



E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)

2024; SP-7(10): 753-756

Received: 04-08-2024

Accepted: 10-09-2024

**A Akshata**

PG Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Dr. Panjabrao  
Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Krishinagar PO, Akola,  
Maharashtra, India

**SU Kakade**

Associate Professor (CAS),  
Cotton Research Unit, Dr.  
Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Krishinagar PO,  
Akola, Maharashtra, India

**ND Parlawar**

Ex Associate Dean Instruction,  
Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Krishinagar PO,  
Akola, Maharashtra, India

**AN Paslawar**

Ex Professor, Department of  
Agronomy, Dr. Panjabrao  
Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Krishinagar PO, Akola,  
Maharashtra, India

**OS Rakhonde**

Assistant Professor, Department of  
Soil science, Dr. Panjabrao  
Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Krishinagar PO, Akola,  
Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**A Akshata**

PG Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Dr. Panjabrao  
Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Krishinagar PO, Akola,  
Maharashtra, India

# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

## Yield maximization of Bt cotton by integrated crop management techniques

A Akshata, SU Kakade, ND Parlawar, AN Paslawar and OS Rakhonde

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i10Sk.1893>

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Cotton Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during *khariif* season of 2023-2024 to study the effect of integrated crop management techniques on growth, yield and economics of Bt cotton. The experiment was laid out in randomised block design with three replications. There were nine treatments having HDPS planting and different canopy management practices. The results revealed that, T<sub>1</sub> (recommended spacing) had better crop growth, Dry matter accumulation per plant, number of sympodial branches per plant, number of picked bolls per plant, seed cotton yield per plant, and harvest index when compare to other treatments under rainfed condition. The seed cotton yield (kg/ha) was significantly highest in T<sub>9</sub>-T<sub>2</sub> + Detopping at 100 cm height + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS (2690 kg/ha) which was found significantly superior over other treatments. T<sub>9</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Detopping at 100 cm height + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS) also reflected in maximum GMR (182113 Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>), NMR (114033 Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C ratio (2.67) than other treatments.

**Keywords:** Bt cotton, HDPS planting, canopy management practices

### Introduction

Cotton is derived from the Arabic term 'Quntun'. Cotton (*Gossypium* sp.) is a major cash crop in India, sometimes known as 'White Gold' or 'King of Fibre'. It has an important role in the rural, national, and global economies.

Cotton is a member of the order Malvales, family Malvaceae, and genus *Gossypium*, which has 50 wild and cultivated species, only four of which are farmed commercially worldwide. *G. hirsutum* and *G. barbadense* account for over 95% and 3% of global production, respectively, while *G. arboreum* and *G. herbaceum* contribute for approximately 2% of global production. Egyptian, Pima (American Egyptian), and Sea Island are extralong staple cottons from the *G. barbadense* species. The Northern hemisphere accounts for around 90% of global cotton output, with cotton grown in over 100 nations.

According to the second advance estimates 2023-24, Government of India cotton crop is estimated at 323.11 lakh bales as compared to 336.60 lakh tonnes in 2022-23. Among the states, Gujarat is leading in cotton production with 89.65 lakh bales followed by Maharashtra (82.43 lakh bales), Telangana (48.12 lakh bales), Rajasthan (27.43 lakh bales) and Madhya Pradesh (17.97 lakh bales). Indian Cotton Scenario As on 21 st September 2023, area under cotton during 2023-24 was 123.42 lakh ha as against 127.57 lakh ha in 2022-23. Among the states, Maharashtra is leading in cotton acreage with 42.22 lakh ha followed by Gujarat (26.82 lakh ha), Telangana (18.22 lakh ha), Rajasthan (7.91 lakh ha) and Haryana (6.65 lakh ha).

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is indeterminate in nature. For getting higher Productivity of Bt cotton under HDPS in rainfed condition some improved agronomic production technologies are needed. Growth modification practices become important by converting its phase of vegetative to reproductive growth to ensure a proper nutrient source-sink relationship. Induction of higher number of sympodial branches and thereby increase in number of square, bolls are essential for yield maximization of seed cotton. Therefore, there is need to manipulate the growth of cotton to get a good architecture so that the plant can get required sunlight with minimal mutual shading.

To improve the productivity several agro techniques like detopping, removal of monopodia is important. Excessive vegetative growth leads to severe production problem like fruit abortion, delay maturity, boll rot and harvest difficulties. Plant growth regulator are substance when added in small amount modify the growth of the plant usually by inhibiting part of natural growth regulation. The most commonly used growth regulator in cotton is mepiquat chloride, which is an inhibitor of gibberellic acid. Growth retardant like mepiquat chloride reduce internodal length, therefore decrease plant height, which increase the number of node and bolls per plant which result in increase in yield.

### Materials and Methods

The field experiment was carried out at Cotton Research Unit Field, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, during the kharif season of 2023-2024. The topography of the field was rather uniform and level. The soil was medium black cotton of Vertisols.

The experiment was laid out in randomised block design with three replications. There were nine treatments having HDPS planting and different canopy management practices. The

treatments comprised of HDPS planting and different canopy management practices viz, T<sub>1</sub> (Recommended spacing 90 × 60), T<sub>2</sub> (HDPS planting 90 × 30), T<sub>3</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Detopping at 100 cm height), T<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS), T<sub>5</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS), T<sub>6</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Detopping at 100 cm height), T<sub>7</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Detopping at 100 cm height + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS), T<sub>8</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS), T<sub>9</sub> (T<sub>2</sub> + Detopping at 100 cm height + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS). The Bt cotton used was Ajeet 155 BG II hybrid suitable for rainfed condition with a spacing of 90 cm x 60 cm and 60 cm x 30 cm. During the course of investigation, in order to know the effect of integrated crop management techniques on growth, yield and economics of Bt cotton, the observations were recorded at different stages of the crop growth.

### Results and Discussion

#### Effect on Growth and Yield parameters

**Table 1:** Growth and Yield attributes of cotton as influenced by HDPS planting and different canopy management practices

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of sympodial branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Total dry matter accumulation plant <sup>-1</sup>	Seed cotton yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
	At harvest	At harvest	At harvest	At harvest	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	86.2	25.04	121.74	1805	4074
T <sub>2</sub>	90.6	17.72	92.22	2125	5539
T <sub>3</sub>	75.27	19.11	95.05	2365	5887
T <sub>4</sub>	90.38	19.36	97.56	2243	5856
T <sub>5</sub>	78.08	21.78	115.71	2307	6408
T <sub>6</sub>	78.49	19.7	110.71	2589	6875
T <sub>7</sub>	70.93	21.92	111.70	2330	6467
T <sub>8</sub>	80.1	21.84	113.81	2277	6492
T <sub>9</sub>	78.54	22.03	119.37	2690	7111
SE(m)±	3.18	1.13	3.85	84.06	223.75
CD at 5%	9.54	3.38	11.55	252.18	607.8
GM	80.95	20.94	108.65	2303.57	6078

DAS = Days after Sowing

The table presents the effects of HDPS planting and different canopy management practices treatments on plant height, number of sympodial branches per plant, total dry matter accumulation per plant, seed cotton yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The results revealed that significantly higher plant height (90.60 cm) was recorded in HDPS planting 90 cm × 30 cm. However T<sub>1</sub> (86.20 cm) and T<sub>4</sub> (90.38 cm) were found significantly at par with T<sub>2</sub> (HDPS planting 90 cm × 30 cm). Lowest plant height (70.93 cm) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub>. Significantly higher number of sympodial branches per plant (25.04) and dry matter accumulation per plant (121.74 g) were recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (recommended spacing 90 cm × 60 cm). However T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> were found significantly at par with T<sub>1</sub> (recommended spacing 90 cm × 60 cm). Lowest number of sympodial branches per plant (17.72) and dry matter accumulation per plant (92.22 g) were recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (HDPS planting 90 cm × 30 cm). The seed cotton yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were influenced significantly due to HDPS planting and different canopy management practices. Among different treatments, significantly highest seed cotton yield (2690 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (7111 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (HDPS planting + pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + detopping at 100 cm height + Two spray of mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a.i. at 45

and 60 DAS) treatment. However T<sub>6</sub> was found significantly at par with T<sub>9</sub> in respect of seed cotton yield. The lowest seed cotton yield of cotton (1805 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (4074 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with T<sub>1</sub> (recommended spacing).

The taller plants which were observed at closer spacing were due to higher interplant competition. Decrease in plant height was due to termination of apical dominance by detopping and interference of mepiquat chloride as growth regulator in Gibberellic acid biosynthetic pathway. The reduced amount of gibberellins in the plant system affects the growth and decrease plant height. These results are in conformity with the results reported by Brar *et al.* (2000) [3], Manjunatha (2009) [11], Virdia (2011) [14], Dodiya *et al.* (2018) [5].

The distribution of dry matter in different plant parts showed that at early stages, leaves contributed to a greater proportion of total dry matter but at later stages stem and reproductive parts contributed more. The investigation of Meredith and Wells (1989) [15] showed the same results.

The increase in number of sympodial branches might be due to cumulative effect of detopping, removal of monopodia and spray of mepiquat chloride. Growth modification practices become more important by converting its phase of vegetative to reproductive growth. Removing terminal portion by detopping

of cotton after prominent vegetative growth stage and removal of monopodia may be promising for encouraging growth of already formed sympodials as well as more formation and development of fruiting bodies. Removal of monopodia and suppressing plant height by detopping, helps in initiation of more lateral branches and improving the mobilization of assimilates to reproductive parts thus increasing sympodial branches plant<sup>-1</sup>. The application of chloro mepiquat chloride provided seat for more number of nodes and internodes where sympodial branches emerged resulting in greater number of sympodia. The lower sympodial branches observed on plant at closer spacings was due to higher interplant competition at higher plant densities. These results are in accordance with those reported by Pothiraj *et al.* (1995)<sup>[12]</sup> Jones and Wells (1997)<sup>[9]</sup>, Bednarz *et al.* (2000)<sup>[2]</sup>, Brar *et al.* (2000)<sup>[3]</sup>, Shwetha *et al.* (2009)<sup>[13]</sup>, Kataria and Valu (2018)<sup>[10]</sup>, Dodiya *et al.* (2018)<sup>[5]</sup> Chaudhari *et al.* (2021)<sup>[4]</sup>.

The increase in yield might be because of detopping and removal of monopodial branches and cumulative effect of more number of sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant and average boll wt. as compared to rest of treatments. Detopping resulted in better architectural plant which may increase penetration of sunlight in canopy because of reduced foliage and lodging resulted in higher photosynthetic activity, as well as more spread of plant which also harvest more sunlight and more photosynthesis. Growth modification practices become more important by converting its phase of vegetative to

reproductive growth. Removing terminal portion by detopping of cotton after prominent vegetative growth stage may be promising for encouraging growth of already formed sympodials as well as more formation and development of fruiting bodies. Application of Mepiquat chloride can attributed to several physiological and agronomic factors that improve plants ability to focus its energy on reproductive growth rather than excessive vegetative growth. These results are in conformity with the results reported by Virdia (2011)<sup>[14]</sup>, Shwetha *et al.* (2009)<sup>[13]</sup>, Kataria and Valu (2018)<sup>[10]</sup>, Jadhav *et al.* (2019)<sup>[8]</sup> and Chaudhari *et al.* (2021)<sup>[4]</sup>.

### Effect on Economic studies

The data pertaining to gross monetary returns, net monetary returns, cost of cultivation and B:C ratio of Bt cotton as influenced by HDPS planting and different canopy management practices were presented in table 2.

Considering the economics significantly higher gross monetary return, net monetary return, cost of cultivation were recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (HDPS planting + pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + detopping at 100 cm height + Two spray of mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a.i. at 45 and 60 DAS) treatment. The highest B:C ratio was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (HDPS planting + pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + detopping at 100 cm height + Two spray of mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a.i. at 45 and 60 DAS) treatment. Similar results were recorded by Shwetha *et al.* (2009)<sup>[13]</sup>.

**Table 2:** Gross monetary returns (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>), Net monetary returns (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>), cost of cultivation and B:C ratio as influenced by HDPS planting and different canopy management practices in cotton

Treatments	GMR	NMR	COC	B:C Ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	122206	70085	52121	2.34
T <sub>2</sub>	143885	86540	57345	2.51
T <sub>3</sub>	160133	98875	61258	2.61
T <sub>4</sub>	151866	87815	64051	2.37
T <sub>5</sub>	156206	96387	59819	2.61
T <sub>6</sub>	175253	107891	67362	2.6
T <sub>7</sub>	157771	96391	61380	2.57
T <sub>8</sub>	154130	88641	65489	2.35
T <sub>9</sub>	182113	114033	68080	2.67
SE(m)±	5690.61	5690.61	—	—
CD at 5%	17060.45	17060.45	—	—
GM	155951.51	94073.18	—	—

### Conclusion

Recommended Spacing (90 cm × 60 cm) had better crop growth, Dry matter accumulation per plant, number of sympodial branches per plant, number of picked bolls per plant, seed cotton yield per plant, and harvest index. HDPS planting + Detopping at 100 cm height + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS showed improved yield attributes viz, Seed cotton yield (2690 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), lint yield (1002.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), stalk yield (4421.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Biological yield (7111.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). HDPS planting + Detopping at 100 cm height + Pruning of monopodia at 45 DAS + Two spray of Mepiquat chloride @ 25 g a. i. at 45 and 60 DAS gave highest GMR(182113 Rs/ha), NMR(114033 Rs/ha) and B:C (2.67) ratio.

### References

- Anonymous. USDA, Foreign Agriculture Service. India - Area, yield and production for the year 2023-24; c2024.
- Bednarz CW, Bridges DC, Brown SM. Analysis of cotton yield stability across population densities. *Agron J.* 2000;92:128-135.
- Brar ZS, Anupam Singh, Thakar Singh. Response of hybrid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) to nitrogen and canopy modification practices. *Indian J Agron.* 2000;45(2):395-400.
- Chaudhari JH, Chauhan SA, Chaudhary MM. Effect of topping and nitrogen levels on growth, yield attributes and yield of Bt cotton under drip irrigation conditions. *The Pharma Innovation J.* 2021;10(10):1898-1902.
- Dodiya C. Effect of crop geometry, detopping and plant growth regulator on growth and yield of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpublished), Anand Agricultural University, Gujarat; 2018.
- Hallikeri SS. Pruning and detopping studies in Bt cotton. In: *World Cotton Research Conference on Technologies for Prosperity*; c2009. p. 347-349.
- Hallikeri SS, Halemani HL, Patil VC, Palled YB, Patil BC, Katageri IS. Effect of nitrogen levels, split application of nitrogen and detopping on seed cotton yield and fibre quality in Bt cotton. *Karnataka J Agric Sci.* 2009;23(3):418-

422.

8. Jadhav AS, Waskar DP, Bhosale GP. Effect of growth regulators and de-topping on productivity of cotton. *J Cotton Res Dev.* 2020;34(6):2-66.
9. Jones MA, Wells R. Dry matter allocation and fruiting patterns of cotton grown at two divergent plant populations. *Crop Sci.* 1997;37:797-802.
10. Kataria GK, Valu MG. Effect of detopping and growth retardants on physiological parameters and yield of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *J Cotton Res Dev.* 2018;32(2):226-230.
11. Manjunatha MJ, Halepyati AS, Koppalkar BG, Pujari BT. Yield and yield components uptake of nutrients quality parameters and economics of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) genotypes as influenced by different plant densities. *Karnataka J Agric Sci.* 2010;23(3):423-425.
12. Pothiraj P, Jagnathan NT, Venkitaswamy R, Premshekhara M, Purushothman S. Effect of growth regulators in cotton cv. MCU-9. *Madras Agric J.* 1995;82:283-284.
13. Shwetha NS, Halepyati AS, Pujari BT. Effect of detopping, removal of monopodia and plant spacings on nutrient uptake, quality parameters and economics of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Karnataka J Agric Sci.* 2009;22(4):892-893.
14. Virdia HM. Effect of topping on growth and yield of hybrid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Adv Res J Crop Improvement.* 2011;2(1):21-23.
15. Meredith Jr WR, Wells R. Potential for increasing cotton yields through enhanced partitioning to reproductive structures. *Crop Science.* 1989 May;29(3):636-639.