

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

#### www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; 7(10): 05-09 Received: 27-09-2024 Accepted: 31-10-2024

#### Binin Kumar Chaudhary

Department of Crop Physiology, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### PK Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### AL Jatav

Department of Seed Science and Technology, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Mahak Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

## VK Verma

Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Anil Kumar

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Omkar

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Dhirendra Kumar

Department of Crop Physiology, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Bipin Kumar Chaudhary Department of Crop Physiology, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of plant growth regulators on phenological study and growth analysis of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh

Bipin Kumar Chaudhary, PK Singh, AL Jatav, Mahak Singh, VK Verma, Anil Kumar, Omkar and Dhirendra Kumar

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i10a.1686

#### Abstract

This study investigates the effects of various plant growth regulators (PGRs) on the phenological and growth parameters of mung bean (Vigna radiata L.) under the central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. Conducted during the Kharif seasons of 2023 and 2024 at Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, the experiment utilized a randomized block design comprising 33 plots across three replications. Treatments included control and PGR applications of Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), Maleic hydrazide (MH), 2, 3, 5-Triiodobenzoic acid (TIBA), and Kinetin. Key phenological observations included days to flowering initiation, days to 50% flowering, and days to maturity. Growth analyses measured crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), and relative water content (RWC). Results indicated significant variations in phenological traits across treatments. In 2023, days to flowering initiation ranged from 28.07 (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm) to 32.40 (Kinetin@15 ppm), while in 2024, it was from 26.47 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60 ppm) to 30.80 (Kinetin@15 ppm). Days to 50% flowering similarly ranged from 30.13 to 34.27 days in 2023 and from 28.60 to 32.80 days in 2024. Maturity periods ranged from 52.00 to 56.00 days in 2023 and from 50.73 to 54.93 days in 2024. Growth analysis revealed that CGR ranged from 11.12 to 15.38 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day (2023) and 10.37 to 16.14 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day (2024). The maximum RGR recorded was 0.27 mg/g/day for Kinetin treatments in both years. RWC was highest at 70.54% (Kinetin@10 ppm) in 2023 and 70.64% (Kinetin@15 ppm) in 2024. Overall, Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and Kinetin demonstrated the most promising effects on phenological and growth parameters respectively, suggesting its potential to enhance mung bean productivity and support sustainable agricultural practices.

Keywords: Gibberellic acid, kinetin, mung bean, maleic hydrazide, and phenological study

## 1. Introduction

Green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) also known as mung bean is one of the short span pulse crops in India and secure third place after chickpea and pigeon pea cultivation. Mung bean is widely grown in arid and semi-arid areas of the country. It is an extremely good source of high standard protein and holds 25-28% protein in addition to 1.0 - 1.4 percent oil, 3.3 percent fibre, 4.8-5.6 percent ash, 64 - 66 percent carbohydrate on the basis of dry weight (Shen, 2021) [24].

In India about 49 lakh ha area was covered under green gram and the production was about 26 lakh ton in 2022-23. The states of Rajasthan 23.25 lakh ha, Karnataka 4.14 lakh ha, Madhya Pradesh 5.08 lakh ha, Maharashtra 4.21 lakh ha and Bihar 1.69 lakh ha are the major producers of green gram in India. According to Government 3<sup>rd</sup> advance estimates, green gram production in 2022-23 is at 3.74 million tonnes.

A variety of soil amendments, both artificial and natural, have been identified for their roles in enhancing soil fertility and improving plant production. These include phytohormones (Rady *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[20]</sup>, carbon-rich organic amendments (Kamran *et al.*, 2020; Kamran *et al.*, 2021), and synthetic mineral nutrients (Chen and Shi, 2016) <sup>[3]</sup>. These amendments support soil health and promote effective agricultural practices throughout the entire growth cycle of crop plants, from germination to harvest. (Iqbal *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[10]</sup>. Plant growth regulators (PGRs) enhancing photosynthesis, other growth factors such as root development, flowering, and fruit setting, contributing to a more productive crop. Their ability to optimize these physiological functions

makes them invaluable in modern agriculture, where maximizing yield and improving crop quality are essential goals. Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is known to play a critical role in various aspects of plant development. It influences root growth, promotes cell division, and facilitates cell elongation. Additionally, IAA is essential for the formation of adventitious roots, tissue swelling, and the induction of embryogenesis. It also contributes to callus initiation and the loosening of cell walls, even at low concentrations of this hormone (Pérez-Alonso et al., 2021) [18]. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) is a significant natural plant growth regulator (PGR) that promotes the growth, development, and yield of various crops. GA3 is a type of phytohormone belonging to the terpenoid class of compounds, characterized by a structure containing 19–20 carbon atoms. It is naturally produced in newly developed leaves and in the embryos of germinating seeds. Over 136 different species of gibberellic acid have been identified, highlighting its widespread presence and importance in plant physiology (Hedden, 2020) [9]. GA<sub>3</sub> achieves these effects by activating various metabolic processes, including the activities of crucial enzymes such as carbonic anhydrase (CA) and nitrate reductase (NR), which are essential for efficient nitrogen utilization (Wang et al., 2019) [28]. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) also promotes plant growth and development by improving water uptake in plant tissues. It enhances the synthesis of photosynthetic pigments and boosts the process of photosynthesis. Additionally, GA3 plays a crucial role in facilitating flower formation and fruit set in legumes. Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) is known to enhance cell division and expansion, as well as promote fruit blossoming. It also helps to reduce fruit drop, thereby contributing to increased overall vield (Usha et al., 2023) [26]. Kinetin, a synthetic cytokinin, is utilized to bolster plant resistance to stress and to delay the aging process (Mughal et al., 2024) [15]. Recent research has demonstrated that applying various concentrations of kinetin to the leaves of mung beans at the onset of flowering can significantly improve photosynthetic efficiency, enhance protective enzyme activity, and boost overall yield (Wang et al., 2015) [27].

Growth retardants such as maleic hydrazide can elevate endogenous ethylene levels, which in turn activates various metabolic processes and influences the carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratio in plants. This hormonal adjustment helps stimulate flowering, improve fruit set, and balance the sex ratio of the flowers, ultimately leading to increased crop yield (Sharma et al., 2022) [22]. Likewise, TIBA (2,3,5-Triiodobenzoic acid), a synthetic growth regulator, is employed to manage excessive vegetative growth and reduce the tendency of plants to overproduce foliage. It helps minimize the premature shedding of flowers and immature pods, while also modifying the crop canopy to enhance overall productivity. Additionally, TIBA's application is believed to improve the availability of assimilates by directing the translocation of photosynthetic products through hormonal regulation. It has been well-documented that plant growth hormones enhance the absorption of nutrients from the soil and boost metabolic activity within plant cells. By promoting more efficient nutrient uptake, these hormones facilitate better growth and development. Additionally, they stimulate various metabolic processes within the plant, contributing to improved overall physiological function and productivity (Engels et al., 2012) [4]. TIBA (2, 3, 5triiodobenzoic acid), a synthetic growth retardant, is employed to alter plant canopies and enhance growth and yield characteristics in various pulse crops. By modifying the canopy structure, TIBA helps optimize light interception and resource

allocation, leading to better crop performance.

This study is designed to systematically assess the impact of selected bioregulators on mung bean growth and development. By evaluating different bioregulators, we aim to identify the most effective treatments for improving mung bean phenological study and growth analysis. Ultimately, these advancements will support food security and improve farmers' livelihoods by boosting productivity and profitability in mung bean farming.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1 Experimental site

The experiment was conducted on Student's Instructional Farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) during Kharif-2023 and Kharif-2024. Kanpur is situated at a latitude of 260 29' 35" North and an east longitude of 800 18' 35" and is situated at a height of 125.9 meters above Mean Sea Level. The average annual rainfall is approximately 816 millimeters.

## 2.2 Crop husbandry

The experimental field was levelled and prepared with irrigation to achieve optimum tilth before ploughing and layout as per the experimental design. A recommended basal dose of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and sulfur was applied in a ratio of 20:40:20 using urea, single superphosphate, and muriate of potash. Mung bean variety IPM 205-07 (Virat) was sown at a spacing of 45 x 10 cm. The sowing operations were completed under optimum moisture condition by dibbling method, putting two seed at each dibbled. To manage weeds, two hand weedings and one hoeing were performed for each sowing treatment. For pest control, monocrotophos was sprayed according to recommendations. Additionally, the plots received treatments of IAA, MH, GA<sub>3</sub>, TIBA, and Kinetin, applied with a hand sprayer at the flowering initiation stage. Spraying was conducted during the morning hours, between 8:00 and 10:00 A.M.

## 2.3 Treatment details

The experiment consists of thirty three plots in three replication and each replication had eleven plots.

Symbol **Treatment Combinations** Control (Unsprayed)  $T_1$ IAA@40ppm  $T_2$ T3 IAA@60ppm MH@250ppm  $T_4$ MH@375ppm  $T_5$ GA<sub>3</sub>@40ppm  $T_6$ GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm  $T_7$ TIBA@40ppm  $T_8$ T9 TIBA@60ppm Kinetin@10ppm  $T_{10}$ Kinetin@15ppm  $T_{11}$ 

Table 1: Details of the treatments

## 2.4 Observation recorded

#### 2.4.1 Phenological observations

## (a) Days to flowering initiation

The number of days from the date of sowing to flowering initiation was recorded and average was taken.

## (b) Days to 50% Flowering

The number of days from the date of sowing to 50% flowering was recorded and average was taken.

#### (c) Days to maturity

The number of days taken from the time of sowing of seed to the time of physiological maturity was recorded and average was taken.

## 2.4.2 Growth analysis

## (a) Crop growth rate (g/m²/day)

The method was suggested by Watson (1956). Crop growth rate (CGR) of five randomly selected plant form each plot was calculated by using the formula:

Crop Growth Rate (CGR) = 
$$\frac{(W2 - W1)}{P(T2 - T1)}$$

Where,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are whole plant dry weight at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  respectively  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are time interval in days, P is ground area.

#### (b) Relative growth rate (mg/g/day)

Relative growth rate of five randomly selected plant form each plot was calculated by using the following formula given by Fisher (1921) [5]:

Relative Growth Rate (RGR) = 
$$\frac{\text{Loge W2} - \text{Loge W1}}{\text{t2} - \text{t1}}$$

Where,

 $\log e = Natural \log arithm to the base (e = 2.3026)$ 

 $W_1 = Dry$  weight of plants (g) at time  $t_1$ 

 $W_2 = Dry$  weight of plants (g) at time  $t_2$ .

## (c) Relative water content (%)

Relative water content of five randomly selected plant form each plot was calculated according to the method given by Weatherly and Slatyer, (1957) [30] as follows.

$$Relative \ Water \ Content(RWC) = \frac{(Fresh \ Weight - Dry \ Weight)}{(Turgid \ Weight - Dry \ Weight)} x 100$$

## 2.5 Statistical analysis

The growth analysis and phenological parameters were recorded and analyzed as per Gomez and Gomez (1984) [7] the tested at 5% level of significance to interpret the significant differences.

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1 Phenological study

The number of days to flowering initiation varied significantly among the treatments for both the years and it ranged from 28.07 to 32.40 days in the year 2023 and 26.47 to 30.80 days in the year 2024 (Table 2). It depicted a clear reduction in days to flowering initiation in subsequent year. In 2023, the least number of days to flowering initiation was recorded in T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm) which took 28.07 days was on par with T<sub>7</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@60 ppm) (28.40 days). The treatments T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> depicted 8.76% and 7.50% reduction in days to flowering initiation as compared to control (unsprayed). These treatments were immediately followed by T2 (IAA@40 ppm) which took 30.13 days, while the maximum number of days to flowering initiation (32.40 days) was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (Kinetin@15 ppm) which was on par with T<sub>10</sub> (Kintin@10ppm) which took 32.20 days. In 2024, the least number of days to flowering initiation was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@60 ppm) which took 26.47 days was on par with  $T_6$  (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm) (26.53 days). The treatments  $T_6$ and T<sub>7</sub> depicted 9.57% and 9.82% reduction in days to flowering initiation as compared to control (unsprayed). These treatments

were immediately followed by  $T_2$  (IAA@40 ppm) which took 28.67 days, while the maximum number of days to flowering initiation (30.80 days) was recorded in treatment  $T_{11}$  (Kinetin@15 ppm) which was on par with  $T_{10}$  (Kintin@10ppm) which took 30.73 days. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of Gelmesa  $\it et al.$  (2012)  $^{[6]}$  and Harsh  $\it et al.$  (2024)  $^{[8]}$ 

The data pertaining to days to 50% flowering is presented in Table-2 and varied significantly for both the years among the treatments studied. In the year 2023, days to 50% flowering ranged from 30.13 to 34.27 days, while in the case of 2024, it ranged from 28.60 to 32.80 days. It depicted a clear reduction in days to 50% flowering in subsequent year. Furthermore, the pooled data of days to 50% flowering ranged from 29.39 to 33.53 days. In both the years (2023 and 2024), the least number of days taken to 50% flowering was recorded in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm), with 30.13 and 28.60 days, respectively, which was on par with  $T_7$  (GA<sub>3</sub>@60 ppm), which took 30.60 and 28.67 days, respectively. These treatments were immediately followed by  $T_5$  (MH@375 ppm) with 32.33 days in the year 2023 and  $T_2$ (IAA@40 ppm) with 31.47 days in the year 2024. The maximum number of days to 50% flowering was however, recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (Kinetin@15 ppm) in both the years, with 34.27 days in 2023 and 32.80 days in 2024. These results are in conformity with finding of Patel et al. (2011) [17] and Sharma et al. (2024) [21].

It is inferred from Table-2 that significant difference among the treatments for number of days to maturity during both of the years. In the first year (2023) of the experiment, number of days taken to maturity ranged from 52.00 to 56.00 days, while in the case of second year (2024), it ranged from 50.73 to 54.93 days. The pooled data, however, ranged from 51.37 to 55.40 days. During the first year (2023), the minimum days to maturity was recorded in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm) with 52.00 days which was on par with T<sub>7</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@60 ppm) recorded 52.07 days. These treatments were immediately followed by T<sub>3</sub> (IAA@60 ppm) with 54.87 days. While, the maximum days to maturity was recorded in treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Kinetin@10 ppm) with 56.00 days. In case of second year (2024) of the experiment, the minimum days to maturity was recorded in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm) with 50.73 days which was on par with T<sub>7</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@60 ppm) recorded 51.00 days. These treatments were followed by T2 (IAA@40 ppm) and T<sub>3</sub> (IAA@60 ppm), which recorded 53.53 days. Furthermore, the maximum days to maturity was recorded in  $T_{11}$ (Kinetin@15 ppm) with 54.93 days. These results are in line with finding of Thomson et al. (2015) [25] and Rai et al. (2019)

# 3.2 Growth analysis

The crop growth rate recorded during 2023 and 2024 ranged from 11.12 to 15.38 g/m²/day and 10.37 to 16.14 g/m²/day, respectively. Furthermore, the pooled data carried out from the results of both the years ranged from 10.74 to 15.76 g/m²/day (Table-3). The data pertaining to the crop growth rate recorded during 2023 and 2024, was recorded maximum in the same treatment T<sub>11</sub> (Kinetin@15ppm) with values 15.38 and 16.14 g/m²/day, respectively, which were on par with treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Kinetin@10 ppm) recorded a value of 14.41 and 16.05 g/m²/day, respectively. While, the minimum crop growth rate of 11.12 and 10.37 g/m²/day were recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (MH@250 ppm). Similar findings were reported by Nabi *et al.* (2014) [16] and Bobade *et al.* (2016) [2] and Adam *et al.* (2014) [11]. The result pertaining to relative growth rate recorded during

2023 ranged from 0.19 to 0.27 mg/g/day, while during 2024, it ranged from 0.18 to 0.28 mg/g/day. The pooled data ranged from 0.19 to 0.27 mg/g/day (Table-3). In the year 2023, the maximum relative growth rate of 0.27 mg/g/day was recorded in treatment  $T_{11}$  (Kinetin@15ppm) followed by treatment  $T_{10}$ (Kinetin@10 ppm) which recorded a relative growth rate of 0.25 mg/g/day. However, the minimum relative growth rate of 0.19 mg/g/day was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (MH@250ppm). In case of the experiment carried out in the year 2024, the maximum relative growth rate of 0.28 mg/g/day was recorded in two namely  $T_{10}$  (Kinetin@10 ppm) (Kinetin@15ppm) followed by treatments T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@40 ppm) and T<sub>7</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm), which recorded relative growth rate of 0.25 mg/g/day. While the minimum relative growth rate of 0.18 mg/g/day was recorded in treatments T<sub>4</sub> (MH@250 ppm) and T<sub>5</sub> (MH@375 ppm). These results are in accordance with the findings of Suryawanshi et al. (2017) [24] and Iqbal et al. (2017)

The results pertaining to the relative water content across all the eleven treatments are furnished in Table-3. The data recorded during 2023 ranged from 63.36 to 70.54%, while during 2024, it ranged from 63.70 to 70.64%. The pooled data, however ranged from 64.17 to 70.48%. The data recorded during 2023 revealed that, the maximum relative water content of 70.54% was obtained in treatment T<sub>10</sub> (Kinetin@10ppm) which was on par with  $T_6$  (69.16%) and  $T_{11}$  (70.16%). While, the minimum relative water content of 63.36% was recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (MH@375 ppm). During 2024, the maximum relative water content of 70.64 was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (Kinetin@15ppm) which was on par with T<sub>10</sub> (Kinetin@10 ppm) (70.43%). While, the minimum relative water content of 63.70% was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (MH@250 ppm). The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of Islam et al. (2019) [11] and Miri et al. (2021) [14].

Table 2: Effect of plant growth regulators on phenological studies of mung bean

Treatment Symbol	Treatment	Days to flowering initiation			Days to 50% Flowering			Days to maturity		
		Kharif-2023	Kharif-2024	Pooled	Kharif-2023	Kharif-2024	Pooled	Kharif-2023	Kharif-2024	Pooled
$T_1$	Control (Unsprayed)	30.53	29.07	29.80	33.00	31.73	32.37	54.87	53.73	54.30
$T_2$	IAA@40 ppm	30.13	28.67	29.40	32.80	31.47	32.13	54.93	53.53	54.23
T <sub>3</sub>	IAA@60 ppm	31.00	29.20	30.10	33.00	31.73	32.37	54.87	53.53	54.20
$T_4$	MH@250 ppm	31.47	29.67	30.57	34.00	32.47	33.23	55.40	54.07	54.73
$T_5$	MH@375 ppm	31.33	29.73	30.53	32.33	32.60	32.47	55.47	54.00	54.73
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40 ppm	28.07	26.53	27.30	30.13	28.60	29.37	52.00	50.73	51.37
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60 ppm	28.40	26.47	27.43	30.60	28.67	29.63	52.07	51.00	51.53
T <sub>8</sub>	TIBA@40 ppm	30.80	29.67	30.23	33.20	32.33	32.77	55.27	54.00	54.63
T9	TIBA@60 ppm	31.67	30.07	30.87	33.60	32.13	32.87	55.00	53.67	54.33
T <sub>10</sub>	Kinetin@10 ppm	32.20	30.73	31.47	33.60	32.53	33.07	56.00	54.67	55.33
T <sub>11</sub>	Kinetin@15 ppm	32.40	30.80	31.60	34.27	32.80	33.53	55.87	54.93	55.40
S.E. (m) (±)		0.27	0.15	0.21	0.57	0.10	0.33	0.15	0.16	0.16
C.D. at 5%		0.80	0.44	0.62	1.67	0.30	0.98	0.45	0.47	0.46

Table 3: Effect of plant growth regulators on growth analysis of mung bean

Treatment	Treatment	Crop growth rate (g/m²/day)			Relative growth rate (mg/g/day)			Relative water content (%)		
Symbol	Treatment	Kharif-2023	Kharif-2024	Pooled	Kharif-2023	Kharif-2024	Pooled	Kharif-2023	Kharif-2024	Pooled
$T_1$	Control (Unsprayed)	13.57	13.14	13.36	0.24	0.23	0.23	66.17	65.09	65.63
$T_2$	IAA@40ppm	13.31	13.34	13.33	0.23	0.23	0.23	65.85	66.38	66.12
T <sub>3</sub>	IAA@60ppm	13.17	13.20	13.19	0.23	0.23	0.23	66.01	66.43	66.22
$T_4$	MH@250ppm	11.12	10.37	10.74	0.19	0.18	0.19	64.63	63.70	64.17
T <sub>5</sub>	MH@375ppm	11.38	10.39	10.89	0.20	0.18	0.19	63.36	63.90	63.63
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40ppm	12.94	14.35	13.65	0.23	0.25	0.24	69.16	68.73	68.95
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60ppm	13.80	14.30	14.05	0.24	0.25	0.24	68.48	68.78	68.63
T <sub>8</sub>	TIBA@40ppm	13.52	13.28	13.40	0.24	0.23	0.23	67.16	65.97	66.57
T <sub>9</sub>	TIBA@60ppm	13.60	13.80	13.70	0.24	0.24	0.24	66.05	66.29	66.17
$T_{10}$	Kinetin@10ppm	14.41	16.05	15.23	0.25	0.28	0.26	70.54	70.43	70.48
T <sub>11</sub>	Kinetin@15ppm	15.38	16.14	15.76	0.27	0.28	0.27	70.16	70.64	70.40
S.E. (m) (±)		0.45	0.18	0.32	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.68	0.15	0.41
C.D. at 5%		1.33	0.53	0.93	0.02	0.01	0.02	2.00	0.43	1.22

#### 4. Conclusion

The study on the effects of plant growth regulators (PGRs) on mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) demonstrated significant impacts on both phenological and growth parameters under the central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. Among the treatments, application of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) @ 40 and 60 ppm consistently resulted in the earliest flowering initiation and maturity, whereas applications of Kinetin @ 10 and 15 ppm consistently resulted the highest crop growth rate, relative growth rate, and relative water content. Specifically, applications of Kinetin @ 10 and 15 ppm and application of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) @ 40 and 60 ppm significantly enhanced growth metrics compared to control and

other PGR treatments, indicating its vital role in improving mung bean productivity.

## 5. References

- 1. Adam AG, Jahan N. Growth and yield of BARI Mung-5 (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) following TIBA application. Dhaka Univ J Biol Sci. 2014;23:179-85.
- 2. Bobade P, Deotale R, Wagh Y, Gare S, Jaybhaye V. Growth and yield of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) following MH and TIBA application. J Soils Crops. 2016:26:98-106.
- 3. Chen G, Shi L. A Multi-Element Mineral Conditioner: A

- Supplement of Essential Cations for Red Soil and Crops Growth. CLEAN–Soil Air Water. 2016;44:1690-9.
- 4. Engels C, Kirkby E, White P. Mineral nutrition, yield and source–sink relationships. In: Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press; 2012. p. 85-133.
- 5. Fisher RA. Some remarks on the methods formulated in a recent article on 'The quantitative analysis of plant growth'. Ann Appl Biol. 1921;7(4):367-72.
- 6. Gelmesa D, Abebie B, Desalegn L. Regulation of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) fruit setting and earliness by gibberellic acid and 2, 4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid application. Afr J Biotechnol. 2012;11:11200-6.
- 7. Gomez KA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. New York: Wiley and Sons; 1984.
- 8. Harsh P, Priyal P, Chhodavadiya RJ, Parmar MJ. Path Coefficient Analysis to Evaluate Seed Yield in Green Gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek]: A Comprehensive Study. Int J Environ Clim Change. 2024;14(1):558-64.
- 9. Hedden P. The current status of research on gibberellin biosynthesis. Plant Cell Physiol. 2020;61:1832-49.
- 10. Iqbal N, Khan NA, Ferrante A, Trivellini A, Francini A, Khan M. Ethylene role in plant growth, development and senescence: interaction with other phytohormones. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:475.
- 11. Islam S. TIBA induced morphological and root anatomical changes in lentil. J Bangladesh Acad Sci. 2019;43.
- 12. Kamran M, Huang L, Nie J, Geng M, Lu Y, Liao Y, *et al.* Effect of reduced mineral fertilization (NPK) combined with green manure on aggregate stability and soil organic carbon fractions in a fluvo-aquic paddy soil. Soil Tillage Res. 2021;211:105005.
- 13. Kamran M, Malik Z, Parveen A, Huang L, Riaz M, Bashir S, *et al.* Ameliorative effects of biochar on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) growth and heavy metal immobilization in soil irrigated with untreated wastewater. J Plant Growth Regul. 2020;39:266-81.
- 14. Miri M, Ghooshchi F, Tohidi-Moghadam HR, Larijani HR, Kasraie P. Ameliorative effects of foliar spray of glycine betaine and gibberellic acid on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) yield affected by drought stress. Arab J Geosci. 2021;14:830.
- 15. Mughal N, Shoaib N, Chen J, He Y, Fu M, Li X, *et al.* Adaptive roles of cytokinins in enhancing plant resilience and yield against environmental stressors. Chemosphere. 2024;143189.
- 16. Nabi AN, Hasan M, Alam M, Islam M, Islam M. Responses of gibberellic acid (GA3) on growth and yield of cowpea cv. BARI Falon-1 (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). J Environ Sci Nat Resour. 2014;7:7-12.
- 17. Patel H, Patel H, Sitapara H, Nayee D. Influence of plant growth regulators on growth and green pod yield of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp] cv. ANAND VEG. COWPEA-1. Asian J Hortic. 2011;6:491-5.
- 18. Pérez-Alonso MM, Ortiz-García P, Moya-Cuevas J, Lehmann T, Sánchez-Parra B, Björk RG, *et al.* Endogenous indole-3-acetamide levels contribute to the crosstalk between auxin and abscisic acid, and trigger plant stress responses in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot. 2021;72:459-75.
- 19. Rady MM, Boriek SH, Abd El-Mageed TA, Seif El-Yazal MA, Ali EF, Hassan FA, *et al.* Exogenous gibberellic acid or dilute bee honey boosts drought stress tolerance in Vicia faba by rebalancing osmoprotectants, antioxidants, nutrients, and phytohormones. Plants. 2021;10(4):748.
- 20. Rai AK, Gupta D, Rai PK, Pal AK. Effect of plant growth

- regulators on growth, yield and yield attributing traits of green gram [*Vigna radiata* L.]. IJCS. 2019;7(3):4483-5.
- 21. Sharma D, Kumar R, Renuka UR, Khatoon A, Kumari S, Tutlani A. Effect of plant growth regulators on qualitative, growth, yield and its attributing traits in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Plant Arch. 2024;24:131-8.
- 22. Sharma S, Kaur P, Gaikwad K. Role of cytokinins in seed development in pulses and oilseed crops: Current status and future perspective. Front Genet. 2022;13:940660.
- 23. Shen Y. Developing and characterizing functionally enhanced plant proteins for food applications. Kansas State University; 2021.
- 24. Suryawanshi V, Deotale R, Jadhav G, Charjan S, Bhaskarwar A, Lambat A. Influence of Maleic Hydrazide and Triiodobenzoic acid On Morpho-Physiological Traits, Yield and yield Contributing parameters of Mustard. Imperial J Interdiscip Res. 2017;3:1133-42.
- 25. Thomson T, Patel G, Pandya K, Dabhi J, Pawar Y. Effect of plant growth substances and antioxidants on growth, flowering, yield and economics of garden pea, *Pisum sativum* L cv Bonneville. Int J Farm Sci. 2015;5:8-13.
- 26. Usha R, Debbarma V, Thejas MS. Influence of Micro Nutrients and Naphthalene Acetic Acid on Growth, Yield and Economics of Summer Greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Int J Environ Clim Change. 2023;13(5):123-31.
- 27. Wang M, Dong C, Fu Y, Liu H. Growth, morphological and photosynthetic characteristics, antioxidant capacity, biomass yield and water use efficiency of Gynura bicolor DC exposed to super-elevated CO2. Acta Astronautica. 2015;114:138-46.
- 28. Wang YH, Zhang G, Chen Y, Gao J, Sun YR, Sun MF, Chen JP. Exogenous application of gibberellic acid and ascorbic acid improved tolerance of okra seedlings to NaCl stress. Acta Physiol Plant. 2019;41:1-10.
- 29. Watson DJ. Leaf growth in relation to crop yield. In: The Growth of Leaves. F. L. Milthorpe, editor. Proceedings of the Third Easter School in Agricultural Science, University of Nottingham; c1956. p. 178-190.
- 30. Weatherley PE, Slatyer RO. Relationship between relative turgidity and diffusion pressure deficit in leaves. Nature. 1957;179(4569):1085-1086.