E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
www.agronomyjournals.com
2024; SP-7(9): 1001-1009
Received: 06-07-2024
Accepted: 14-08-2024

Dr. Nagaraja G
Assistant Professor of Agricultural Engineering, College of Horticulture, Munirabad, Koppal. University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Dr. Shoba H
Assistant Professor of Agricultural Engineering, College of Horticulture, Munirabad, Koppal. University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Dr. Sreedevi MS
Assistant Professor, AICRP (FIM), Zonal Agricultural Research Station, V.C. Farm, Mandya, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Dr. Krishnamma PN
Assistant Professor, Department of Processing and Food Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Nagaraja G
Assistant Professor of Agricultural Engineering, College of Horticulture, Munirabad, Koppal. University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

The impact of robotics and drones on agricultural efficiency and productivity

Dr. Nagaraja G, Dr. Shoba H, Dr. Sreedevi MS and Dr. Krishnamma PN

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i9Sn.1650

Abstract
Agriculture is being transformed by automation, improving efficiency and production. With its accuracy and consistency, robotics technology handles agricultural issues including labour shortages, production issues and sustainability. Use of drones and robotics enable more precision farming, reducing waste and optimising resource use. Drones with powerful sensors and images can accurately monitor crop health, diagnose illnesses, and estimate plant nutritional requirements. This data-driven strategy helps farmers to use fertilizers, herbicides, and water more wisely, decreasing environmental impact and increasing agricultural yields. Robotic devices help to solve agricultural labour shortages that have persisted for years. Robotics in agriculture saves money over time. The initial investment in drones and robotic technology may be significant, but the decrease in labour expenses and improvement in production may help farmers economically. Robotics and drones improves efficiency and stimulates sustainable agriculture innovation. Precision agriculture uses real-time data to apply inputs. Automated systems can do this. This optimizes resource utilization and decreases farming's environmental impact. Robotic technology is also helping create sustainable agricultural techniques like vertical farming and indoor agriculture, where robots and drones supervise the whole growing process from sowing to harvesting. Robots and drones are improving agriculture's efficiency, production, and sustainability. Robotics and drones in agriculture will undoubtedly rise as technology advances, creating new chances for innovation and growth.

Keywords: Production, data, environmental, drones, labour, robots, drones

Introduction

Agriculture has been the backbone of human civilization, evolving from simple, subsistence-based practices to the highly sophisticated and technology-driven systems we see today. The journey of agriculture is a testament to human ingenuity and the continuous quest to improve food production and security [1]. Historically, agriculture began with the domestication of plants and animals, marking the transition from nomadic hunter-gatherer societies to settled farming communities. This shift, often referred to as the Agricultural Revolution, occurred around 10,000 BCE and laid the foundation for the development of cities and complex societies [2]. Early agricultural practices were rudimentary, relying heavily on manual labour and basic tools. Farmers cleared land using simple implements like hoes and ploughs, and irrigation was achieved through labour-intensive methods, such as digging channels from nearby water sources [3]. Crop selection was limited to native species, and farming was primarily done at a small scale, with little understanding of soil health, crop rotation, or pest management. These early practices, while ground breaking for their time, were inefficient and vulnerable to the whims of nature, such as droughts, floods, and pest infestations [4].

The evolution of agriculture took a significant leap forward during the middle Ages with the introduction of more advanced tools and techniques. The heavy plough, for example, allowed farmers to cultivate heavier, more fertile soils in Northern Europe, leading to increased food production [5]. The three-field system of crop rotation improved soil fertility and reduced the risk of crop failure. However, even with these advancements, agriculture remained largely manual and labour-intensive, with productivity limited by the physical capabilities of the human workforce [6].

The next major transformation in agriculture came with the Industrial Revolution in the 18th and 19th centuries. This period saw the introduction of mechanization, which dramatically changed farming practices [7-9]. The invention of the seed drill by Jethro Tull, the reaper by Cyrus McCormick, and the steam-powered tractor revolutionized planting, harvesting, and tilling processes [10]. These innovations reduced the reliance on manual labour, increased the scale of farming operations, and paved the way for the commercial agriculture industry. The Green Revolution of the mid-20th century further accelerated this transformation, introducing high-yield crop varieties, synthetic fertilizers, and chemical pesticides [11-14]. These developments led to a significant increase in food production, helping to alleviate hunger and support a growing global population [15].

In recent decades, agriculture has entered a new era, characterized by the integration of advanced technologies such as genetic engineering, precision farming, and, most notably, robotics and drones. Today, agriculture is no longer just about producing food; it is about doing so in a way that is efficient, sustainable, and capable of meeting the demands of a rapidly expanding global population [16]. Robotics and drones in particular, are playing a pivotal role in this evolution, offering solutions to many of the challenges faced by modern agriculture. From automating labour-intensive tasks to optimizing resource use and reducing environmental impact, robotics and drones are at the forefront of the next agricultural revolution, promising to enhance efficiency and productivity in ways previously unimaginable [17, 18].

Emergence of Robotics and Drones in Agriculture

Among the most important technical developments in the history of farming, the introduction of robots into the agricultural sector is one of the most revolutionary developments. As a result of population expansion, climate change, and urbanization, the demand for food on a worldwide scale continues to increase [19, 20]. As a result, the agricultural industry is under growing pressure to produce more with fewer resources. Even though they have been successful for centuries, traditional agricultural practices are no longer enough to handle the problems that are now being faced. Consequently, this has resulted in the use of robots, which has the potential to transform farming by enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and sustainability [21, 22].

At the same time as improvements were being made in automation and artificial intelligence (AI), the idea of using robots in agricultural settings started to gain popularity in the latter half of the 20th century. Initially, applications were mostly focused on automating labour-intensive and time-consuming repetitive chores, such as planting, weeding, and harvesting [23]. These jobs are examples of repetitive tasks. These early agricultural robots were extremely simple, and their capabilities were often restricted to carrying out certain tasks under predetermined circumstances. However, they were crucial in laying the framework for subsequent systems that would be more sophisticated [24].

One of the earliest and most significant applications of robotics in agriculture was the creation of harvesters and tractors that could operate without human interventions. Utilizing global positioning system (GPS) technology and a variety of sensors, these robots have the capability to traverse fields with a high degree of accuracy, hence decreasing the need for human interaction. Autonomous tractors, for example, can plough, sow, and spray crops with minimum input from farmers, freeing up labour for other chores and decreasing the physical strain on workers [25, 26]. Similarly, for the purpose of picking fruits and vegetables, robotic harvesters have been developed. These harvesters are able to operate at a level of speed and consistency that cannot be matched by human workers.

When it comes to agricultural drones, also known as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), represent yet another significant accomplishment [28]. In the beginning, drones were used for military and surveillance purposes; however, they have since been modified for usage in agriculture, where they are employed to monitor crops, evaluate soil conditions, and even administer pesticides and fertilizers [29, 30]. Farmers are able to get comprehensive and real-time data on the health of their crops via the use of drones that are equipped with high-resolution cameras and multispectral sensors. This enables them to make more informed choices about irrigation, fertilization, and pest management. Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) have become a vital instrument in the field of precision agriculture due to their capacity to correctly and rapidly monitor enormous regions [31, 32].

The level of sophistication and capabilities of agricultural robots and drones haves increased in tandem with the progression of technology. Robotics, drones, artificial intelligence, and machine learning are frequently combined in modern systems, which enables them to carry out more complex tasks with a greater degree of autonomy. For instance, some robots are now capable of recognizing and harvesting ripe fruits while leaving immature fruits on the plant. This is a job that demands both accuracy and the capacity to make decisions. In a similar vein, robotic systems have been developed to manage the entirety of greenhouse operations, from planting to harvesting, while maintaining controlled environmental conditions [33, 34].

In addition, the necessity to solve labour shortages has been a driving factor for the incorporation of robots and drones into agriculture. This is especially true in places where agricultural workforces are either becoming older or decreasing in size. As a result of the fact that the pool of available agricultural labour has been decreasing for years in many affluent nations, it has become more difficult for farmers to maintain their output. The use of robotics and drones provides a solution by automating processes that have historically required a significant amount of manpower. This eliminates the need for a big staff and enables farms to maintain their competitive edge [35-37].

In addition, robots is playing a significant part in the advancement of environmentally responsible agricultural techniques. Precision agriculture, which is primarily dependent on robotic technology, enables the focused application of things like water, fertilizers, and pesticides [38]. This helps to reduce the amount of waste that is produced and the negative influence that farming has on the environment. Likewise, robotic weeders have the ability to eliminate weeds without the usage of chemical pesticides, hence minimizing the amount of dangerous chemicals that are used in agricultural practices [39, 40].

The introduction of robots and drones into the agricultural industry has not only resulted in these practical uses, but it has also generated a surge of innovation and investment in the industrial sector. There has been a growing emphasis among start-ups and technology businesses on the development of new robotic and drones for farming solution. These solutions range from artificial intelligence-driven analytics platforms to sophisticated robotic and drones systems that are capable of performing numerous jobs. As a result of this rush of innovation, the use of robots and drones in agriculture is growing, making it more accessible to farmers of all sizes and propelling the sector towards a future that is more technologically sophisticated [41].

Types of Robotics used in agriculture

Robotics in agriculture refers to the application of autonomous machines and systems that perform farming tasks traditionally carried out by human labour. These machines are equipped with advanced sensors, artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning algorithms that enable them to operate with high precision and efficiency [42]. The use of robotics in agriculture is transforming the industry by automating various aspects of farming, from planting and harvesting to monitoring and managing crops. Below are some of the key types of robotics used in agriculture:

Autonomous Tractors and Vehicles

Autonomous tractors and vehicles are among the most widely adopted forms of robotics in agriculture. These machines are equipped with GPS, sensors, and AI to navigate fields autonomously, performing tasks such as ploughing, seeding, and spraying without the need for a human operator. Autonomous tractors can follow pre-programmed paths or adjust their routes in real-time based on field conditions [43]. They reduce the need for manual labour, increase operational efficiency, and can work around the clock, significantly boosting productivity. The precision offered by these machines also helps in minimizing waste and ensuring that inputs like seeds and fertilizers are applied accurately [44].

Drones and UAVs

Drones, or unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), have become indispensable tools in modern agriculture. These aerial robots are used for a wide range of applications, including crop monitoring, soil analysis, and precision spraying. Drones are equipped with high-resolution cameras and multispectral sensors that capture detailed images and data from above [45]. This data can be used to assess crop health, detect pest infestations, monitor irrigation levels, and even create 3D maps of fields. By providing real-time insights, drones help farmers make informed decisions, optimize resource use, and respond quickly to potential issues, thereby improving crop yields and reducing environmental impact [46].

Robotic Harvesters

Robotic harvesters are designed to automate the process of picking fruits, vegetables, and other crops. These robots use advanced vision systems and AI to identify ripe produce and harvest it with precision, minimizing damage to the crops. For example, strawberry-picking robots can carefully select ripe strawberries and place them in containers without bruising the fruit. Robotic harvesters are particularly beneficial in crops where timing is crucial, and the harvest window is short [47]. They also address labour shortages by reducing the reliance on seasonal workers, ensuring that crops are harvested at peak ripeness, which can improve quality and reduce post-harvest losses [48].

Automated Irrigation Systems

Automated irrigation systems use robotics and sensor technology to manage water distribution across fields with precision. These systems monitor soil moisture levels, weather conditions, and crop water needs in real-time, automatically adjusting irrigation schedules to optimize water usage [49]. By delivering the right amount of water at the right time, automated irrigation systems help conserve water, reduce waste, and prevent issues like over-irrigation, which can lead to soil erosion and nutrient leaching. Some advanced systems are even integrated with AI, allowing them to learn and adapt to changing environmental conditions, further improving efficiency and sustainability [50].

Soil Monitoring Robots

Soil monitoring robots are designed to analyse soil conditions and provide data on factors such as moisture content, nutrient levels, pH, and temperature. These robots can be either ground-based or aerial and are equipped with various sensors that probe the soil and collect data. The information gathered by soil monitoring robots is crucial for precision agriculture, as it helps farmers tailor their farming practices to the specific needs of their soil [51]. By ensuring that crops receive the right amount of nutrients and water, these robots contribute to healthier plants, higher yields, and more efficient use of resources. Additionally, continuous soil monitoring can help detect early signs of soil degradation, enabling timely interventions to maintain soil health [52].

Precision Agriculture

Robotics contributes to precision agriculture, the transition from manual to automated processes, the impact on labour efficiency, and case studies of robotics in different agricultural systems.

Topic	Explanation	References
Precision Agriculture	**Robotics in Precision Agriculture:** Robotics plays a crucial role in precision agriculture by enabling accurate data collection, analysis, and application. By using advanced sensors, drones, and AI-driven systems, robots gather detailed information on crop health, soil conditions, and environmental factors. This data is analysed in real-time, allowing for precise application of water, fertilizers, and pesticides. The result is optimized resource use, reduced waste, and increased crop yields. Precision agriculture through robotics also enhances sustainability by minimizing the environmental impact of farming practices.	▪ Zhang, Q., & Pierce, F. J. (2017). Agricultural Automation: Fundamentals and Practices. CRC Press. ▪ Balafoutis, A. T., *et al*. (2017). Precision Agriculture Technologies Positively Contributing to GHG Emissions Mitigation, Farm Productivity and Economics. Sustainability, 9(8), 1339.
Automation and Mechanization	**Transition from Manual to Automated Processes:** The introduction of robotics in agriculture marks a significant shift from labour-intensive manual processes to automated systems. Tasks such as planting, weeding, and harvesting, once done by hand, are now performed by robots with greater speed and accuracy. This transition not only increases operational efficiency but also allows for continuous farming operations, independent of human labour constraints.	▪ Grimstad, L., *et al*. (2020). Robotic Strawberry Harvesting: Linking the Agricultural Industry and the Robotics Community. IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, 27(3), 45-56.
	Impact on Labour Efficiency: Automation has a profound impact on labour efficiency in agriculture. By reducing the need for manual labour, robotics helps address labour shortages, particularly in regions with aging agricultural workforces. Robots can work around the clock without fatigue, increasing	▪ Robla, I., *et al*. (2019). Autonomous Robots for Precision Agriculture: A Systematic Review. IEEE Access, 7, 69938-69947.

	productivity and allowing for faster turnaround times between planting and harvesting cycles. This efficiency gain also translates into cost savings, as farms require fewer workers to achieve the same or higher levels of productivity.	
Case Studies	**Large-Scale Farming:** The use of autonomous tractors and robotic harvesters in large-scale farming operations has demonstrated significant gains in productivity. For example, John Deere's autonomous tractors can plough and seed large fields with precision, reducing input costs and improving yields.	▪ Pedersen, S. M., & Lind, K. M. (2017). Precision Agriculture and the Future of Farming in Europe. European Parliament.
	Greenhouse Farming: In greenhouse environments, robots are used for tasks like seeding, transplanting, and harvesting. Automated systems like the Iron Ox robotic farm use AI and robotics to manage entire greenhouse operations, optimizing plant growth and reducing human intervention.	▪ Backus, J., & Gage, J. (2018). The Role of Robotics in Greenhouse Agriculture. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 66(22), 5737-5746.
	Vertical Farming: Robotics is integral to vertical farming, where space is optimized through multi-layered crop production. Companies like Plenty use robotic systems to manage plant care, from seeding to harvesting, in vertical farms. These robots ensure optimal light, water, and nutrient delivery, maximizing yield per square foot.	▪ Banerjee, C., & Adenaeuer, L. (2014). Up, Up and Away! The Economics of Vertical Farming. Journal of Agricultural Studies, 2(1), 40-60.

Impact on Agricultural Efficiency
The integration of robotics into agriculture has had a profound impact on agricultural efficiency, revolutionizing the way farming operations are conducted. By automating labour-intensive tasks, optimizing resource usage, and enabling real-time decision-making, robotics significantly enhances the efficiency of agricultural processes across various domains [53]. Below are key areas where robotics has impacted agricultural efficiency:

1. Labour Efficiency
Robotics dramatically improves labour efficiency by automating tasks that traditionally required significant human labour. Tasks such as planting, weeding, and harvesting, which are repetitive and time-consuming, can now be performed by robots with greater speed and precision. This automation reduces the reliance on manual labour, particularly in regions facing labour shortages or where the agricultural workforce is aging [54]. As a result, farms can operate with fewer workers while maintaining or even increasing productivity. Additionally, robots can work continuously without fatigue, enabling 24/7 operations that maximize the use of available time, especially during critical periods like planting and harvesting seasons [55].

2. Precision and Accuracy
One of the most significant contributions of robotics to agricultural efficiency is the enhancement of precision in farming practices. Robots equipped with advanced sensors, cameras, and AI algorithms can perform tasks with a level of accuracy that surpasses human capabilities [56]. For example, robotic systems can apply fertilizers and pesticides with pinpoint accuracy, targeting only the areas that need treatment. This precision reduces the waste of inputs, lowers production costs, and minimizes the environmental impact of farming. In planting, robots can ensure seeds are placed at the optimal depth and spacing, leading to uniform crop emergence and improved yields [57].

3. Resource Optimization
Robotics plays a crucial role in optimizing the use of resources such as water, fertilizers, and energy. Automated irrigation systems, for example, use data from soil moisture sensors and weather forecasts to deliver the exact amount of water needed by crops, reducing water waste and preventing issues like over-irrigation [58]. Similarly, robots that monitor soil health can ensure that fertilizers are applied only when necessary, avoiding the overuse of chemicals that can lead to soil degradation and pollution. This efficient use of resources not only reduces production costs but also contributes to sustainable farming practices by minimizing the environmental footprint of agriculture [59].

4. Increased Productivity
The automation of agricultural tasks through robotics leads to increased productivity by allowing for faster and more consistent operations. For example, robotic harvesters can pick fruits and vegetables at a rate much higher than human workers, ensuring that crops are harvested at peak ripeness, which enhances quality and reduces post-harvest losses [60]. In crop management, drones and ground robots can quickly identify and address issues such as pest infestations or nutrient deficiencies, preventing potential yield losses. The ability of robots to operate continuously also means that productivity is no longer constrained by the availability of human labour, leading to higher overall output [61].

5. Data-Driven Decision-Making
Robotics is integral to the collection and analysis of data in modern agriculture, enabling more informed and timely decision-making. Robots equipped with sensors and AI can monitor various aspects of the farming environment, such as soil conditions, crop health, and weather patterns, in real-time [62]. This data is then analysed to provide actionable insights that help farmers optimize their operations. For instance, data collected by drones can be used to create precise maps of crop health, guiding decisions on where to apply treatments or adjust planting strategies. The use of data-driven approaches enhances the efficiency of farming practices, leading to better yields and reduced resource wastage [63].

6. Adaptability to Changing Conditions
Robotics enhances the adaptability of farming operations to changing environmental conditions. With climate change posing significant challenges to agriculture, the ability to quickly respond to shifts in weather, pest pressures, or market demands is crucial. Robots, with their real-time monitoring and autonomous decision-making capabilities, allow for rapid adjustments in farming practices. For example, in the face of an unexpected drought, automated irrigation systems can immediately adjust water delivery to conserve resources while maintaining crop health. This adaptability improves the resilience of farming systems, ensuring consistent productivity even under challenging conditions [64].

7. Reduced Time and Cost

The deployment of robotics in agriculture reduces the time required to complete various farming tasks, leading to faster turnaround times between planting and harvesting cycles. This time efficiency, coupled with the reduction in labour costs, translates into significant cost savings for farmers. Moreover, the precision and resource optimization provided by robotics further lower input costs, such as seeds, water, and fertilizers. These combined factors make farming more cost-effective and competitive, particularly for large-scale operations that can leverage economies of scale [65].

Economic Implications

Cost-Benefit Analysis

The economic implications of robotics in agriculture, focusing on cost-benefit analysis, scalability, market trends, and the impact on employment:

Topic	Explanation	References
Cost-Benefit Analysis		
Initial Investment in Robotics vs. Long-Term Savings	**Initial Investment:** Implementing robotics in agriculture requires significant upfront costs, including purchasing, installation, and training. For example, the cost of autonomous tractors, drones, and robotic harvesters can range from tens to hundreds of thousands of dollars depending on the technology and scale. **Long-Term Savings:** Despite the high initial investment, long-term savings are substantial. Robotics reduces labour costs, minimizes resource wastage (water, fertilizers), and enhances productivity, leading to higher yields and lower operational costs over time. These savings often outweigh the initial investment within a few years.	▪ King, A. (2017). Technology: The Future of Agriculture. *Nature*, 544(7651), S21-S23. ▪ Koundinya, V., & Kumar, S. (2018). Economic Impact of Robotics in Agriculture: Cost-Benefit Analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 31(2), 243-250.
ROI for Farmers Adopting Robotic Technologies	**ROI Calculation:** The return on investment (ROI) for adopting robotic technologies varies by crop type, farm size, and specific robotic applications. For large-scale farms, ROI can be realized within 2-5 years due to substantial labour savings and increased efficiency. In contrast, smaller farms may experience longer payback periods due to lower economies of scale. **Example:** Autonomous tractors on a large farm can lead to an ROI of over 20% annually, primarily through labour cost reductions and enhanced field productivity.	▪ Sharma, H., & Pandey, S. (2020). Economic Viability of Robotics in Agriculture: An ROI Perspective. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 52(3), 423-438.

Scalability of Robotic Solutions

Scalability of robotic solutions in agriculture, focusing on economic feasibility for various farm sizes and challenges faced by small-scale farmers:

Aspect	Description	References
Economic Feasibility for Small-Scale Farms	**High Initial Costs:** Small-scale farms often face barriers due to the high initial investment required for robotic technologies. **Limited Capital:** Small farms may have limited financial resources and access to credit, making it difficult to afford advanced robotic systems. **Economies of Scale:** Small-scale farms may not achieve the same economies of scale as larger operations, resulting in a longer payback period for the investment in robotics.	▪ Breisinger, C., *et al*. (2019). Smallholder Agriculture in the Digital Age: Economic Barriers and Solutions. *Food Policy*, 83, 139-147. ▪ Daum, T., *et al*. (2019). Smallholders and Digital Agriculture: Implications for Agricultural Mechanization. *Global Food Security*, 21, 21-27.
Economic Feasibility for Medium-Scale Farms	**Moderate Investment:** Medium-scale farms can more feasibly invest in robotic solutions compared to small farms due to relatively higher capital availability. **Selective Adoption:** These farms often adopt specific robotic technologies that offer high returns on investment, such as precision irrigation or automated harvesting systems. **Cost-Benefit Balance:** The benefits of robotics, including increased efficiency and productivity, can outweigh the costs, especially if the farm operates in a competitive market.	▪ Wossen, T., *et al*. (2020). The Economics of Smallholder Farming and Agricultural Mechanization. *World Development*, 132, 104957. ▪ Underwood, J. P., *et al*. (2017). The Role of Technology in Small-Scale Agriculture: Challenges and Opportunities. *Agricultural Systems*, 155, 32-39.
Economic Feasibility for Large-Scale Farms	**High Investment Capability:** Large-scale farms have the financial capacity to invest in expensive robotic systems and achieve significant economies of scale. **Rapid ROI:** The high efficiency and productivity gains from robotics can lead to a quick return on investment, often within 2-5 years. **Wide Adoption:** These farms can implement a broader range of robotic technologies, including autonomous tractors, robotic harvesters, and advanced irrigation systems, enhancing overall farm productivity.	▪ Koundinya, V., & Kumar, S. (2018). Economic Impact of Robotics in Agriculture: Cost-Benefit Analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 31(2), 243-250. ▪ Zhang, A., *et al*. (2020). The Impact of Robotics on Agricultural Employment: A Quantitative Assessment. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 42(2), 336-354.

Market Trends and Growth Potential

Market trends and growth potential of agricultural robotics, including current market size, projected growth, and regional adoption rates:

Aspect	Description	References
Current Market Size and Projected Growth	**Current Market Size:** As of 2023, the global agricultural robotics market is valued at approximately USD 5.4 billion. **Projected Growth:** The market is expected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 19.3% from 2024 to 2030. This growth is driven by advancements in technology, increased demand for automation, and the need for efficient resource management.	▪ Markets and Markets. (2023). Agricultural Robots Market by Type, Application, Offering, and Region - Global Forecast to 2030. ▪ Research and Markets. (2023). Agricultural Robots: Market Size, Trends, and Future Outlook 2024-2030.
Regional Analysis of Adoption Rates	**North America:** High adoption rates due to advanced infrastructure, significant investment in technology, and large-scale farming operations. **Europe:** Growing adoption driven by government support for precision agriculture, sustainability goals, and a strong agricultural technology sector. **Asia-Pacific:** Increasing adoption, particularly in Japan and China, driven by labor shortages, government initiatives, and rapid technological advancements. **Latin America & Africa:** Slower adoption due to economic constraints, smaller farm sizes, and limited access to advanced technologies. Adoption is gradually increasing with international aid and local innovations.	▪ McKinsey & Company. (2022). The Rise of Agricultural Robotics: Regional Analysis and Adoption Rates. ▪ FAO. (2023). Adoption of Digital Technologies in Agriculture: A Regional Perspective.

Environmental Sustainability of Agricultural Robotics and Drones

Agricultural robotics and drones play a significant role in promoting environmental sustainability by optimizing resource use, reducing waste, and mitigating the ecological impact of farming practices. Here's an overview of how agricultural robotics contribute to environmental sustainability:

1. Efficient Resource Use

Robotic technologies enable precise and efficient use of resources such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides. By utilizing advanced sensors and data analytics, robots can apply these inputs only where and when they are needed. This targeted application helps to minimize the excess use of resources, reducing waste and preventing runoff that can lead to soil and water pollution [66].

- **Water Efficiency:** Automated irrigation systems equipped with soil moisture sensors can deliver the exact amount of water required by crops, reducing water waste and conserving this vital resource. For example, drip irrigation robots can provide localized watering, minimizing evaporation and runoff [67].
- **Fertilizer and Pesticide Application:** Robots with precision application capabilities can apply fertilizers and pesticides in specific areas where deficiencies or pest problems are detected, rather than applying them uniformly across the entire field. This reduces the amount of chemicals used and minimizes their environmental impact [68].

2. Soil Health and Erosion Control

Robotic systems contribute to maintaining and improving soil health by minimizing soil disturbance and preventing erosion. Traditional farming practices often lead to soil compaction and erosion, but robotic technologies offer solutions to these problems [69].

- **Reduced Tillage:** Autonomous tractors and robotic tillers can perform minimal tillage, preserving soil structure and reducing erosion. By reducing the frequency and intensity of tillage, these robots help maintain soil health and prevent degradation [70].
- **Soil Monitoring:** Soil monitoring robots equipped with sensors can provide real-time data on soil health, including moisture levels, nutrient content, and compaction. This information allows farmers to make informed decisions about soil management practices that promote sustainability [71].

3. Reduction of Carbon Footprint

Agricultural robotics contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by improving operational efficiency and reducing the reliance on fossil fuels [72].

- **Energy Efficiency:** Electric-powered robotic systems and automated machinery reduce the need for diesel fuel, leading to lower carbon emissions. For instance, electric autonomous tractors and drones minimize the carbon footprint associated with traditional fuel-based machinery [73].
- **Optimized Operations:** Robots can perform tasks more efficiently than traditional methods, reducing the time and energy required for farming operations. For example, robotic harvesters can operate continuously and efficiently, leading to faster harvesting and reduced fuel consumption [74].

4. Waste Reduction and Recycling

Robotics in agriculture help reduce waste by enabling better management of crop residues and by-products.

- **Crop Residue Management:** Robots equipped with residue management systems can efficiently collect and process crop residues, turning them into valuable by-products like compost or bioenergy. This process reduces waste and supports circular economy practices in agriculture [75].
- **Efficient Harvesting:** Robotic harvesters are designed to minimize crop damage and waste by carefully picking ripe fruits and vegetables. This reduces the amount of produce that is left to rot in the field, contributing to overall waste reduction [76].

5. Biodiversity Preservation

Robotic systems can also support biodiversity preservation by promoting sustainable farming practices and minimizing habitat disruption.

- **Precision Farming:** By applying inputs precisely and reducing the need for broad-spectrum chemicals, robotics help maintain natural habitats and protect beneficial organisms, contributing to greater biodiversity on farms [77].
- **Minimal Disruption:** Robots designed for tasks such as planting, weeding, and harvesting can operate with minimal disruption to the surrounding environment, preserving natural habitats and promoting ecological balance [78].

Challenges and Limitations

While agricultural robotics offer numerous benefits, their adoption and integration into farming practices also present several challenges and limitations. Understanding these issues is crucial for optimizing the use of robotics in agriculture and addressing barriers to their widespread implementation [79].

1. High Initial Costs

One of the most significant challenges associated with agricultural robotics is the high initial investment required for purchasing and implementing robotic systems.

- **Upfront Costs:** The cost of advanced robotic technologies, including autonomous tractors, drones, and robotic harvesters, can be substantial. Small and medium-sized farms may struggle to afford these technologies without financial support or subsidies [80].
- **Return on Investment:** The payback period for robotic systems can be long, particularly for smaller farms. The high upfront costs need to be justified by significant gains in efficiency and productivity, which may not always be immediately realized [81].

2. Technical Complexity and Maintenance

The complexity of robotic systems and their maintenance requirements can pose significant challenges.

- **Technical Expertise:** Operating and maintaining advanced robotic systems require specialized knowledge and skills. Farmers may need additional training or hire skilled personnel to manage these technologies effectively [82].
- **Maintenance Costs:** Regular maintenance and repairs are necessary to ensure the optimal performance of robotic systems. The costs and logistics of maintaining these systems can be a burden, especially for smaller operations [83].

3. Limited Adaptability

Robotic systems may have limitations in adapting to diverse agricultural environments and crop types.

- **Versatility:** Many robotic systems are designed for specific tasks or crops. This lack of versatility can limit their applicability across different farming systems and reduce their overall utility [84].
- **Environmental Conditions:** Extreme weather conditions, soil types, and other environmental factors can affect the performance of robotic systems. Adaptations or modifications may be required to address these challenges [85].

4. Data Privacy and Security

The integration of robotics in agriculture often involves the collection and processing of large amounts of data, which raises concerns about data privacy and security.

- **Data Collection:** Robotic systems generate vast amounts of data related to crop health, soil conditions, and operational performance. Managing and protecting this data is crucial to prevent unauthorized access or misuse [86].
- **Cybersecurity Risks:** The increased reliance on digital technologies and connectivity introduces cybersecurity risks. Ensuring robust security measures is essential to protect against potential threats and breaches [87].

5. Integration with Existing Systems

Integrating robotic systems with existing agricultural practices and infrastructure can be challenging.

- **Compatibility:** Robotic systems must be compatible with existing machinery and farming practices. Integrating new technologies into established workflows may require modifications or additional investments [88].
- **System Coordination:** Coordinating the operation of multiple robotic systems and ensuring seamless interaction with other agricultural equipment can be complex and may require sophisticated software solutions [89].

6. Environmental Impact of Manufacturing and Disposal

The manufacturing and disposal of robotic systems have environmental implications that need to be considered.

- **Manufacturing Footprint:** The production of robotic systems involves the use of raw materials, energy, and potentially hazardous substances. The environmental impact of these processes must be evaluated and managed [90].
- **End-of-Life Disposal:** Proper disposal or recycling of robotic systems at the end of their lifecycle is essential to minimize environmental harm. E-waste management practices must be in place to handle electronic components and other materials [91].

7. Regulatory and Ethical Concerns

The deployment of agricultural robotics also involves navigating various regulatory and ethical considerations.

- **Regulations and Standards:** Different regions may have varying regulations and standards for agricultural robotics. Ensuring compliance with these regulations can be complex and may affect the adoption and deployment of robotic systems [92].
- **Ethical Considerations:** The use of robotics raises ethical questions about the impact on farm labour, job displacement, and the implications for rural communities. Addressing these concerns is essential for fostering acceptance and ensuring that the benefits of robotics are equitably distributed [93-95].

Conclusion

Agricultural robotics and drones have significantly impacted efficiency and productivity by enhancing precision in resource use, optimizing operations, and reducing waste. These technologies, such as autonomous tractors, drones, and robotic harvesters, streamline tasks, boost yields, and contribute to environmental sustainability. Looking ahead, robotics are poised to transform farming and food production, driving innovations that could address global food security challenges while managing resource constraints. However, balancing technological advancements with sustainable and ethical practices remains crucial. Policymakers and educators play vital roles in ensuring that the adoption of robotics in agriculture is responsible, equitable, and aligned with long-term environmental and social goals.

References

1. Zhang Q, Pierce FJ. Agricultural Automation: Fundamentals and Practices. CRC Press; 2017.
2. Balafoutis AT, Beck B, Fountas S, Vangeyte J, Van Der Wal T, Soto I, *et al*. Precision agriculture technologies positively contributing to GHG emissions mitigation, farm productivity and economics. Sustainability. 2017;9(8):1339.
3. Grimstad L, Heggem T, From P, Korsaeth A, Bjelland E, Bakken AK, *et al*. Robotic strawberry harvesting: Linking the agricultural industry and the robotics community. IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine. 2020;27(3):45-56.
4. Robla I, Martinez-de-Dios JR, Ollero A, Merino L. Autonomous robots for precision agriculture: A systematic review. IEEE Access. 2019;7:69938-69947.
5. Pedersen SM, Lind KM. Precision agriculture and the future

of farming in Europe. European Parliament; 2017.
6. Backus J, Gage J. The role of robotics in greenhouse agriculture. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2018;66(22):5737-5746.
7. Banerjee C, Adenaeuer L. Up, up and away! The economics of vertical farming. Journal of Agricultural Studies. 2014;2(1):40-60.
8. King A. Technology: The future of agriculture. Nature. 2017;544(7651).
9. Koundinya V, Kumar S. Economic impact of robotics in agriculture: Cost-benefit analysis. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2018;31(2):243-250.
10. Sharma H, Pandey S. Economic viability of robotics in agriculture: An ROI perspective. Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics. 2020;52(3):423-438.
11. Breisinger C, Thomas M, Thurlow J, Ringler C. Smallholder agriculture in the digital age: Economic barriers and solutions. Food Policy. 2019;83:139-147.
12. Daum T, Birner R, Nkegbe PK. Smallholders and digital agriculture: Implications for agricultural mechanization. Global Food Security. 2019;21:21-27.
13. Wossen T, Berger T, Haile MG. The economics of smallholder farming and agricultural mechanization. World Development. 2020;132:104957.
14. Underwood JP, Walsh KB, Kumar S. The role of technology in small-scale agriculture: Challenges and opportunities. Agricultural Systems. 2017;155:32-39.
15. Koundinya V, Kumar S. Economic impact of robotics in agriculture: Cost-benefit analysis. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2018;31(2):243-250.
16. Zhang A, Ye J, Luan Y, Gong W. The impact of robotics on agricultural employment: A quantitative assessment. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy. 2020;42(2):336-354.
17. MarketsandMarkets. Agricultural robots market by type, application, offering, and region - Global forecast to 2030. MarketsandMarkets; 2023.
18. Research and Markets. Agricultural robots: Market size, trends, and future outlook 2024-2030. Research and Markets; 2023.
19. McKinsey & Company. The rise of agricultural robotics: Regional analysis and adoption rates. McKinsey & Company; 2022.
20. FAO. Adoption of digital technologies in agriculture: A regional perspective. FAO; 2023.
21. Qiao F, Liu X, Zhou D, Feng H. Precision agriculture for sustainable water management. Agricultural Water Management. 2020;240:106297.
22. Liakos KG, Busato P, Moshou D, Pearson S, Bochtis D. Machine learning in agriculture: A review. Sensors. 2018;18(8):2674.
23. Schueller JR, Owino TO, Clark RL. Robotic soil sampling: Innovations in soil health monitoring. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 2019;83(2):460-471.
24. Basso B, Dumont B, Fiorentino C. Conservation tillage and soil health: The role of technology. Agronomy Journal. 2016;108(4):1361-1371.
25. Li X, He J, Li J, Zheng Y. The carbon footprint of precision agriculture: Impacts of robotics and automation. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2020;276:124236.
26. Khoshnevisan B, Fountas S, Tsouros D, Nakos G, Vasilakoglou I. Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural robotics. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems. 2019;34(3):1-11.
27. Kalaitzoglou S, Fountas S, Spyrou N, Chatziantoniou T. Efficient crop residue management: The role of agricultural robotics. Waste Management. 2018;75:1-10.
28. Martinez E, Ramirez JA, Lopez-Garcia JM. Reducing agricultural waste through robotics: Innovations and applications. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research. 2021;176:198-207.
29. Hill C, Torkzaban P, Kookana R. Biodiversity and precision agriculture: Balancing productivity and conservation. Ecological Applications. 2019;29(6).
30. Edwards D, Leung G, Duke C. The role of robotics in supporting agricultural biodiversity. Biological Conservation. 2020;244:108529.
31. Breisinger C, Thomas M, Thurlow J, Ringler C. Smallholder agriculture in the digital age: Economic barriers and solutions. Food Policy. 2019;83:139-147.
32. Koundinya V, Kumar S. Economic impact of robotics in agriculture: Cost-benefit analysis. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2018;31(2):243-250.
33. Liakos KG, Busato P, Moshou D, Pearson S, Bochtis D. Machine learning in agriculture: A review. Sensors. 2018;18(8):2674.
34. Zhang A, Ye J, Luan Y, Gong W. The impact of robotics on agricultural employment: A quantitative assessment. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy. 2020;42(2):336-354.
35. Basso B, Dumont B, Fiorentino C. Conservation tillage and soil health: The role of technology. Agronomy Journal. 2016;108(4):1361-1371.
36. Schueller JR, Owino TO, Clark RL. Robotic soil sampling: Innovations in soil health monitoring. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 2019;83(2):460-471.
37. McKinsey & Company. The rise of agricultural robotics: Regional analysis and adoption rates. 2022.
38. FAO. Adoption of digital technologies in agriculture: A regional perspective. 2023.
39. Wallace JR, Klerkx L. Farming with robots: Employment implications for agricultural workforce development. Technology in Society. 2021;66:101640.
40. Edwards D, Leung G, Duke C. The role of robotics in supporting agricultural biodiversity. Biological Conservation. 2020;244:108529.
41. Kalaitzoglou S, Fountas S, Spyrou N, Chatziantoniou T. Efficient crop residue management: The role of agricultural robotics. Waste Management. 2018;75:1-10.
42. Martinez E, Ramirez JA, Lopez-Garcia JM. Reducing agricultural waste through robotics: Innovations and applications. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research. 2021;176:198-207.
43. Pearce F. The automation of agriculture: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Rural Studies. 2021;82:82-92.
44. FAO. Policy and regulatory frameworks for agricultural technology adoption. 2023.
45. The latest state of food security and nutrition report shows the world is moving backwards in efforts to eliminate hunger and malnutrition [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/06-07-2022-un-report-global-hunger-numbers-rose-to-as-many-as-828-million-in-2021/. Accessed 28 October 2022.
46. Hoffmann M, Simanek J. The merits of passive compliant joints in legged locomotion: Fast learning, superior energy efficiency, and versatile sensing in a quadruped robot. Journal of Bionic Engineering. 2017;14(1):1-14.
47. Reddy NV, Reddy A, Pranavadithya S, Kumar JJ. A critical

review on agricultural robots. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology. 2016;7(2):183-188.
48. Shi Y, Chang J, Zhang Q, Liu L, Wang Y, Shi Z. Gas flow measurement method with temperature compensation for a quasi-isothermal cavity. Machines. 2022;10(2):178.
49. Rovira-Más F, Saiz-Rubio V, Cuenca-Cuenca A. Augmented perception for agricultural robots navigation. IEEE Sensors Journal. 2020;21(10):11712-11727.
50. Alsalam BHY, Morton K, Campbell D, Gonzalez F. Autonomous UAV with vision-based on-board decision making for remote sensing and precision agriculture. In: Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Aerospace Conference; 2017 Mar 4-11; Big Sky, MO, USA. p. 1-12.
51. Zhang Z, Kayacan E, Thompson B, Chowdhary G. High precision control and deep learning-based corn stand counting algorithms for agricultural robot. Autonomous Robots. 2020;44(7):1289-1302.
52. Wang G, Yu Y, Feng Q. Design of end-effector for tomato robotic harvesting. IFAC-PapersOnLine. 2016;49(16):190-193.
53. Shi Y, Cai M, Xu W, Wang Y. Methods to evaluate and measure power of pneumatic system and their applications. Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering. 2019;32(1):1-11.
54. Kayacan E, Zhang ZZ, Chowdhary G. Embedded high precision control and corn stand counting algorithms for an ultra-compact 3D printed field robot. In: Proceedings of the Robotics: Science and Systems; 2018 Jun 26-30; Pittsburgh, PA, USA. Vol. 14. p. 9.
55. Wang Z, Xun Y, Wang Y, Yang Q. Review of smart robots for fruit and vegetable picking in agriculture. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering. 2022;15(1):33-54.
56. Skvortsov E, Bykova O, Mymrin V, Skvortsova E, Neverova O, Nabokov V, Kosilov V. Determination of the applicability of robotics in animal husbandry. Turkish Online Journal of Design, Art and Communication. 2018;8(2):291-299.
57. Sori H, Inoue H, Hatta H, Ando Y. Effect for a paddy weeding robot in wet rice culture. Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics. 2018;30(2):198-205.
58. Oberti R, Marchi M, Tirelli P, Calcante A, Iriti M, Tona E, *et al*. Selective spraying of grapevines for disease control using a modular agricultural robot. Biosystems Engineering. 2016;146:203-215.
59. Pribadi W, Prasetyo Y, Juliando DE. Design of fish feeder robot based on arduino-android with fuzzy logic controller. International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science. 2020;5(2):47-50.
60. Geng A, Hu X, Liu J, Mei Z, Zhang Z, Yu W. Development and testing of automatic row alignment system for corn harvesters. Applied Sciences. 2022;12(2):6221.
61. Wagner HJ, Alvarez M, Kyjanek O, Bhiri Z, Buck M, Menges A. Flexible and transportable robotic timber construction platform–TIM. Automation in Construction. 2020;120:103400.
62. Raj R, Aravind A, Akshay V, Chandy M, Sharun N. A seed planting robot with two control variables. In: Proceedings of the 2019 3rd International Conference on Trends in Electronics and Informatics (ICOEI); 2019 Apr 23-25; Tirunelveli, India. p. 1025-8.
63. Katzschmann RK, DelPreto J, MacCurdy R, Rus D. Exploration of underwater life with an acoustically controlled soft robotic fish. Science Robotics. 2018;3.
64. Hespeler SC, Nemati H, Dehghan-Niri E. Non-destructive thermal imaging for object detection via advanced deep learning for robotic inspection and harvesting of chili peppers. Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture. 2021;5:102-17.
65. Guevara DJ, Gené-Mola J, Gregorio E, Torres-Torriti M, Reina G, Cheein FA. Comparison of 3D scan matching techniques for autonomous robot navigation in urban and agricultural environments. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing. 2021;15:24508.
66. Guevara L, Michałek MM, Cheein FA. Collision risk reduction of N-trailer agricultural machinery by off-track minimization. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2020;178:105757.
67. Auat Cheein F, Torres-Torriti M, Hopfenblatt NB, Prado ÁJ, Calabi D. Agricultural service unit motion planning under harvesting scheduling and terrain constraints. Journal of Field Robotics. 2017;34:1531-42.
68. Prado ÁJ, Torres-Torriti M, Yuz J, Cheein FA. Tube-based nonlinear model predictive control for autonomous skid-steer mobile robots with tire–terrain interactions. Control Engineering Practice. 2020;101:104451.
69. Guevara J, Cheein FAA, Gené-Mola J, Rosell-Polo JR, Gregorio E. Analyzing and overcoming the effects of GNSS error on LiDAR based orchard parameters estimation. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2020;170:105255.
70. De Preter A, Anthonis J, De Baerdemaeker J. Development of a robot for harvesting strawberries. IFAC-PapersOnLine. 2018;51:14-9.
71. Williams HA, Jones MH, Nejati M, Seabright MJ, Bell J, Penhall ND, *et al*. Robotic kiwifruit harvesting using machine vision, convolutional neural networks, and robotic arms. Biosystems Engineering. 2019;181:140-56.
72. Kumar P, Ashok G. Design and fabrication of smart seed sowing robot. Materials Today: Proceedings. 2021;39:354-8.
73. Viegas C, Chehreh B, Andrade J, Lourenço J. Tethered UAV with combined multi-rotor and water jet propulsion for forest fire fighting. Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems. 2022;104:1-13.
74. Cantelli L, Bonaccorso F, Longo D, Melita CD, Schillaci G, Muscato G. A small versatile electrical robot for autonomous spraying in agriculture. AgriEngineering. 2019;1:391-402.
75. Lowenberg-DeBoer J, Huang IY, Grigoriadis V, Blackmore S. Economics of robots and automation in field crop production. Precision Agriculture. 2020;21:278-99.
76. Tamaki K, Nagasaka Y, Nishiwaki K, Saito M, Kikuchi Y, Motobayashi K. A robot system for paddy field farming in Japan. IFAC Proceedings Volumes. 2013;46:143-7.
77. Panarin RN, Khvorova LA. Software development for agricultural tillage robot based on technologies of machine intelligence. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on High-Performance Computing Systems and Technologies in Scientific Research, Automation of Control and Production; 2020 May 15-16; Barnaul, Russia. Cham: Springer; 2021. p. 354-67.
78. Backman J, Linkolehto R, Lemsalu M, Kaivosoja J. Building a robot tractor using commercial components and widely used standards. IFAC-PapersOnLine. 2022;55:6-11.
79. Jeon CW, Kim HJ, Yun C, Han X, Kim JH. Design and

validation testing of a complete paddy field-coverage path planner for a fully autonomous tillage tractor. Biosystems Engineering. 2021;208:79-97.
80. Heidrich J, Gaulke M, Golling M, Alaydin BO, Barh A, Keller U. 324-fs pulses from a SESAM modelocked backside-cooled 2-µm VECSEL. IEEE Photonics Technology Letters. 2022;34:337-40.
81. Lin H, Dong S, Liu Z, Yi C. Study and experiment on a wheat precision seeding robot. Journal of Robotics. 2015;2015:1-8.
82. Bhimanpallewar RN, Narasingarao MR. AgriRobot: Implementation and evaluation of an automatic robot for seeding and fertiliser microdosing in precision agriculture. International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology. 2020;16:33-50.
83. Meshram AT, Vanalkar AV, Kalambe KB, Badar AM. Pesticide spraying robot for precision agriculture: A categorical literature review and future trends. Journal of Field Robotics. 2022;39:153-71.
84. Deshmukh D, Pratihar DK, Deb AK, Ray H, Bhattacharyya N. Design and development of intelligent pesticide spraying system for agricultural robot. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems; 2020 Dec 14-16; Online. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 157-70.
85. Shang Y, Hasan MK, Ahammed GJ, Li M, Yin H, Zhou J. Applications of nanotechnology in plant growth and crop protection: A review. Molecules. 2019;24:2558.
86. Cheng RP, Tischler MB, Schulein GJ. R-MAX helicopter state-space model identification for hover and forward-flight. Journal of the American Helicopter Society. 2006;51:202-10.
87. Ghafar ASA, Hajjaj SSH, Gsangaya KR, Sultan MTH, Mail MF, Hua LS. Design and development of a robot for spraying fertilizers and pesticides for agriculture. Materials Today: Proceedings. 2021;43:1257-65.
88. Iost Filho FH, Heldens WB, Kong Z, de Lange ES. Drones: Innovative technology for use in precision pest management. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2020;113:1-25.
89. Terra FP, Nascimento GH, Duarte GA, Drews PL Jr. Autonomous agricultural sprayer using machine vision and nozzle control. Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems. 2021;102:1-18.
90. Martini NPDA, Tamami N, Alasiry AH. Design and development of automatic plant robots with scheduling system. In: Proceedings of the 2020 International Electronics Symposium (IES); 2020 Sep 29-30; Surabaya, Indonesia. p. 302-7.
91. Srivastava A, Vijay S, Negi A, Shrivastava P, Singh A. DTMF based intelligent farming robotic vehicle: An ease to farmers. In: Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Embedded Systems (ICES); 2014 Jul 3-5; Coimbatore, India. p. 206-10.
92. An Z, Wang C, Raj B, Eswaran S, Raffik R, Debnath S, *et al*. Application of new technology of intelligent robot plant protection in ecological agriculture. Journal of Food Quality. 2022;2022:1257015.
93. Jiayi M, Bugong S. The exploration of the trajectory planning of plant protection robot for small planting crops in western mountainous areas. In: Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference of Intelligent Robotic and Control Engineering (IRCE); 2018 Aug 24-27; Lanzhou, China. p. 42-5.
94. do Nascimento GH, Weber F, Almeida G, Terra F, Drews PL Jr. A perception system for an autonomous pesticide boom sprayer. In: Proceedings of the 2019 Latin American Robotics Symposium (LARS), 2019 Brazilian Symposium on Robotics (SBR) and 2019 Workshop on Robotics in Education (WRE); 2019 Oct 23-25; Rio Grande, Brazil. p. 86-91.
95. Bayati M, Fotouhi R. A mobile robotic platform for crop monitoring. Advances in Robotics and Automation. 2018;7:1000186.