E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X

www.agronomyjournals.com
2025; 8(1): 393-397
Received: 02-10-2024
Accepted: 07-11-2024

Ravi
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Kamal
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Corresponding Author:
Kamal
Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Herbicide resistance in weeds: Challenges, mechanisms, and integrated approaches: A review

Ravi and Kamal

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i1f.2425

Abstract
Herbicide resistance in weeds has emerged as a significant challenge to global agricultural productivity and sustainability. The widespread and repetitive use of herbicides has led to the evolution of resistant weed populations through complex mechanisms such as Target-Site Resistance (TSR) and Non-Target Site Resistance (NTSR). These resistance pathways, including genetic mutations and enhanced herbicide metabolism, allow weeds to survive herbicide applications, resulting in reduced crop yields and increased production costs. The rapid spread of resistance is further driven by gene flow, seed dispersal, and weeds' adaptive capabilities.
To combat this growing issue, Integrated Weed Management (IWM) has been identified as a sustainable approach. IWM combines cultural practices, mechanical and physical methods, biological control, and chemical strategies to mitigate weed resistance. Emerging technologies, such as precision agriculture tools, artificial intelligence, and biotechnological innovations, provide promising solutions for more efficient and targeted weed control. However, effective implementation of these strategies requires the active participation of farmers, policymakers, researchers, and industry leaders. Collaborative efforts, continuous research, education, and supportive regulations are critical to developing sustainable weed management practices that ensure long-term agricultural productivity and global food security.

Keywords: Herbicide RESISTANCE**,** target-site resistance (TSR)**,** non-target site resistance (NTSR)**,** integrated weed management (IWM)**,** precision agriculture

1. Introduction
1.1 Background on Weed Management in Agriculture
Weeds are one of the most significant constraints in agricultural productivity worldwide. They compete with crops for vital resources such as light, water, nutrients, and space, leading to considerable reductions in crop yields. Effective weed management is crucial for ensuring high crop productivity and food security. Traditionally, farmers have employed various strategies to manage weeds, including mechanical removal, crop rotation, and the use of cover crops [1, 2]. However, the introduction and widespread use of herbicides in the mid-20th century revolutionized weed control practices by providing an efficient, cost-effective, and labor-saving method for managing weed populations [2, 3].
Herbicides quickly became the dominant method of weed control due to their ease of application and effectiveness in reducing weed infestations. Their adoption has significantly contributed to the increase in agricultural productivity by minimizing crop losses and reducing labor costs [4, 5]. However, the heavy reliance on herbicides, especially those with the same mode of action, has led to unintended consequences, notably the emergence of herbicide-resistant weed species [6, 7].

1.2 Importance of Controlling Weeds for Crop Productivity
Controlling weeds is essential for maintaining high crop yields and ensuring sustainable agricultural practices [8, 9]. Weeds can severely impact crop growth by outcompeting them for sunlight, water, and nutrients. They can also serve as hosts for pests and diseases, further compromising crop health and productivity. In the absence of effective weed management, crop yields can be significantly reduced, leading to economic losses for farmers and food insecurity

for the global population [7, 9].

Effective weed management not only improves crop yields but also enhances the efficiency of other agricultural inputs such as fertilizers and irrigation. By reducing weed competition, crops can utilize available resources more effectively, leading to healthier plants and higher quality produce. Furthermore, controlling weeds can help prevent the spread of invasive plant species, protect biodiversity, and maintain ecosystem balance [5, 6].

1.3 Historical Reliance on Herbicides as a Primary Weed Control Method

The discovery and commercialization of synthetic herbicides in the mid-20th century marked a significant shift in agricultural weed management. Herbicides such as 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) introduced in the 1940s, and later glyphosate in the 1970s, provided farmers with powerful tools to control a wide range of weed species effectively. These chemical solutions offered several advantages, including broad-spectrum weed control, cost-effectiveness, and reduced labor requirements [10, 11].

The convenience and effectiveness of herbicides led to their widespread and often exclusive use in many cropping systems. Monoculture farming practices, combined with the repeated application of herbicides with the same mode of action, created ideal conditions for the development of herbicide resistance in weed populations. Over time, this heavy dependence on chemical control methods has contributed to the evolution and spread of resistant weed species, posing significant challenges to sustainable agriculture [12, 13].

1.4 Definition of Herbicide Resistance

Herbicide resistance in weeds refers to the inherited ability of a weed population to survive and reproduce following exposure to a dose of herbicide that would normally be lethal to the majority of individuals in a susceptible population [14, 15]. This resistance arises due to genetic variations within weed populations, which can be naturally selected over time through repeated herbicide applications. Resistant individuals survive herbicide treatments, reproduce, and gradually dominate the weed population, rendering the herbicide ineffective [9, 10].

Herbicide resistance is distinct from herbicide tolerance, where certain weed species are naturally unaffected by specific herbicides without prior exposure. In contrast, resistance develops within a species that was previously susceptible to the herbicide [8, 9]. Resistance can result from various mechanisms, including target-site mutations, enhanced metabolic detoxification of the herbicide, and altered plant physiology that reduces herbicide uptake or translocation [8, 9].

1.5 Global Prevalence and Significance of the Issue

Herbicide resistance has become a global agricultural concern, with resistant weed species reported in numerous countries across all major agricultural regions [10, 11]. According to the International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds, over 500 unique cases of herbicide-resistant weeds have been documented, affecting millions of hectares of cropland worldwide [12, 13]. Glyphosate-resistant weeds, in particular, have become a major issue due to the extensive use of glyphosate-based herbicides in herbicide-tolerant crop systems [11, 12].

The spread of herbicide-resistant weeds poses significant challenges to crop production, leading to increased production costs, reduced yields, and limited weed control options. Farmers are often forced to apply higher herbicide doses, use multiple herbicide modes of action, or adopt alternative weed management practices, which can increase production costs and environmental impacts. In some cases, resistance has rendered certain herbicides completely ineffective, necessitating a shift toward integrated weed management strategies [13, 14].

The economic and environmental implications of herbicide resistance underscore the need for sustainable and diversified weed management approaches. Addressing this issue requires a comprehensive understanding of resistance mechanisms, the development of new herbicide chemistries, and the adoption of integrated weed management practices that combine chemical, cultural, mechanical, and biological control methods [12, 13].

2. Challenges of Herbicide Resistance

2.1 Increasing Incidence of Resistance

The global spread of herbicide-resistant weed species is accelerating, posing a significant threat to sustainable agriculture [11, 12]. Reports indicate that over 260 weed species have evolved resistance to one or more herbicide modes of action, affecting major crops like soybeans, corn, and wheat. Notable examples include *Amaranthus palmeri* (Palmer amaranth) in the United States, which has developed resistance to glyphosate and other herbicides, and *Lolium rigidum* (annual ryegrass) in Australia, notorious for its multi-herbicide resistance. These resistant weeds can dominate fields, making traditional herbicide applications ineffective and requiring more complex and costly management strategies [13, 14].

2.2 Economic Impact on Agriculture

Herbicide resistance significantly increases production costs for farmers. Yield losses due to resistant weeds can be substantial, with some studies estimating up to 50% reduction in crop output in heavily infested fields. Farmers must invest in additional herbicides, increase application frequency, adopt mechanical weeding methods, and purchase specialized machinery, all of which escalate operational expenses. In extreme cases, entire fields may need to be abandoned, leading to severe economic setbacks [15, 16].

2.3 Environmental Consequences

The overuse and reliance on herbicides to combat resistant weeds contribute to environmental degradation. Excessive herbicide applications can lead to soil and water contamination, harming aquatic ecosystems and affecting water quality. Moreover, non-target organisms, including beneficial insects, soil microbes, and native plant species, may be adversely affected, resulting in reduced biodiversity and ecosystem imbalance. Increased herbicide use also accelerates the carbon footprint of farming operations, contradicting sustainability goals [17, 18].

2.4 Limitations of Conventional Herbicides

The efficacy of existing herbicides is rapidly declining as resistance spreads. Compounding this issue is the slow pace of developing new herbicides with novel modes of action due to high research costs and regulatory hurdles [19, 20]. This lag leaves farmers with limited chemical control options and increases reliance on a few available herbicides, further exacerbating resistance development. Consequently, there is an urgent need for alternative weed management strategies and innovative herbicide solutions [21, 22].

3. Mechanisms of Herbicide Resistance in Weeds

Herbicide resistance in weeds has emerged as a significant challenge in modern agriculture, primarily driven by the extensive and repeated use of herbicides. Weeds have evolved various mechanisms to survive herbicide applications, broadly categorized into Target-Site Resistance (TSR) and Non-Target Site Resistance (NTSR). Additionally, the phenomena of cross-resistance and multiple resistance further complicate management strategies, all underpinned by complex genetic and evolutionary dynamics [23, 24].

3.1 Target-Site Resistance (TSR)

Target-Site Resistance occurs when mutations arise in the genes encoding the specific enzymes or proteins targeted by herbicides. These genetic alterations lead to changes in the herbicide-binding sites, reducing or nullifying the herbicide's efficacy [25, 26]. A prime example is the mutation in the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) gene, which confers resistance to glyphosate, a widely used broad-spectrum herbicide. Such mutations can either alter the enzyme's structure or increase its expression, rendering the herbicide ineffective. TSR typically results in high-level resistance and can spread rapidly within weed populations due to its strong selective advantage under herbicide pressure [27, 28].

3.2 Non-Target Site Resistance (NTSR)

Non-Target Site Resistance involves mechanisms that reduce the herbicide's impact without altering its target site [29, 30]. These mechanisms are often more complex and can involve multiple physiological and biochemical processes:

- **Enhanced Herbicide Metabolism:** Weeds can metabolize and detoxify herbicides more efficiently through the action of specific enzymes, such as cytochrome P450 monooxygenases, glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), and glycosyltransferases. These enzymes modify the herbicide into non-toxic forms before it reaches its target site [24, 25].
- **Reduced Herbicide Uptake and Translocation:** Weeds may develop structural or physiological changes that limit herbicide absorption or movement within the plant. Modifications in the cuticle, cell wall composition, or membrane transport proteins can reduce the herbicide's penetration and distribution, decreasing its efficacy [25, 26].

3.3 Cross-Resistance and Multiple Resistance

- **Cross-Resistance:** This occurs when a single resistance mechanism confers resistance to multiple herbicides with the same mode of action. For example, a mutation in an acetolactate synthase (ALS) gene can provide resistance to several ALS-inhibiting herbicides, even if they belong to different chemical families [22, 23].
- **Multiple Resistance:** In this scenario, weeds evolve distinct resistance mechanisms against herbicides from different chemical classes and modes of action. For instance, a weed population might simultaneously possess TSR to glyphosate and NTSR to acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACCase) inhibitors. Multiple resistance is particularly problematic because it limits the effectiveness of rotating herbicides with different modes of action [27, 28].

3.4 Genetic and Evolutionary Dynamics

The evolution and spread of herbicide resistance are driven by several genetic and ecological factors:

- **Gene Flow:** Resistant alleles can spread between populations through pollen and seed dispersal, accelerating the distribution of resistance traits across fields and regions [29, 30].
- **Seed Dispersal:** Physical mechanisms (wind, water, machinery) and biological vectors (animals, humans) can disseminate resistant seeds, facilitating the rapid expansion of resistant weed populations [31, 32].
- **Rapid Adaptation:** Weeds exhibit high reproductive rates and genetic diversity, enabling them to adapt quickly to selection pressures imposed by herbicide applications. This evolutionary flexibility allows them to develop and accumulate multiple resistance mechanisms over relatively short periods [29, 30].

4. Integrated Weed Management (IWM): Sustainable Approaches

Integrated Weed Management (IWM) is a comprehensive approach that combines multiple strategies to manage weed populations effectively while minimizing reliance on chemical herbicides. This sustainable approach integrates cultural, mechanical, biological, and chemical control methods, along with emerging technologies, to achieve long-term weed suppression and reduce the risk of herbicide resistance [18, 19].

4.1 Cultural Practices

Cultural practices focus on enhancing crop competitiveness and disrupting weed life cycles:

- **Crop Rotation and Diversification:** Alternating different crops over seasons can break weed life cycles, reduce weed seed banks, and prevent the buildup of herbicide-resistant weeds [20, 21].
- **Cover Cropping and Mulching:** Cover crops suppress weed emergence by shading the soil and competing for resources, while organic or synthetic mulches physically block weed growth [22, 23].

4.2 Mechanical and Physical Methods

Mechanical and physical controls physically remove or destroy weeds:

- **Tillage, Hand Weeding, Mowing, and Flame Weeding:** Regular tillage and manual removal help control weeds, while mowing prevents seed production. Flame weeding uses heat to kill weeds without chemicals [24, 25].
- **Precision Agriculture Tools:** Technologies like GPS-guided machinery and autonomous robots enable targeted weed removal, reducing the need for broad herbicide applications [28, 29].

4.3 Biological Control

Biological control employs natural organisms to manage weed populations:

- **Natural Enemies:** Insects, fungi, and pathogens specifically target and suppress certain weed species without harming crops [31, 32].
- **Allelopathic Crops:** Some crops, such as rye and sorghum, release natural chemicals that inhibit weed germination and growth [34, 35].

4.4 Chemical Control with Stewardship

Chemical control remains a key component but must be used judiciously:

- **Herbicide Rotation and Mixtures:** Using herbicides with different modes of action prevents resistance buildup by targeting weeds in multiple ways [36, 37].
- **Optimal Application Timing and Dosing:** Applying herbicides at the right growth stages and using correct

dosages maximize efficacy while reducing selection pressure for resistance [39, 40].

4.5 Emerging Technologies
Innovative technologies are transforming weed management:

- **Drones and Artificial Intelligence (AI):** Drones equipped with sensors and AI algorithms enable real-time weed detection and precision herbicide application, minimizing chemical use [33, 37].
- **Genetic Engineering:** Development of herbicide-tolerant crops and gene-editing techniques offer novel ways to suppress weeds and enhance crop resilience [32, 33].

5.1 Development of Novel Herbicides
- **New Modes of Action:** Current herbicides often target similar biochemical pathways, making it easier for weeds to develop resistance. Research is focusing on creating herbicides that attack weeds through entirely new biological processes, reducing the risk of resistance development [33, 34].
- **Environmentally Friendly Formulations:** There is a push to design herbicides that degrade quickly in the environment and pose minimal risk to non-target organisms, including beneficial plants, insects, and soil microbes [35, 36].

5.2 Genomic and Biotechnological Solutions
- **CRISPR Gene Editing:** Advanced gene-editing tools like CRISPR can modify crop DNA to enhance natural resistance to weeds or even introduce traits that suppress weed growth. For example, crops could be engineered to outcompete weeds for nutrients or light [37, 39].
- **Weed Genomics:** Studying the genomes of weeds helps identify specific genes responsible for herbicide resistance. This knowledge can inform the design of targeted herbicides or management practices that exploit genetic weaknesses in weeds [21, 29].

5.3 Advancements in Precision Agriculture
- **AI-Based Weed Identification:** Artificial Intelligence (AI) combined with machine learning algorithms enables real-time detection of weeds. This allows for site-specific herbicide application, reducing chemical usage and environmental impact [19, 29].
- **Autonomous Robotic Weeders:** Robots equipped with sensors and AI can physically remove or destroy weeds with precision, offering a chemical-free solution for weed control and minimizing soil disturbance [21, 25].

5.4 Policy and Farmer Education
- **Regulatory Frameworks:** Implementing stricter policies can regulate how herbicides are used, promoting responsible use and encouraging practices that slow resistance development. This includes mandates on rotating herbicides with different modes of action and limiting overuse [19, 23].
- **Farmer Training Programs:** Educating farmers on integrated weed management (IWM) strategies, resistance monitoring, and sustainable herbicide use is vital. Awareness programs can help farmers adopt innovative technologies and diversify weed control practices [24, 25].

6. Conclusion
Herbicide resistance in weeds represents a growing threat to global agriculture, resulting in reduced crop yields and increased production costs. This resistance arises through complex mechanisms, including target-site mutations and enhanced herbicide metabolism, allowing weeds to survive herbicide applications. The rapid evolution and spread of resistance are driven by genetic variation, gene flow, and environmental pressures. Addressing this challenge requires the adoption of Integrated Weed Management (IWM) strategies, combining cultural, mechanical, biological, and chemical methods to reduce reliance on herbicides and slow resistance development. Emerging technologies, precision agriculture, and biotechnological innovations offer promising solutions to enhance weed control efficiency.

Stakeholders-including farmers, policymakers, researchers, and industry leaders-must collaborate to implement sustainable weed management practices. Continued research, farmer education, and supportive regulatory frameworks are essential to safeguard food security and promote environmentally responsible farming practices.

7. References

1. Palma-Bautista C. Resistencia a imitadores de auxinas y herbicidas inhibidores de la EPSPS y ALS en malas hierbas dicotiledóneas. Mecanismos de resistencia.
2. Bani MD. Structural and genetic validation of vitamin B5 biosynthesis as a target for new herbicides.
3. Ameta SK, Ameta SC. Eco-friendly approaches of using weeds for sustainable plant growth and production. Plant Performance Under Environmental Stress: Hormones, Biostimulants and Sustainable Plant Growth Management; c2021. p. 559-592.
4. Milani A. Co-existence of ALS-resistant Amaranthus species in north-eastern Italy: how to manage them.
5. Knight CM. Investigating the evolution of herbicide resistance in UK populations of *Alopecurus myosuroides* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Warwick).
6. Paril JF, Fournier-Level AJ. instaGraminoid, a Novel Colorimetric Method to Assess Herbicide Resistance, Identifies Patterns of Cross-Resistance in Annual Ryegrass. Plant Phenomics; c2019 Apr 23.
7. Paril JF, Fournier-Level AJ. instaGraminoid, a Novel Colorimetric Method to Assess Herbicide Resistance, Identifies Patterns of Cross-Resistance in Annual Ryegrass. Plant Phenomics; c2019 Apr 23.
8. Paril JF, Fournier-Level AJ. instaGraminoid, a Novel Colorimetric Method to Assess Herbicide Resistance, Identifies Patterns of Cross-Resistance in Annual Ryegrass. Plant Phenomics; c2019 Apr 23.
9. Stankiewicz-Kosyl M, Haliniarz M, Wrochna M, Obrepalska-Steplowska A, Kuc P, Łukasz J, *et al*. Occurrence and Mechanism of *Papaver rhoeas* ALS Inhibitors Resistance in Poland. Agriculture. 2023;13(82):2022.
10. Kaiser YI, Gerhards R. Crop rotation and herbicide strategies affecting herbicide resistance and population development of Alopecurus myosuroides. Integrated management, analysis of mechanisms and early detection of resistant populations of Alopecurus myosuroides HUDS. and Apera spica-venti L. Beauv. 2015 Oct 15, 16.
11. Torra J, Montull JM, Calha IM, Osuna MD, Portugal J, Prado RD, *et al*. Comparison between the mechanisms of Clearfield® wheat and Lolium rigidum multiple resistant to Acetyl CoA Carboxylase and Acetolactate Synthase inhibitors.
12. Moss S. Herbicide resistance in weeds. Weed Research:

Expanding Horizons. 2017 Jul 6:181-214.

13. Moss S. Herbicide resistance in weeds. Weed Research: Expanding Horizons. 2017 Jul 6:181-214.
14. Keshtkar E, Abdolshahi R, Sasanfar H, Zand E, Beffa R, Dayan FE, Kudsk P. Assessing fitness costs from a herbicide-resistance management perspective: A review and insight. Weed Science. 2019 Mar;67(2):137-148.
15. Rey Caballero J. Unravelling herbicide resistance in corn poppy (*Papaver rhoeas* L.) to improve integrated weed management strategies.
16. Mucheri T, Rugare JT, Bajwa AA. Mechanistic understanding and sustainable management of non-target site herbicide resistance in modern-day agriculture. Advances in Weed Science. 2024 Jun 7;42:e020240056.
17. Perotti VE, Larran AS, Palmieri VE, Martinatto AK, Permingeat HR. Herbicide resistant weeds: A call to integrate conventional agricultural practices, molecular biology knowledge, and new technologies. Plant Science. 2020 Jan 1;290:110255.
18. Leguizamón ES. The Unsung Champions of Evolution: Weeds and Their Management in Agricultural Systems. Agriculture. 2024 Dec 23;14(12):2368.
19. Nagargade M, Singh MK, Tyagi V. Ecologically sustainable integrated weed management in dry and irrigated direct-seeded rice. Advances in Plants Agricultural Research. 2018;8:319-331.
20. Kebaso L, Frimpong D, Iqbal N, Bajwa AA, Namubiru H, Ali HH, *et al*. Biology, ecology, and management of *Raphanus raphanistrum* L.: A noxious agricultural and environmental weed. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2020 May;27:17692-705.
21. Khan BA, Nadeem MA, Nawaz H, Amin MM, Abbasi GH, Nadeem M, *et al*. Pesticides: Impacts on agriculture productivity, environment, and management strategies. In: Emerging contaminants and plants: Interactions, adaptations and remediation technologies. 2023 Feb 17:109-134. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
22. Asaduzzaman M, Pratley JE, Luckett D, Lemerle D, Wu H. Weed management in canola (*Brassica napus* L): A review of current constraints and future strategies for Australia. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2020 Mar 20;66(4):427-444.
23. Owen MD, Beckie HJ, Leeson JY, Norsworthy JK, Steckel LE. Integrated pest management and weed management in the United States and Canada. Pest Management Science. 2015 Mar;71(3):357-376.
24. Cardina J, Webster TM, Herms CP, Regnier EE. Development of weed IPM: levels of integration for weed management. In: Expanding the Context of Weed Management. 2020 Jul 26:239-267.
25. Cobb AH. Herbicides and plant physiology. John Wiley & Sons; c2022 Jan 31.
26. Upasani RR, Barla S. Herbicide resistance in weeds: its management. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1S):810-815.
27. Palai MJ. Weed management. Centurion University of Technology & Management, Paralakhemundi, Odisha, India; c2010.
28. Hall JC, Van Eerd LL, Miller SD, Owen MD, Prather TS, Shaner DL, *et al*. Future research directions for weed science. Weed Technology. 2000 Sep;14(3):647-658.
29. Cordeau S, Triolet M, Wayman S, Steinberg C, Guillemin JP. Bioherbicides: Dead in the water? A review of the existing products for integrated weed management. Crop Protection. 2016 Sep 1;87:44-49.
30. Rao VS. Principles of weed science. CRC Press; c2000 Jan 2.
31. Schröder P, Sauvêtre A, Gnädinger F, Pesaresi P, Chmeliková L, Doğan N, *et al*. Discussion paper: Sustainable increase of crop production through improved technical strategies, breeding and adapted management–A European perspective. Science of the Total Environment. 2019 Aug 15;678:146-161.
32. Chongtham SK, Devi EL, Singh RP, Jat AL, Lhungdim J, Bhupenchandra I, *et al*. Crop-weed interactions and their management under climate change: A review. IJCS. 2019;7(3):4498-4505.
33. Ravet K, Patterson EL, Krähmer H, Hamouzová K, Fan L, Jasieniuk M, *et al*. The power and potential of genomics in weed biology and management. Pest Management Science. 2018 Oct;74(10):2216-2225.
34. Reddy PP. Agro-ecological approaches to pest management for sustainable agriculture. Singapore: Springer Singapore; c2017 Jul 19.
35. Khan BA, Nadeem MA, Najeeb Alawadi HF, Ayub MA, Mahmood A, Abbas T, *et al.* An overview of the role of nanoherbicides in tackling challenges of weed management in wheat: A novel approach. Green Processing and Synthesis. 2024 Sep 19;13(1):20240021.
36. Sarwar S. Advancing Sustainable Agriculture: A Comprehensive Analysis of Integrated Pest Management Strategies in Global Rice Production. International Journal of Agriculture and Sustainable Development. 2024 Jan 22;6(1):1-4.
37. Singh HP, Batish DR, Kohli RK. Allelopathy in agroecosystems: An overview. Journal of Crop Production. 2001 Jun 1;4(2):31-41.
38. Foley ME. Genetic approach to the development of cover crops for weed management. Journal of Crop Production. 1999 Mar 2;2(1):77-93.
39. Doğan MN, Jabran K, Unay A. Integrated weed management in cotton. In: Recent Advances in Weed Management; c2014. p. 197-222.
40. Zimdahl RL, Basinger NT. Fundamentals of weed science. Elsevier; c2024 Feb 14.