0.816	14.917±0.948
$N_0C_0M_2$	21.125±0.854	14.75±1.940	14.749±1.058
$N_0C_1M_0$	18.125±0.854	15.50 ±3.271	16.869±1.156
$N_0C_1M_1$	19.125±1.031	16.50±1.505	18.412±0.853
$N_0C_1M_2$	20.875±0.750	14.50 ±1.048	17.980±1.280
$N_0C_2M_0$	21.125±0.854	14.50±1.048	16.293±1.383
$N_0C_2M_1$	21.125±0.854	15.50±1.032	22.859±2.247
$N_0C_2M_2$	23.125±0.854	14.50±1.632	19.882±2.591
$N_1C_0M_0$	18.625±0.750	17.75±1.471	15.878±1.060
$N_1C_0M_1$	19.125±1.030	18.50±2.898	17.013±1.385
$N_1C_0M_2$	20.125±0.854	17.75±1.940	16.160±2.488
$N_1C_1M_0$	19.125±0.854	15.50±1.505	15.605±2.092
$N_1C_1M_1$	20.125 ±0.854	17.50±1.471	17.656±1.102
$N_1C_1M_2$	19.125±1.031	18.50±1.414	17.920±1.627
$N_1C_2M_0$	20.125±1.031	15.50±2.503	17.628±1.226
$N_1C_2M_1$	20.625±0.854	20.50±1.940	18.442±2.166
$N_1C_2M_2$	20.125±0.854	18.50±1.211	19.490±1.445
$N_2C_0M_0$	21.150±0.854	15.50±2.316	14.370±1.482
$N_2C_0M_1$	22.375±0.750	18.50±1.643	15.888±1.904
$N_2C_0M_2$	19.250±0.645	17.75±1.048	14.898±1.610
$N_2C_1M_0$	21.750±0.645	17.50±1.788	17.233±3.605
$N_2C_1M_1$	23.125 ±0.854	18.50±1.632	19.959±1.282
$N_2C_1M_2$	21.125± 0.854	19.25±0.752	17.757±0.783
$N_2C_2M_0$	20.375±0.750	17.50±1.366	17.057±1.663
$N_2C_2M_1$	21.875±0.854	19.50±0.547	17.522±1.299
$N_2C_2M_2$	21.375 ±0.854	18.50±1.329	18.147±0.707
CD	1.214	1.44	2.30

Volume and fruit firmness of papaya fruit under the influence of various fertilizer doses were recorded at ripening stage and presented in table 2. Volume of papaya fruit varies from 820.00 - 973.750 ml among the applied fertilizer combination. The highest value of fruit volume 973.75±17.97 were recorded in  $N_0C_2M_1$  followed by 968.75±42.89  $N_0C_2M_2$  and lowest value (820.00±9.128) in  $N_0C_0M_0$ . The fruit volume significantly affected by the application of potash and nitrogenous fertilizers and increased with decreasing dose of potash in combination with calcium nitrate @ 200g/plant and 0g urea. This finding is in line with Quaggio *et al.* (2006) [19], in sweet orange and Rai *et al.*, 2002 [20] in litchi. However, Aminifard *et al.* (2012) [2], found that higher dose of nitrogen increases the fruit volume in sweet pepper.

Fruit firmness is determined by the fruit structure and cell wall composition, an important parameters to judge the fruit stability. Structural integrity cell wall and plasma membrane mainly determined by calcium. Suppression of ripening, senescence, less tissue break down and softening of tissue due to availability of sufficient quantity of secondary nutrient, metabolites such as gibberellic acid and lower gaseous exchange results in

increasing the firmness of fruit (Parmar *et al.* 2017) [23], In present trial, fruit firmness of firm ripe fruit recorded highest ( $10.50 \pm 0.577$  kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) in N<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>M<sub>0</sub> which is at par with ( $10.375 \pm 0.478$  kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>2</sub> followed by ( $10.125 \pm 0.629$  kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) in N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>M<sub>2</sub> and lowest ( $7.50 \pm 0.408$  kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) in N<sub>0</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub>. It was observed that firmness increased with combination of all higher doses of nitrogen followed by potash. These findings are in conformity with Parmar *et al.* (2017) [23] and Singh and Singh, (2010) [4].

**Table 2:** Effects of neem coated urea, calcium nitrate and muriate of potash on Fruit firmness and volume of papaya fruit

Treatment	Fruit firmness (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Fruit volume (ml)
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	7.500±0.408	820.000±9.128
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.125±0.250	915.000±25.166
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	8.625±0.478	900.000±23.452
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	9.000±0.000	868.750±28.686
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.625±0.478	912.500±17.078
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.625±0.250	930.000±26.140
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.375±0.478	945.000±10.801
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.500±0.408	973.750±17.969
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.000±0.408	968.750±42.890
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.250±0.645	930.000±19.578
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.125±0.250	926.250±19.311
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	10.375±0.478	927.500±16.583
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	9.125±0.250	861.250±30.923
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.375±1.108	903.750±28.686
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	10.125±0.629	843.750±25.617
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	9.375±0.478	922.500±27.838
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.000±0.000	866.250±37.941
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.625±0.478	908.750±23.935
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.500±0.408	866.250±42.303
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.375±0.478	880.000±9.128
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.250±0.288	880.000±26.770
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	10.50±0.577	868.750±57.209
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.625±0.478	875.000±10.801
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.875±0.629	891.750±10.275
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.625±0.250	851.250±59.213
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.000±0.408	801.250±12.500
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.625±0.478	898.750±37.052
CD	0.6567	40.20

The different climatic factors *viz.* light, temperature, water, nutrient, soil and CO<sub>2</sub> governed the fruit quality by influencing growth rate and sugar content in leaves and fruits, productivity and development of fruit (Costa and Costa, 2003) [6].

Total Soluble Solids (TSS) and titratable acidity of papaya fruit were examined during 2017 and 2018 and pooled data has been presented in table 3. Combination of neem coated urea, calcium nitrate and muriate of potash to each other showed significant effect on TSS content of fruit. About 1.3 fold variation in pooled data was observed among the different combinations of fertilizer application. The highest value ( $9.60 \pm 0.141^\circ$ Brix) was recorded under the interaction of N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>M<sub>2</sub> which is at par with N<sub>2</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>2</sub> ( $9.55 \pm 0.212^\circ$ Brix) followed by N<sub>0</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>2</sub> ( $9.35 \pm 0.07$ ) and lowest in control N<sub>0</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub> ( $7.20 \pm 0.00$ ) of ripe fruit. From this it has been observed that maximum TSS content recorded at higher doses of MOP i.e., @ 400 g/plant with the alone and in combination of other nitrogenous fertilizer @ 100 g/plant each.

Acidity of fruit with the combination of different fertilizers as neem coated urea, calcium nitrate and muriate of potash to each other showed the significant results. The lowest pooled value under the interaction of neem coated urea and calcium nitrate recorded as 0.305% when plant applied with N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> and highest in control (N<sub>0</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub>) i.e., 0.35%. However, all other

combination give almost similar results.

**Table 3:** Effects of neem coated urea, calcium nitrate and muriate of potash on TSS, Acidity and carotenoid content of papaya fruit

Treatment	TSS ( <sup>o</sup> brix)	Acidity (%)	Carotenoid (mg/100g FW)
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	7.200±0.000	0.350±0.028	1.637±0.154
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	9.200±0.141	0.335±0.007	2.487±0.037
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.350±0.070	0.345±0.007	2.485±0.019
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.300±0.283	0.355±0.007	2.617±0.085
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.100±0.000	0.345±0.007	2.510±0.154
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	8.750±0.354	0.340±0.000	3.795±0.026
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.250±0.212	0.370±0.000	2.775±0.046
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.550±0.070	0.325±0.007	3.135±0.452
N <sub>0</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	8.750±0.354	0.360±0.000	2.557±0.254
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	7.250±0.354	0.370±0.000	1.930±0.058
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.850±0.354	0.340±0.000	3.535±0.029
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	8.200±0.141	0.345±0.007	1.873±0.059
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	7.150±0.354	0.370±0.000	2.515±0.052
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.850±0.212	0.340±0.000	1.870±0.059
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.600±0.141	0.335±0.007	1.855±0.021
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.250±0.354	0.345±0.007	2.720±0.041
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.700±0.141	0.345±0.007	3.277±0.088
N <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	8.950±0.212	0.305±0.007	2.397±0.117
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	7.450±0.212	0.355±0.007	1.685±0.044
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	7.750±0.070	0.350±0.000	1.757±0.029
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.550±0.212	0.33±0.007	2.70±0.154
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	8.600±0.283	0.35±0.000	2.332±0.055
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.900±0.141	0.34±0.007	2.422±0.159
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	8.400±0.141	0.330±0.000	2.570±0.122
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	7.550±0.212	0.350±0.000	2.417±0.0741
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	8.500±0.283	0.340±0.000	3.100±0.114
N <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	9.150±0.071	0.330±0.000	1.655±0.010
CD	1.4113	0.0144	0.1797

Fertilizer application either alone or in combination exert significant effect on carotenoids content of fruit. It varies in between  $1.637 \pm 0.154$  to  $3.79 \pm 0.026$  mg/100g. The maximum concentration of carotenoid were recorded under N<sub>0</sub>C<sub>1</sub>M<sub>2</sub> ( $3.79 \pm 0.026$  mg/100g) followed by N<sub>1</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>1</sub> ( $3.535 \pm 0.029$  mg/100g) and lowest in N<sub>0</sub>C<sub>0</sub>M<sub>0</sub> ( $1.637 \pm 0.154$  mg/100g). From, this results it can be clear that both the nitrogenous fertilizer exerts equal effect on carotenoid content of fruit. According to Marshner (2012), potassium promote the sugar translocation in plant that results in higher TSS content of fruits. This is in conformity with Kumar *et al.* (2010) [12] and Souza *et al.* (2009) [29]. Higher dose of nitrogen increased the acidity content of fruit while potash reduces its content. Similar results in papaya were recorded by Bisht *et al.* (2010) [4] and Ghanta *et al.* (1995) [8]. However, TSS was not influenced with different doses of nitrogen, while highest dose (500g/plant/year) of potash results highest TSS content in papaya fruits (Akinyemi and Akanda, 2008; Kumar and Gho, 2003) [1, 11]. Likewise, Bindu and Bindu (2017), recorded maximum carotenoid content in fruits with the application of NPK @ 200:300:500 g/plant and also found that nitrogen has no significant effect on fruit TSS content. Similarly, Li *et al.*, 2017 [14] found that application of nitrogen 450 kg, phosphorus 247.5 kg, potassium 438 kg and organic matter 2250 kg per hectare effectively increases the fruit quality of citrus fruit cv. Longanyou. Likewise, Nasreen *et al.* (2013) [18], observed that in mandarin higher concentration of nitrogen and potash increases the TSS content of fruit. Rai *et al.* (2002) [20], also recorded that TSS content of litchi fruit significantly influenced but acidity content was not significantly influenced by the nitrogen application.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the application of neem-coated urea, calcium nitrate, and muriate of potash significantly influenced the growth, fruiting, and quality parameters of papaya cv. Red Lady. Optimal fertilizer combinations enhanced the number of flowers and fruits per plant, fruit yield, volume, firmness, and quality attributes like TSS and carotenoid content while reducing acidity. The highest number of flowers (23.125) and fruits per plant, along with increased fruit yield (19.882 kg/plant), were recorded with the treatments  $N_0C_2M_2$  ( $CaNO_3 @ 200 \text{ g} + MOP @ 400 \text{ g}$ ) and  $N_2C_1M_1$  (neem-coated urea @ 200 g +  $CaNO_3 @ 100 \text{ g} + MOP @ 200 \text{ g}$ ). This highlights the role of balanced fertilizer applications in optimizing nutrient uptake, promoting vegetative and reproductive growth, and achieving better yields. Excessive nitrogen or potassium applications, however, reduced yield potential, likely due to nutrient toxicity. Fruit quality was also enhanced under specific treatments. The highest TSS (9.60 °Brix) was observed with  $N_1C_1M_2$  (neem-coated urea @ 100 g +  $CaNO_3 @ 100 \text{ g} + MOP @ 400 \text{ g}$ ), indicating the synergistic role of nitrogen and potash in sugar accumulation. Firmness and carotenoid content were positively influenced by calcium and potassium applications, emphasizing their role in improving fruit structural integrity and nutritional quality. Overall, the findings underscore the importance of fine-tuning fertilizer doses for achieving high yields and superior fruit quality in papaya, while preventing nutrient imbalances or soil toxicity. Future studies can further refine the dose-response relationships to maximize productivity under diverse agro-climatic conditions.

## Acknowledgements

The monetary support and laboratory facilities provided by Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India is duly acknowledged. BAU Communication number is 1938/241218.

## References

- Akinyemi S, Akanda MO. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of pawpaw (*Carica papaya* L.). *Interciencia*. 2008;29:274-270.
- Aminifard MH, Atefe-Ameri AA, Fatemi H. Effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilizer on growth, yield and fruit quality of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Afr J Agric Res*. 2012;7(6):859-866.
- Bindu B, Bindu P. Nutrient requirement of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) for yield optimization and commercial cultivation under Kerala conditions. *J Krishi Vigyan*. 2017;5(2):122-127. DOI: 10.5958/2349-4433.2017.00027.7.
- Bisht CP, Solanki RB, Singh A. Effect of NPK and FYM on quality and leaf nutrient status for obtaining yield of papaya. *Ann Hortic*. 2010;3(8):109-111.
- Boroujerdnia M, Ansari AN. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer and cultivars on growth, yield and yield components of Romaine lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Middle East Russia J Plant Sci Biotechnol*. 2007;1:47-53.
- Costa A de FS da, Costa AN da. *Tecnologias para a produção de goiaba*. Vitória: Inscaper; c2003.
- EL-Beltagy MS, Abou-Hadid AF, Singer SM, Abdel-Naby A. Response of fall season potato crop to different calcium levels. *Acta Hortic*. 2002;579:289-293.
- Ghanta PK, Dhua RS, Mitra SK. Effect of varying levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth, yield and quality of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). *Ann Agric Res*. 1995;16(4):405-408.
- Hamdi W, Helali L, Beji R, Zhani K, Atani OS, Gharbi A, *et al*. Effect of levels calcium nitrate addition on potatoes fertilizer. *Int Res J Eng Tech*. 2015;2(3):1-7.
- Koo RCJ. Role of mineral nutrition in fruit production. *Florida Univ Agric Exp Stat Annu Rep*. 1957;1956-57:224-245.
- Kumar K, Gho KM. Nitrogen release from crop residues and organic amendments as affected by biochemical composition. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal*. 2003;34:2441-2460.
- Kumar N, Soorianathasundaram K, Meenakshi N, Manivannan MI, Suresh J, Nosov V, *et al*. Balanced fertilization in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) For higher yield and quality. *Acta Hortic*. 2010;851:351-356.
- Law-Ogbomo K, Egharevba E. Effects of planting density and NPK fertilizer application on yield and yield components of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) in forest location. *World J Agric Sci*. 2009;5(2):152-158.
- Li R, Chang Y, Hu T, Jiang X, Liang G, Lu Z, *et al*. Effects of different fertilization treatments on soil, leaf nutrient and fruit quality of *Citrus grandis* var. Longanyou. *World J Eng Tech*. 2017;5:1-14.
- Lorenzini F, Ceretta CA, Giroto E, Cerini JB, Lourenzi CR, Conti L, *et al*. Lixiviação e volatilização de nitrogênio em um Argissolo cultivado com videira submetida à adubação nitrogenada. *Ciênc Rural*. 2012;42:1173-1179.
- Luo H, Li M, Hu DG, Song HR, Hao YJ, Zhang LZ, *et al*. Effects of organic fertilization on fruit yield and quality of Feicheng peach (*Prunus persica* cv. Feicheng). *Plant Nutr Fertil Sci*. 2012;18:955-964.
- Marschner H. *Mineral nutrition of higher plants*. 3rd ed. London: Academic Press; c2005.
- Nasreen S, Ahmed R, Ullah MA, Hoque MA. Effect of N, P, K and Mg application on yield and fruit quality of mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*). *Bangladesh J Agric Res*. 2013;38(3):425-433.
- Quaggio JA, Jr DM, Cantarella H. Fruit yield and quality of sweet oranges affected by nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization in tropical soils. *Fruits*. 2006;61(5):293-302.
- Rai M, Dey PK, Gangopadhyay KK, Das B, Nath V, Reddy NN, Singh HP, *et al*. Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth parameters, leaf nutrient composition and yield of litchi (*Litchi chinensis*). *Indian J Agric Sci*. 2002;72(5):267-270.
- Ranade-Malvi U. Interaction of micronutrients with major nutrients with special reference to potassium. *Karnataka J Agric Sci*. 2011;24(1):106-109.
- Römheld V, Kirkby EA. *Research on potassium in agriculture: needs and prospects*. *Plant Soil*. 2010;335(2):155-180. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-010-0520-1.
- Parmar P, Patil SJ, Kumar S, Ahir U, Tandel BM. Response of fertilizer application on quality and shelf life of papaya var. Red Lady. *Int J Chem Stud*. 2017;5(4):1608-1610.
- Purohit AG. *Studies on the growth, yield and nutrition of papaya*. Proceedings National Seminar on Papaya and Papain Production. Faculty of Horticulture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore; c1984. p. 64-69.
- Singh VK, Kumar V, Bahuguna A. Impact of fertilizer on yield of papaya cv. Pusa Dwarf under Indo Gangetic condition. *J Crop Weed*. 2012;8(2):74-76.
- Singh KK, Barche S, Singh DB. Integrated nutrient management in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Surya. *Acta Hortic*. 2010;851:377-380.
- Singh M, Masroor M, Khan A, Naeem M. Effect of nitrogen

- on growth, nutrient assimilation, essential oil content, yield and quality attributes in *Zingiber officinale* Rosc. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci. 2016;15:171-178.
28. Souza TV, Coelho EF, Paz VPS, Ledo CAS. Avaliação física e química de frutos de mamoeiro 'Tainung n°1', fertirrigado com diferentes combinações de fontes nitrogenadas. Rev Bras Ciências Agrárias. 2009;4(2):179-184.
  29. Young TW, Koo RCJ. Increasing yield of 'Parvin' and 'Kent' mangos on Lakewood sand by increased nitrogen and potassium fertilization. Florida State Horticultural Society. 1974. p. 380-384.
  30. Zhao ZP, Tong YA, Liu F, Wang XY. Effects of different long-term fertilization patterns on Fuji apple yield, quality, and soil fertility on Weibei dryland. Chin J Appl Ecol. 2013;24:3091-3098.
  31. Xu K, Xu F. Effect of nitrogen on the growth and yield of ginger. China Vegetables. 1999;6:12-14.