



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(1): 119-123

Received: 12-11-2023

Accepted: 16-12-2023

Shalini Mishra

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Indira
Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

K Tedia

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Indira
Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

LK Srivastava

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Indira
Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

GK Jatav

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Indira
Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author:

Shalini Mishra

Department of Soil Science and
Agricultural Chemistry, Indira
Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Assessment of foliar spray of DAP on Yield and Yield attributes of rice in a vertisol of Chhattisgarh

Shalini Mishra, K Tedia, LK Srivastava and GK Jatav

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i1b.197>

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season, 2022 at Instructional cum Research farm, IGKV, Raipur, (C.G.) to study the assessment of foliar spray of DAP on productivity and nutrient uptake of rice in a Vertisol of Chhattisgarh. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with twelve treatments and replicated four times. Treatments included T₁- N0-P0-K0, T₂- N100-P0-K40, T₃- N100-P60-K40, T₄- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₅- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₆- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₉- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₁₀- N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₁₁- N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT) and T₁₂- N100-P15-K40 + Root treatment + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT). Plant height, total number of effective tillers per hill and number of grains per panicle were recorded significantly in treatments T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT) and T₉- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT) which was at par with treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40, while test weight of grains showed a non-significant result due to different nutrient management practices. The highest grain and straw yields were recorded significantly in treatments T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₆- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT) was at par with treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40. The total N uptake were recorded significantly in treatments T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₆- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₅- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT) which was at par with treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40. Total P uptake were recorded significantly in treatments T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₆- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT) which was at par with treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40. Total K uptake were recorded significantly in treatments T₉- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₆- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₅- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT) which was at par with treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40. Nutrient use efficiency of N, P and K is observed highest in treatment T₁₁- N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₄- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT) and T₃- N100-P60-K40 respectively.

Keywords: Rice, foliar spray, nutrient modules, yield

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) belongs to the *Poaceae* family and is the most important cereal crop in India because of staple food for the majority people and also feeds more than half of the world's population. It is the backbone of livelihood for millions of rural households and plays an important role in food security, so the phrase "rice is life" is in the Indian context, and Chhattisgarh is also known as "rice bowl" of India. In terms of area and output, India is a major producer of rice. The ability of rice crop to adapt may be seen in the manner in which that it is grown in a variety of climatic regions, from tropical to temperate subtropical countries.

The rice crop mostly depends on the soil conditions, which provide accessible nutrients i.e. major, secondary and micronutrients to meet the crop growth requirements, development, and finally yield. For the sustainable and efficient management of crop, nutrient fertilisation of the foliage is an essential resource.

It is gaining significant commercial importance world-wide due to the costly and limited availability of basic materials and the higher losses of nutrients from soil through various mechanisms. Second in importance to nitrogen as a plant nutrient, phosphorus has become a costly input since the majority of its raw materials are imported from abroad. Regardless of the brand or composition of the fertiliser, phosphorus fixation occurs when it is applied to soil. Phosphorus is fixed when it interacts with different soil minerals to produce insoluble compounds that are unavailable to crops. In most mineral soils, the maximum P use efficiency has been observed to be between 30-40% of the total P applied. The above-mentioned limitations can be solved by foliar application of P fertiliser. One of the most common types of phosphate fertiliser used by farmers for growing crops is DAP. As an alternative to using the water-soluble P fertiliser to solve the problem mentioned above, foliar spraying of DAP may be one of the alternatives.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at the Instructional cum Research farm of Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, during *Kharif* season for the year 2022 for the investigation entitled "Assessment of foliar spray of DAP on productivity and nutrient uptake of rice in a Vertisol of Chhattisgarh". The location of experiment was at the east of Raipur, situated in the mid-eastern part of Chhattisgarh lying at 21° 16" N latitude and 81° 36" E longitude with an altitude of 298.56 meter above the mean sea level (MSL). The climate of the experimental area is characterized by sub-humid conditions, with an average annual rainfall of 1400-1600 mm and a major part of precipitation occurs between June and September. The months May and December are the hottest and coolest, respectively. The experimental soil falls within the category of Vertisol, which is a fine, hyperthermic, montmorillonitic chomustert known locally as *Kanhar* and classified as Arang II series. Due to the existence of a high concentration of lime in the lower horizon, clayey, dark brown to black in colour, and neutral to alkaline in reaction. The soil depth was up to 1-1.5 meter. Since, it is deep in the middle plains of Chhattisgarh, therefore, it has a high water holding capacity. The structure ranged from rough angular blocky to massive and cloddy, and in some as prismatic or columnar. Surface soil samples from 0-15 cm were randomly collected and composite samples were prepared with the use of an auger for the evaluation of various physico-chemical parameters of the experimental location. The physico-chemical properties of the experimental soil have been depicted in the table 3.1. The soil was clayey in texture and slightly alkaline (7.67) interaction while it was normal in salt content (0.14 dS m⁻¹). The available soil nitrogen status in soil was 218 kg ha⁻¹ and organic carbon was 0.49 percent which falls under low category in soil. The available phosphorus (17.7 Kg/ha) and potassium (498 Kg/ha) were found to be medium and high category in soil, respectively. There were twelve treatment combinations as detailed below:

T₁- N0-P0-K0, T₂- N100-P0-K40, T₃- N100-P60-K40, T₄- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₅- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₆- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₇- N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₉- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT), T₁₀- N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), T₁₁- N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT) and T₁₂- N100-P15-K40 + Root treatment + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT).

The parameters of growth and yield attributes were recorded from in each plots randomly at the time of harvest. The plant height was measured from five randomly selected tagged plants from the base of the plant to the tip of fully opened leaf and the average plant height was taken and expressed in centimetre. The number of effective tillers was counted at ground level for each hill. Five hills were selected from each plot at the time of harvest and then mean was worked out for each treatment. The total number of tillers was determined by summarising the number of effective tillers per m² and the number of ineffective tillers per m². For length of panicle five panicles selected at random in each plot was recorded from base to tip of panicles and the mean was calculated and expressed in centimetre. For panicle weight, five panicles have been weighted at random in each point using a weighing machine, and the mean was determined and expressed in gram. The total number of filled grains in the five panicles was determined after winnowing out the chaff and empty grains. Plot for each plot, the mean of those five was calculated in order to compare the effects of various treatments. The total number of unfilled grains in the five panicles was determined after winnowing out the chaff and empty grains. Plot for each plot, the mean of those five was calculated in order to compare the effects of various treatments. Thousand no of grains were counted from the randomly selected plants and weight was recorded and expressed as test weight in gram. The crop in the net plot was harvested, threshed, dried in the sun. The grains were cleaned and weight was recorded per plot for each treatment and converted into quintal per hectare. The straw from the net plot was threshing and dried in the sun, weighed and converted into quintal per hectare. Harvested bundles were threshed with help of sickle and the harvested grain was weighed. Straw yield was calculated by subtracting the weight of the grain from the total biomass weight.

Results and Discussion

The data on yield attributing characters recorded at harvest stage are presented in Table.1 below. All the yield contributing character i.e. plant height (cm), number of effective tillers per hill, panicle length (cm), panicle weight (g) and total number of filled and unfilled grains per panicle were significantly influence of due to various nutrient management modules. At harvest, the highest plant height was observed under treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (110.90 cm), and all the treatments was found significantly at par with each other except control. The lowest plant height was observed under treatment T₁- N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (78.40 cm). The treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD recorded significantly the highest plant height (110.90 cm) which was significantly superior over all other treatments. The highest plant height may be due to balanced and increased availability of nutrients to the crop due to fertilizer application. These results are in agreement with the results obtained in maize by Mohan kumar *et al.*, (2015) and Ullasa *et al.*, (2016) [31] where 100 per cent RDF has recorded significantly the highest plant height over foliar treatments with DAP and urea.

Number of effective tillers/ hill at harvest as indicated by foliar application of DAP was found significant. All the treatments were significantly superior over control. The highest number of effective tillers/hill was observed under treatment T₃- N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (6.35 tillers/hill) which was at par with treatments T₈ and T₉. The lowest number of effective tillers/hill was observed under treatment T₁- N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (3.81 tillers/hill).

The appropriate combination of organic and inorganic nutrient sources was found to enhance the efficiency of nutrients and

ultimately increased yield attributes such as number of effective tillers/hill of rice. This is in line with the findings of Maragatham *et al.* (2010) [17].

The highest panicle length was observed under treatment T₃-N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (22.19 cm) which was at par with treatments T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₉ are found significantly at par with each other. The lowest panicle length was observed under treatment T₁-N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (16.43 cm). The appropriate combination of organic and inorganic nutrient sources was found to enhance the efficiency of nutrients and ultimately increased yield attributes such as panicle length of rice. This is in line with the findings of Maragatham *et al.* (2010) [17].

The highest panicle weight was observed under treatment T₃-N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (4.55g) which was at par with treatments T₇, T₈ and T₉. The lowest panicle length was observed under treatment T₁-N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (2.40 cm). The appropriate combination of organic and inorganic nutrient sources was found to enhance the efficiency of nutrients and ultimately increased yield attributes such as panicle weight of rice. This is in line with the findings of Maragatham *et al.* (2010) [17].

Result indicated that highest number of filled grains/panicle was observed with treatments T₃-N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (145.50 grains/panicle) which was at par with treatments T₇, T₈ and T₉. The lowest number of filled grains/panicle was observed under treatment T₁-N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (90.60 grains/panicle). Similarly, result indicated that highest number of unfilled grains/panicle was observed with treatments T₂-N100-P0-K40

(8.85 grains/panicle). The lowest number of unfilled grains/panicle was observed under treatment T₃-N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (90.60 grains/panicle). The appropriate combination of organic and inorganic nutrient sources was found to enhance the efficiency of nutrients and ultimately increased yield attributes of rice such as number of filled grains per panicle. This is in line with the findings of Maragatham *et al.* (2010) [17].

The data on grain and straw yield at harvest stage are presented in Table.2 below. Grain and straw yield of rice as influenced by foliar application of DAP was found significant. Results indicated that higher grain yield of rice crop was observed with treatments T₃-N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (56.87 q/ha) which was at par with treatments T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₉. The lowest grain yield was observed under treatment T₁-N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (25.27 q/ha). Significantly highest straw yield was recorded under treatments T₃-N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD (63.28 q/ha) which was at par with treatments T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₉. The lowest grain yield was observed under treatment T₁-N0-P0-K0 i.e. control (27.83 q/ha). Chemical substances foliar application during panicle initiation + mid booting stages resulted in higher yield as compared with control treatment. The increase in grain yield with foliar application of 2% DAP in treatments T₃, T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₉ may be due to the considerable increase in early growth, which reflected on higher grain yield attributes in turn increased grain yield and straw yield. These results are confirmed with the findings of Ganapathy *et al.* (2008) [7], Al-Khuzai and. Al-Juthery (2020) [1].

Table 1: Effect of foliar spray of DAP on yield attributes of rice

Treatments	Plant height at harvest (cm)	Number of effective tillers per hill	Panicle length (cm)	Panicle weight (g/panicle)
T ₁ - N0-P0-K0	78.40	3.81	16.43	2.40
T ₂ - N100-P0-K4	104.12	5.65	18.99	3.85
T ₃ - N100-P60-K40	110.90	6.35	22.19	4.55
T ₄ - N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	104.9	5.93	20.13	3.65
T ₅ - N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	107.62	5.92	20.15	4.03
T ₆ - N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	107.03	5.93	20.93	4.10
T ₇ - N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	108.81	5.82	20.87	4.20
T ₈ - N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	107.83	6.18	21.76	4.40
T ₉ - N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	109.79	6.10	21.92	4.30
T ₁₀ - N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	105.37	5.85	20.03	3.90
T ₁₁ - N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	106.62	5.86	19.93	3.95
T ₁₂ - N100-P15-K40 + Root treatment + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	105.22	5.95	20.12	4.00
SEm±	2.70	0.14	0.68	0.14
CD (P = 0.05)	7.96	0.39	1.97	0.41

Table 2: Effect of foliar spray of DAP on grain yield and straw yield of rice

Treatments	Grain yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)
T ₁ - N0-P0-K0	25.27 ^d	27.83 ^e
T ₂ - N100-P0-K4	42.55 ^c	45.51 ^d
T ₃ - N100-P60-K40	56.87 ^a	63.28 ^a
T ₄ - N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	49.57 ^b	55.44 ^b
T ₅ - N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	50.73 ^b	56.51 ^b
T ₆ - N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	52.40 ^{ab}	59.21 ^{ab}
T ₇ - N100-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	53.72 ^a	60.28 ^a
T ₈ - N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	55.66 ^a	59.93 ^a
T ₉ - N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	55.97 ^a	61.49 ^a
T ₁₀ - N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT)	49.27 ^b	54.01 ^c
T ₁₁ - N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	50.53 ^b	54.64 ^{bc}
T ₁₂ - N100-P15-K40 + Root treatment + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT)	51.27 ^b	56.08 ^b
SEm±	1.57	1.62
CD (P = 0.05)	4.53	4.65

Conclusion

1. The application of treatment T₉-N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT) can be recommended to the farmers as they show maximum yield in rice.
2. The N, P and K uptake was also highest by the application of treatment T₈-N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT), which were similar to T₃- N100-P60-K40 i.e. GRD.
3. The nutrient use efficiency of N and K was highest under treatment application of T₁₁-N75-P30-K40 + 2% DAP three spray (20, 35, 50 DAT). In case of P, the highest nutrient use efficiency was observed in T₄- N100-P15-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT).
4. The application of T₈- N100-P45-K40 + 2% DAP two spray (20, 35 DAT) showed highest B: C ratio as of GRD (N100-P60-K40).

References

1. Al-Khuzai AHG, Al-Juthery HWA. Effect of DAP fertilizer source and nano fertilizers (silicon and complete) spray on some growth and yield indicators of rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. Anber 33). IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. 2020 Aug;553(1):012008.
2. Anu Lavanya G, Ganapathy M. Effect of DAP, NAA and residual effect of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures on growth and yield of greengram in rice based cropping sequence. J Agric Technol. 2011;7(3):599-604.
3. Choudhary GL, Yadav LR. Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on cowpea productivity. J Food Legumes. 2011;24(1):67-68.
4. Dalei BB, Kheroar S, Mohapatra PM, Panda S, Deshmukh MR. Effect of foliar sprays on seed yield and economics of Niger [*Guizotia abyssinica* (L.) Cass]. J Agric Sci. 2014;6(6):143.
5. Dass A, Rajanna GA, Babu S, Lal SK, Choudhary AK, Singh R, et al. Foliar application of macro- and micronutrients improves the productivity, economic returns, and resource-use efficiency of soybean in a semiarid climate. Sustainability. 2022;14(10):5825.
6. Duari B, Ghosh AK. Effect of Different Levels of Nitrogen and Foliar Spray of DAP on Toria Grown as Utera Crop in Rice-Toria Cropping System. Int J Bio-resource Stress Manage. 2013;4(1):19-22.
7. Ganapathy M, Baradhan G, Ramesh N. Effect of foliar nutrition on reproductive efficiency and grain yield of rice fallow pulses. Legume Res Int J. 2008;31(2):142-144.
8. Geetha P, Velayutham A. Refinement of nutrient management techniques for growth, yield and nutrient uptake of rice fallow blackgram. Madras Agric J. 2009;96(1/6):163-166.
9. Jagathjothi N, Muthukrishnan P, Amanullah MM. Influence of foliar nutrition on growth and yield of transplanted rice. Madras Agric J. 2012;99(4/6):275-278.
10. Jaybhay SA, Varghese P, Taware SP. Influence of foliar application of nutrient on growth, yield, economics, soil nutritional status and nutrient uptake of soybean. Legume Res Int J. 2021;44(11):1322-1327.
11. Krishna ON, Kaleeswari RK. Response of pulses to foliar application of multinutrients on yield, quality, uptake and soil nutrient status. Madras Agric J. 2018;105(march (1-3)):1.
12. Krishnaveni SA, Supriya C, Sridhar SM. Impact of foliar nutrition on the yield and economics of greengram (*Vigna radiata*). Int J Chem Stud. 2021;9(2):11-13.
13. Kumar D, Singh RP, Simaiya V. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on yield and economics of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* {L.} Hepper) under rainfed Vertisols of Central India. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2019;8(1):2373-2376.
14. Kumar RM, Hiremath SM, Nadagouda BT. Effect of single-cross hybrids, plant population and fertility levels on productivity and economics of maize (*Zea mays*). Indian J Agron. 2015;60(3):431-435.
15. Kuttimani R, Velayutham A. Foliar application of nutrients enhances the yield attributes and nutrient uptake of greengram. Agric Sci Digest. 2011;31(3):202-205.
16. Li ZK, Fu BY, Gao YM, Xu JL, Ali J, Lafitte HR, et al. Genome-wide introgression lines and their use in genetic and molecular dissection of complex phenotypes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Mol Biol. 2005;59:33-52.
17. Maragatham N, Martin GJ, Poongodi T. Effect of Nitrogen sources on Aerobic Rice production under various rice soil Eco systems. In: Proceedings of 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World; c2010 Aug 16. Brisbane, QLD.
18. Marimuthu S, Surendran U. Effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators on growth and yield of black gram in sandy loam soils of Cauvery new delta zone, India. Cogent Food Agric. 2015;1(1):1010415.
19. Nagamani C, Sumathi V, Reddy GP. Yield and nutrient uptake of pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.)] as influenced by sowing window, nutrient dose and foliar sprays. Agric Sci Digest. 2020;40(2):149-153.
20. Navaz M, Kumar S, Chandrakar D, Ahmad A. Impact of foliar spray of nutrients and seed treatment on economics and energetics of lathyrus (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) under relay cropping system. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2017;6(5):1683-1686.
21. Okasha AM, Abbelhameed MM, Elshayb OM. Improving rice grain quality and yield of Giza 179 rice cultivar using some chemical foliar spray at late growth stages under salt stress. J Plant Prod. 2019;10(9):769-775.
22. Paikra IS, Lakpale R, Singh P, Kumar V. Effect of Foliar Nutrition on Uptake of Nutrients and Economics of Soybean. Int J Bio-resource Stress Manage. 2018;9(3):365-367.
23. Phule KK, Raundal PU. Effect of Foliar Nutrient Sprays on Summer Greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) Under Sub Mountain Zone of Maharashtra. J Agric Res Technol. 2022;47(2):200.
24. Rai GK, Thakur SK, Deshmukh MR, Rai AK. Effect of foliar sprays on seed yield and economics of niger. JNKVV Res J. 2014;48(1):33-35.
25. Ramesh T, Rathika S, Parthipan T, Ravi V. Productivity enhancement in black gram through refinement of nutrient management under rice fallow condition. Legume Res Int J. 2016;39(1):106-109.
26. Sathishkumar A, Sakthivel N, Subramanian E, Rajesh P. Foliar Spray of Nutrients and Plant Growth Regulators on Nutrient Uptake, Productivity and Economics of Transplanted Finger Millet. Ind J Pure App Biosci. 2020;8(3):306-310.
27. Sathyamoorthi K, Amanullah MM, Vaiyapuri K, Somasundaram E. Influence of Increased Plant Density and Fertilizer Application on the Nutrient Uptake and Yield of Greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Res J Agric Biol Sci. 2007;3(6):886-895.
28. Singhal VK, Patel GG, Kumar U, Kumar T, Painkra B. Effect of the efficacy of foliar application of water soluble

- fertilizers in vegetable cowpea. Res Environ Life Sci. 2016;9(12):1478-1481.
29. Sivakumar C, Pandiyan M. Influence of foliar nutrition on seed setting percentage, yield and economics of red gram (*Cajanus cajan* (L.)) under Irrigated Condition. J Adv Biol Biotechnol. 2020;22(4):1-9.
 30. Thadaboina S, Debbarma V, Kumar GA. Performance of foliar application of nutrients and growth regulators on growth and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Economics, 2020, 4.
 31. Ullasa MY, Girijesh GK, Kumar MD. Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Green Farming. 2016;7(6):1383-1388.
 32. Vighnesh CS, Harisha S, Kalyanamurthy K. Effect of Foliar Nutrition on Yield and Economics of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Mysore Agric Sci. 2022;56(1):401-6.