



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)

2021; 4(2): 213-218

Received: 30-09-2021

Accepted: 04-11-2021

**Melkamu Dugassa**

Department of Plant and  
Horticulture Sciences, College of  
Agriculture, Hawassa University,  
Hawassa, Po Box 05, Ethiopia

## Crop management options for water use efficiency: Review

**Melkamu Dugassa**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2021.v4.i2a.169>

### Abstract

The objective of this review paper is to organize relevant literature on management options for increasing water use efficiency. The review was organized by searching the research outputs from the various corners of the world. Water the major agricultural input whose scarcity is a major problem worldwide. The scarcity of water is one of the major limiting factors to crop production in the world. Water use efficiency refers to the rate at which water is used during plant growth while the ratio of yield to transpiration is transpiration efficiency. Agriculture consumes the most water and produces the most total evapotranspiration from agricultural land. Crop management starts with the sowing of seeds, continues with crop maintenance during growth and development, and ends with crop harvest, storage, and distribution. Improving the efficiency of agricultural water use is a major concern as water scarcity problems are becoming the key threats to agriculture. With growing concerns about the availability of water resources in both irrigated and rain fed agriculture, there is a renewed interest in trying to improve understanding of how the water use efficiency can be developed and how farming systems can be changed to be more efficient in water use. In order to use the available water for effective crop production, different management practices including crop residue return, organic fertilizer application, intercropping and optimization of water use are among the methods that can be employed for maximization of water use efficiency.

**Keywords:** Crop management, water scarcity, water use, water use efficiency, optimization of water use

### Introduction

Water is one of the major agricultural inputs in the world and its scarcity is a major problem worldwide. Agriculture is the largest consumer of water and total evapotranspiration from agricultural land could double in the next 50 years if food consumption patterns and current production processes continue (Sharma *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[46]</sup>. As the world's fossil fuel consumption and the population continues to grow, the water supply per person will continue to decrease which leads to water scarcity or pressure in some areas (Fonteh *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[21]</sup>. Water scarcity is one of the major barriers to crop production in the world. Improving the efficiency of agricultural water use is a major concern as drought problems are becoming the key threats to agriculture. Plant water stress occurs when the need for evaporation exceeds the water from the soil. Water stress causes stomata blockage and reduces carbon dioxide absorption, leading to slower plant growth (Zhao *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[63]</sup>. There is a pressing need to increase water efficiency, and more crucially, water productivity (Sharma *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[46]</sup>.

Crop water use efficiency (CWUE) can be defined in a number of ways. The term basically describes the amount of harvestable biomass produced by a crop per unit of consumed water. The value of crop water use efficiency is generally constant for a given crop but will vary slightly due to differences in environmental conditions that affect a crop's water requirements such as relative humidity and radiation intensity (Fan *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[17]</sup>. Water use efficiency also commonly used to describe the crop yield produced per unit of irrigation water or rainfall received. Irrigation efficiency or precipitation efficiency can vary widely for a given crop and will be influenced by both environmental conditions and crop management practices (Chen *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[12]</sup>.

Based on the current and future water requirement for crop production and the importance of efficient water utilization, this review was compiled having the following objectives:

**Corresponding Author:**

**Melkamu Dugassa**

Department of Plant and  
Horticulture Sciences, College of  
Agriculture, Hawassa University,  
Hawassa, Po Box 05, Ethiopia

1. To identify the role different crop management options for efficient use of water
2. To have a thorough understanding of the various mechanisms that affect water use efficiency under different crop management options.

### Materials and Methods

This term paper is as a whole a review paper. All the data and information are adopted from sources. It was compiled through an exclusive going through different books, articles, and Journals. After collecting the necessary information, it has been compiled and arranged for better understanding and clarification.

### Review findings

#### Crop management

Crop management is agricultural practice performed to improve the growth, development and yield of crops. Crop management starts with the sowing of seeds, continues with crop maintenance during growth and development, and ends with crop harvest, storage, and distribution (Tivy, 1990) <sup>[50]</sup>. Crops can be grown under a range of water management regimes, from simple soil tillage aimed at increasing the infiltration of rainfall to sophisticated irrigation technologies and management. Of the estimated 1.4 billion ha of crop land worldwide, around 80 percent is rain fed and accounts for about 60 percent of global agricultural output (Abdul Haris, 2018) <sup>[2]</sup>. In essence, crop management practices affect the subsurface habitat by means of two independent mechanisms. First, the physical shape of soil (hence the infiltration rate of water) is altered by using farm equipment passing over the soil, through cultivation implements, and by the penetration of soil by roots of the growing crop plants (Hamza and Anderson, 2005) <sup>[22]</sup>. Soil conditions like initial organic matter and pH of the soil had been of greater importance for increasing soil pH in field-based experiments. The type of the liming material and methods of using are most essential for enhancing crop yield (Li *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[35]</sup>. Similarly, for areas affected by salinity, appropriate crop selection for satisfactory yield under existing and predicted saline conditions is needed (Toderich *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[51]</sup>.

#### Water use efficiency

Water efficiency (WUE) is a theory coined several years ago (Briggs and Shantz, 1913) <sup>[8]</sup> shows the relationship between crop production and water use. Water efficiency (WUE) can be defined on a variety of scales from leaf to field. In its simplest terms, WUE refers to the rate at which water is used during plant growth while the ratio of yield to transpiration is transpiration efficiency (Waraich *et al.*, 2011) <sup>[56]</sup>. According to (Fang *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[19]</sup>, water use efficiency in agricultural system is generally defined as grain yield production per unit evapotranspiration. Proper water use represents the amount of biomass or grain yield per unit of water used by a plant (Amini Fasakhodi *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[4]</sup>. WUE is a reliable indicator of plant biomass production compared to water use, and is a measure between two physiological entities (transpiration and photosynthesis) or agronomic (yield and water use by plants), (Blum, 2005). WUE works best when there is a significant gain in the small amount of water available to a plant (Mueller *et al.*, 2005) <sup>[37]</sup>, and it can be measured by efficiency of water in biomass growth or in the Harvest Index (Rebetzke *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[41]</sup>.

Plants vary in their ability to use water and WUE vary from place to place. More importantly it may also vary due to soil conditions, agricultural processes including fertilization, and

atmospheric factors (Mueller *et al.*, 2005) <sup>[37]</sup>. Typically, high water consumption occurs in the area of high biomass production (Cox *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[13]</sup>. WUE is much higher under limited irrigation conditions such as dry season in the tropics (Kang *et al.*, 2002) <sup>[28]</sup>. Water use efficiency can be increased by changing soil management practices that include changing the properties of the soil to increase water availability to plants because better monitoring of crops and soil healthiness are the important components of improving WUE. It can be calculated as follows:

$$W.U.E = \frac{\text{Total above ground dry matter produced}}{\text{Unit Soil water used over entire season}}$$

Source: (Singh *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[48]</sup>

#### Role of crop residue return in water use efficiency

Returning crop residues showed an improvement in WUE of wheat in India by 13% to 25% compared to no straw treatment according to (Chakraborty *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[11]</sup>. Similarly, returning crop residues has been reported to maintain soil moisture and reduce diurnal changes in soil temperature and ultimately increase WUE (Huang *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[26]</sup>. Incorporation of crop residues improve WUE by improving crop yields, maintaining soil moisture retention, and reducing soil moisture loss throughout the growing season even under normal soil water and mild drought conditions (Wang *et al.*, 2021a) <sup>[53]</sup>. In another study on residue return, it has been shown that the effect of residues on potato WUE depends on soil fertility, temperature, and inorganic fertilization conditions (Li *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[34]</sup>. The study conducted on impact of rice straw compost reported that the WUE and the productivity of grains of wheat and maize (Mgm<sup>-3</sup>) acquired from every cubic meter water in each seasons (2017/2018) were improved (El-Gamal *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[16]</sup> as indicated in Table 1. The values for WUE ranged between 1.73 and 1.90 Mgm<sup>-3</sup> for the wheat crop while it ranged between 1.15 and 1.46 Mgm<sup>-3</sup> for maize crop. This may be attributed to the supply of rice straw compost as natural fertilizer and higher water retention in the root zone. In this respect, it has been reported that the use of rice straw-compost for tomatoes improved (WUE) water use efficiency (Ali *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[3]</sup>. Optimizing water consumption is a type of management options that may establish relationship between land and water under limitation of water/land conditions. So that crop production is economically affordable and technically possible (Kiani and Abbasi, 2012) <sup>[30]</sup>.

#### Role of organic fertilizer application in water use efficiency

Organic amendments incorporation may enhance the organic matter content of the soil leading towards the sufficient moisture conservation and plant nutrient availability (Bot and Benites, 2005) <sup>[7]</sup>. The soil temperature is inversely correlated with the organic matter content, so the moisture depletion rate might decrease with the increased organic matter content (Shaver *et al.*, 2006). Increase organic matter concentrations in soil have showed to enhance the yield of cereals (Tahir *et al.*, 2011) <sup>[49]</sup>, improve soil properties i.e. soil density, soil aeration and enhance the soil water holding capacity for plant growth and development (Bot and Benites, 2005) <sup>[7]</sup>. It has been indicated that there is a direct relationship between water use, nutrient uptake and biomass yield in plants (Nwachukwu and Ikeadigh, 2012) <sup>[39]</sup>. Water holding capacity of soils which has role for water use efficiency of crops is controlled primarily by the number of pores and pore-size distribution of soils; and the

specific surface area soils (Hati *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[23]</sup>. Increased aggregation and total pore spaces results in decreased bulk density, the pore-size distribution is altered and the relative number of small pores increases, especially for coarse textured soils (Khaleel *et al.*, 1981). At the conditions of higher tensions close to wilting range, nearly all pores are filled with air and the moisture content is determined largely by the specific surface area and the thickness of water films on these surfaces (Vengadaramana and Jashothan, 2012) <sup>[52]</sup>. Because of their much less surface area than clayey soils, sandy soils retain much less water at higher tensions.

With the application of organic matter, specific surface area increases resulting in increased water holding capacity at higher tensions. Soil "holds" water available for crop use, retaining it against the pull of gravity and it is one of the most important physical facts for agriculture (Abdelraouf, 2014) <sup>[1]</sup>. We would have to constantly irrigate if the soil did not hold water and free to flow downward with the pull of gravity as in a river or canal, or hope that it rained every two or three days and there would be no reason to pre-irrigate and there would be no such thing as dry-land farming (Vengadaramana and Jashothan, 2012) <sup>[52]</sup>. Soil texture and organic matter are the key components that determine soil water holding capacity (Nath, 2014). Wastes application as either for plant nutrient supply or for disposal purposes, increases the C content of the soil that increases aggregation, decreases bulk density, increases water holding capacity, and hydraulic conductivity (Vengadaramana and Jashothan, 2012) <sup>[52]</sup>. Compost is an important source of plant nutrients as it contains greater organic matter content and with its increment with soil organic matter also improves the physico-chemical attributes of soil and it ultimately results in increased yield of crop (Iqbal *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[27]</sup>.

### The role of intercropping in water use efficiency

The effect of intercropping in improving the of resource utilization efficiency have been demonstrated across the world (Seran and Brintha, 2010) <sup>[45]</sup>. The transformation of agricultural production from resource-consuming to technology-efficient, improving the efficiency of utilization of resources has become the top priority of intercropping research as indicted in table two. The competition for resources in the early growth stage of intercropping crops may evolve into complementary effect of sharing resources in later growth stages, especially following the harvest of an early-maturity intercrop (Yin *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[60]</sup>. The distribution of roots spatially and their density in the soil determines the ability of a crop to acquire the nutrients and the water necessary to sustain plant growth (Li *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[33]</sup>. Crop species that absorb water quickly and grow fast have an advantage over those that use soil water efficiently but grow slowly in the overlapping region of crop roots (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>. In maize and legumes intercropping, legumes can obtain the water below the root zone of maize and increase the water supply of maize by water lifting (Rusinamhodzi *et al.*, 2012) <sup>[44]</sup>. Given the resource conditions in different regions and on the basis of understanding the biological characteristics of different crops, reasonable allocation of different types of composite population can flexibly utilize different forms of complementary effects to achieve the goal of maximizing intercropping advantages (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>.

It has been shown by previous studies that inter-species competition is less than intra-species competition, inter-species competition is conducive for improving WUE, forming intercropping advantages, and improving yield stability of the intercropping system (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>. In instances of water

absorption, space and root distribution of intercropped components are different can produce a greater complementary effect compared to intercropped components with similar water absorption space and root distribution.

The research conducted by Raza *et al.* (2021) <sup>[40]</sup> in maize soybean intercropping showed that the averaged over the years WUE of intercropped maize ( $14.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$  in 2M2S and  $14.1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$  in 2M3S) and soybean ( $2.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$  in 2M2S and  $2.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$  in 2M3S) was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower than that of the sole maize ( $18.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ) or sole soybean ( $3.8 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ), (Table 3). However, in intercropping systems, planting pattern 2M2S increased the WUE of maize by 4% compared to 2M3S, and planting pattern 2M3S enhanced the WUE of soybean by 18% compared to 2M2S. The intercropping impact on WUE was measured using the water equivalent ratio (WER).

The WER helps to characterize whether intercropped maize and soybean yield in 2M2S and 2M3S would be produced with less water ( $\text{WER} < 1$ ) or more water ( $\text{WER} > 1$ ) in SM and SS. The average of the total WER ( $\text{pWER}_m + \text{pWER}_s$ ) values of both intercropping systems (1.35 for 2M2S and 1.44 for 2M3S) were higher than one, indicating the water use advantage over the sole cropping systems (Raza *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[40]</sup>. For the total WER, the differences in 2018 and 2019 were non-significant ( $P < 0.05$ ), while in 2020 it was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 3). However, the planting pattern 2M3S increased the WER by 7% in 2018, 6% in 2019, and 7% in 2020 compared to 2M2S. Moreover, in all years of the experiment, the  $\text{pWER}_m$  (0.82 in 2M2S and 0.79 in 2M3S) was considerably higher than the  $\text{pWER}_s$  (0.54 in 2M2S and 0.66 in 2M3S), (Table 3).

### Regulation approaches to efficient water utilization in intercropping

Intercropping is an important cropping pattern for the sustainable development of agriculture, especially in arid and semiarid region and under the condition of limited water resources (Deng *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[14]</sup>. Improvement of crop production and WUE can be effected by incorporating main regulation approaches for optimizing soil moisture environment and interspecific interactions in intercropping systems, such as crop species, irrigation and fertilization regimes, plant density, spatial arrangement, tillage and mulching practices, and environmental factors, into intercropping systems.

### Crop species

According to Li *et al.* (2001), intercrops differ in their potential for competition and complementarity of limited resources. It has been confirmed by many studies that monoculture does not necessarily produce high yield compared to total system yield in intercropping systems (Wang *et al.*, 2021b; Xiao *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54, 57]</sup>. In the intercropping system of gramineae-legume, underground competition promotes stem growth in gramineae but not in legumes, and greater competitiveness of gramineae crops is caused by stronger root competition (Mariotti *et al.*, 2009) <sup>[36]</sup>.

Based on to the resource conditions of different regions and the basis of understanding the biological characteristics of different crops, reasonable allocation of different types of composite population can flexibly utilize different forms of complementary effects to achieve the goal of maximizing intercropping advantages.

### Irrigation regime

For field crops production, flood irrigation has been

predominantly used in which water losses from evaporation and leaching are very high (Chai *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[9]</sup>. Due to the water-saving campaign in recent years, the development of regulated deficit alternate irrigation, spray irrigation, surface irrigation and subsurface drip irrigation technology has been recognized (Chai *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[9]</sup>. Regulated deficit alternate irrigation where one crop is irrigated, while the other crop is exposed to drying soil (Yu *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>. The wetting and drying of the root zone for the different crops is alternated at a frequency allowing the previously well-watered side of the root zone to dry down while the previously dried side is fully irrigated (Chai *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[10]</sup>. In intercropping system, components have distinct water requirements and therefore adopting alternate irrigation with an appropriate water supply level can effectively reduce intercropping systems water consumption by improving crop water requirements, water supply and boost WUE. Studies have shown that the application of alternate irrigation to wheat-maize intercropping can save water by 16 % and increase WUE by 6.6 %, compared to conventional high level (Yang *et al.*, 2011) <sup>[58]</sup>.

### Fertilization regime

The difference in requirements for nutrients in intercropping by different crops needs timely and appropriate fertilization to enhance WUE (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>. When nitrogen application rate is low in wheat-maize intercropping, intercropped wheat grows slowly and requires less water, which reduces competition for water from maize strips, thereby improving the soil water condition of intercropped maize strips (Wang *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[55]</sup>. In contrary to this, when nitrogen application is high, intercropped wheat grows vigorously and transpiration consumes more water than intercropped maize, leading to competition for soil water from maize strips and reduced WUE of wheat strips (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>. It has been shown that the postponed of topdressing 15 % of the total nitrogen fertilizer rate can reduce soil evaporation and the ratio of evaporation to water consumption (E/ ET) in maize-pea intercropping, and improve crop productivity and WUE (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup>.

### Plant density and spatial arrangement

Usually the plant density of intercropped plants is higher than the corresponding sole cropping, and greater plant density contributes to increased yield and resources use efficiency of intercropping systems (Ren *et al.*, 2016). WUE can be improved by appropriate plant density and can enhance crop yield by improving photosynthesis, microclimate environment between populations, and increasing root length density and root absorption area (Fang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[20]</sup>. The distribution of intercrops spatially refers to the occupation of different crops in the compound population, intra-row and inter-row plant spacing and the duration of the co-existence period of the two intercrops. Studies have shown that interspecific interactions are important reason why total yield of intercropping systems are different from that of sole cropping (Dhima *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[15]</sup>.

### Tillage and mulching practices

Conservation tillage techniques such as reduced tillage or no-tillage with straw retention can significantly improve WUE crop yield as well as improved activity of crop roots and microorganisms, creating a favorable surface soil structure for crop growth (Li *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[32]</sup>. Reduced tillage with straw mulching techniques has also been studied in intercropping (Fan *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[18]</sup>. The results of the intercropping under reduced tillage and mulching showed that no-tillage with straw mulching significantly improved WUE compared to straw incorporation,

and the increasing WUE effect of intercropping was greater than that of monoculture (Yin *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[61]</sup>. No tillage changes the physical and chemical properties of soil (Rhoton *et al.*, 1993) <sup>[43]</sup>, improves soil porosity (Holthusen *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[25]</sup> reduces soil evaporation (Baumhardt *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[5]</sup>.

### Environmental factors

Environmental factors including soil water, available nutrients, and light resources can influence interspecific interactions by strengthening or weakening the interspecific relationships and place a crop in a strong dominant position (Yin *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[62]</sup> and significantly affect the growth of intercrops (Dhima *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[15]</sup>. The main reason why environmental circumstances affect interspecific relationships is that interspecific competition differs with resource availability (Hauggaard-Nielsen and Jensen, 2005) <sup>[24]</sup>. The resource competitiveness of intercropped components depends largely on the response of the crop itself to limiting factors (Launay *et al.*, 2009) <sup>[31]</sup>.

### Optimization of water consumption

Optimization of water consumption is a sort of management options that may establish relationship between land and water under limitation of water/land conditions so that crop production is economically affordable and technically possible (Kiani and Abbasi, 2012) <sup>[30]</sup>.

### Conclusion

Water is one of the major agricultural inputs and its scarcity is a major problem worldwide. Agriculture consumes the most water and produces the most total evapotranspiration from agricultural land. Water scarcity is the major barriers to crop production in the world. Improving the efficiency of agricultural water use is a major concern as water scarcity problems are becoming the key threats to agriculture. With growing concerns about the availability of water resources in both irrigated and rain fed agriculture, there is a renewed interest in trying to improve understanding of how the WUE can be developed and how farming systems can be changed to be more efficient in water use. In order to use the available water for effective crop production, different management practices including crop residue return, organic fertilizer application, intercropping and optimization of water use are among the methods that can be employed for maximization of water use efficiency.

### Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest

### References

1. Abdelraouf R. New Engineering Method to Improve Water Use Efficiency of Maize under Drip Irrigation System Using Irregular Volumetric Distribution of Compost along Laterals. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. 2014;3(3):383-394.
2. Abdul Haris A. Irrigation management in cropping systems. Training Manual; c2018. p. 87.
3. Ali HI, Ismail MR, Manan MM, Saudi HM. Rice straw compost and water deficit affect yield, quality and water use efficiency (WUE) of tomatoes grown in different media. Biological agriculture & horticulture. 2006;24(3):301-315.
4. Amini Fasakhodi A, Nouri SH, Amini M. Water resources sustainability and optimal cropping pattern in farming systems; a multi-objective fractional goal programming approach. Water Resources Management. 2010;24(15):4639-4657.

5. Baumhardt R, *et al.* Long-term conventional and no-tillage effects on field hydrology and yields of a dry land crop rotation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 2017;81(1):200-209.
6. Blum A. Drought resistance, water-use efficiency, and yield potential—are they compatible, dissonant, or mutually exclusive? *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2005;56(11):1159-1168.
7. Bot A, Benites J. The importance of soil organic matter: Key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food production. *Food & Agriculture Org*; c2005.
8. Briggs LJ, Shantz HL. The water requirement of plants. *US Government Printing Office*; c1913.
9. Chai Q, *et al.* Water-saving innovations in Chinese agriculture, *Advances in Agronomy*. Elsevier; c2014. p. 149-201.
10. Chai, Q. *et al.* Regulated deficit irrigation for crop production under drought stress. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*. 2016;36(1):1-21.
11. Chakraborty D, *et al.* Synthetic and organic mulching and nitrogen effect on winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in a semi-arid environment. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2010;97(5):738-748.
12. Chen C, Wang E, Yu Q. Modelling the effects of climate variability and water management on crop water productivity and water balance in the North China Plain. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2010;97(8):1175-1184.
13. Cox JW, *et al.* Assessing rainfed and irrigated farm performance using measures of water use efficiency. *ACIAR Monograph Series*. 2002;84:70-81.
14. Deng XP, Shan L, Zhang H, Turner NC. Improving agricultural water use efficiency in arid and semiarid areas of China. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2006;80(1-3):23-40.
15. Dhima K, Lithourgidis A, Vasilakoglou I, Dordas C. Competition indices of common vetch and cereal intercrops in two seeding ratio. *Field Crops Research*. 2007;100(2-3):249-256.
16. El-Gamal B, Badawi M, El-Kafrawy M. Soil Physicochemical properties, water use efficiency and productivity of wheat-maize yields under clay soil conditions as affected by rice straw and compost Application. *Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering*. 2019;10(12):873-881.
17. Fan J, Wu L, Zhang F, Xiang Y, Zheng J. Climate change effects on reference crop evapotranspiration across different climatic zones of China during 1956–2015. *Journal of Hydrology*. 2016;542:923-937.
18. Fan Z, *et al.* Yield and water consumption characteristics of wheat/maize intercropping with reduced tillage in an Oasis region. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2013;45:52-58.
19. Fang Q, *et al.* Water resources and water use efficiency in the North China Plain: Current status and agronomic management options. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2010;97(8):1102-1116.
20. Fang, X. *et al.* Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and planting density on the leaf photosynthetic characteristics, agronomic traits and grain yield in common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* M.). *Field Crops Research*. 2018;219:160-168.
21. Fonteh M, Tabi F, Wariba A, Zie J. Effective water management practices in irrigated rice to ensure food security and mitigate climate change in a tropical climate. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*. 2013;4(3):284-290.
22. Hamza M, Anderson WK. Soil compaction in cropping systems: A review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. *Soil and tillage research*. 2005;82(2):121-145.
23. Hati KM, Swarup A, Dwivedi A, Misra A, Bandyopadhyay K. Changes in soil physical properties and organic carbon status at the topsoil horizon of a vertisol of central India after 28 years of continuous cropping, fertilization and manuring. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*. 2007;119(1-2):127-134.
24. Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Jensen ES. Facilitative root interactions in intercrops, *Root physiology: From gene to function*. Springer; c2005. p. 237-250.
25. Holthusen D, Brandt AA, Reichert JM, Horn R. Soil porosity, permeability and static and dynamic strength parameters under native forest/grassland compared to no-tillage cropping. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2018;177:113-124.
26. Huang M, *et al.* Seasonal responses of terrestrial ecosystem water-use efficiency to climate change. *Global Change Biology*. 2016;22(6):2165-2177.
27. Iqbal A, *et al.* Organic manure coupled with inorganic fertilizer: An approach for the sustainable production of rice by improving soil properties and nitrogen use efficiency. *Agronomy*. 2019;9(10):651.
28. Kang S. *et al.* Effects of limited irrigation on yield and water use efficiency of winter wheat in the Loess Plateau of China. *Agricultural water management*. 2002;55(3):203-216.
29. Khaleel R, Reddy K, Overcash M. Changes in soil physical properties due to organic waste applications: a review. *Journal of environmental quality*. 1981;10(2):133-141.
30. Kiani AR, Abbasi F. Optimizing water consumption using crop water production functions. *Crop Production Technologies*; c2012. p. 73-92.
31. Launay M, *et al.* Exploring options for managing strategies for pea–barley intercropping using a modeling approach. *European journal of Agronomy*. 2009;31(2):85-98.
32. Li H, *et al.* Effects of 15 years of conservation tillage on soil structure and productivity of wheat cultivation in northern China. *Soil Research*. 2007;45(5):344-350.
33. Li L, *et al.* Root distribution and interactions between intercropped species. *Oecologia*. 2006;147(2):280-290.
34. Li Q, Li H, Zhang L, Zhang S, Chen Y. Mulching improves yield and water-use efficiency of potato cropping in China: a meta-analysis. *Field crops research*. 2018;221:50-60.
35. Li Y, Cui S, Chang SX, Zhang Q. Liming effects on soil pH and crop yield depend on lime material type, application method and rate, and crop species: A global meta-analysis. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*. 2019;19(3):1393-1406.
36. Mariotti M, Masoni A, Ercoli L, Arduini I. Above-and below-ground competition between barley, wheat, lupin and vetch in a cereal and legume intercropping system. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2009;64(4):401-412.
37. Mueller L, Behrendt A, Schalitz G, Schindler U. Above ground biomass and water use efficiency of crops at shallow water tables in a temperate climate. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2005;75(2):117-136.
38. Nath T. Soil texture and total organic matter content and its influences on soil water holding capacity of some selected tea growing soils in Sivasagar district of Assam, India. *Int. J Chem. Sci*. 2014;12(4):1419-1429.
39. Nwachukwu O, Ikeadighi M. Water use efficiency and nutrient uptake of maize as affected by organic and

- inorganic fertilizer. Pat. 2012;8(1):199-208.
40. Raza MA, *et al.* Land productivity and water use efficiency of maize-soybean strip intercropping systems in semi-arid areas: A case study in Punjab Province, Pakistan. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2021;308:127282.
  41. Rebetzke G, Condon AG, Richards R, Farquhar G. Selection for reduced carbon isotope discrimination increases aerial biomass and grain yield of rainfed bread wheat. *Crop science*. 2002;42(3):739-745.
  42. Ren Y, Liu J, Wang Z, Zhang S. Planting density and sowing proportions of maize-soybean intercrops affected competitive interactions and water-use efficiencies on the Loess Plateau, China. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2016;72:70-79.
  43. Rhoton F, *et al.* Chemical and physical characteristics of four soil types under conventional and no-tillage systems. *Soil and tillage Research*. 1993;28(1):51-61.
  44. Rusinamhodzi L, Corbeels M, Nyamangara J, Giller KE. Maize-grain legume intercropping is an attractive option for ecological intensification that reduces climatic risk for smallholder farmers in central Mozambique. *Field crops research*. 2012;136:12-22.
  45. Seran TH, Brintha I. Review on maize based intercropping. *Journal of agronomy*. 2010;9(3):135-145.
  46. Sharma B, Molden D, Cook S. Water use efficiency in agriculture: Measurement, current situation and trends; c2015.
  47. Shaver GR, *et al.* Carbon turnover in Alaskan tundra soils: effects of organic matter quality, temperature, moisture and fertilizer. *Journal of Ecology*. 2006;94(4):740-753.
  48. Singh P, Shukla S, Bhatnagar V. Optimizing soil moisture regime to increase water use efficiency of sugarcane (*Saccharum spp. hybrid complex*) in subtropical India. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2007;90(1-2):95-100.
  49. Tahir, M. *et al.* Effect of different organic matter on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J life soc. Sci*. 2011;9(1): 63-66.
  50. Tivy J. A collective contribution to the understanding of plant domestication and agricultural evolution. *JSTOR*; c1990.
  51. Toderich K, *et al.* Extent of salt affected land in Central Asia: Biosaline agriculture and utilization of the salt-affected resources. *KIER Discussion Paper*, 648; c2008.
  52. Vengadaramana A, Jashothan P. Effect of organic fertilizers on the water holding capacity of soil in different terrains of Jaffna peninsula in Sri Lanka. *J Nat. Prod. Plant Resour*. 2012;2(4):500-503.
  53. Wang J, Zhang S, Sainju UM, Ghimire R, Zhao F. A meta-analysis on cover crop impact on soil water storage, succeeding crop yield, and water-use efficiency. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2021a;256:107085.
  54. Wang Q, *et al.* Does reduced intraspecific competition of the dominant species in intercrops allow for a higher population density? *Food and Energy Security*. 2021b;10(2):285-298.
  55. Wang Z, Zhao X, Wu P, Chen X. Effects of water limitation on yield advantage and water use in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)/maize (*Zea mays* L.) strip intercropping. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2015;71:149-159.
  56. Waraich EA, Ahmad R, Ashraf MY, Saifullah, Ahmad M. Improving agricultural water use efficiency by nutrient management in crop plants. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Soil & Plant Science*. 2011;61(4):291-304.
  57. Xiao J, *et al.* Complementation drives higher growth rate and yield of wheat and saves nitrogen fertilizer in wheat and faba bean intercropping. *Field Crops Research*. 2018;221:119-129.
  58. Yang C, Huang G, Chai Q, Luo Z. Water use and yield of wheat/maize intercropping under alternate irrigation in the oasis field of northwest China. *Field Crops Research*. 2011;124(3):426-432.
  59. Yin W, *et al.* Water utilization in intercropping: A review. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2020;241:106335.
  60. Yin W, *et al.* Innovation in alternate mulch with straw and plastic management bolsters yield and water use efficiency in wheat-maize intercropping in arid conditions. *Scientific Reports*. 2019;9(1):1-14.
  61. Yin W, *et al.* Wheat-maize intercropping with reduced tillage and straw retention: a step towards enhancing economic and environmental benefits in arid areas. *Frontiers in Plant Science*; c 2018. p. 1328.
  62. Yu L, Zhao X, Gao X, Siddique KH. Improving/maintaining water-use efficiency and yield of wheat by deficit irrigation: A global meta-analysis. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2020;228:105906.
  63. Zhao T, Stark B, Chen Y, Ray A, Doll D. More reliable crop water stress quantification using small unmanned aerial systems (suas). *IFAC-Papers On Line*. 2016;49(16):409-414.