



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)

2024; SP-7(8): 821-824

Received: 14-05-2024

Accepted: 19-06-2024

**Shubham Panday**

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, United University,  
Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Lalit Kumar Sanodiya**

Assistant Professor, Department of  
Agriculture and Allied sciences,  
United University, Prayagraj,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Ritesh Kumar Tiwari**

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, United University,  
Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Abhishek Kumar Pal**

Assistant Professor, Department of  
Agriculture and Allied Sciences,  
United University, Prayagraj,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Anoj Singh**

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of  
Genetics and Plant Breeding,  
SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Pradeep Kumar Sanodiya**

Agriculture Farmer and Rice  
Grower, Seoni, Madhya Pradesh,  
India

**Corresponding Author:**

**Shubham Panday**

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, United University,  
Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Impact of nitrogen and sulphur levels on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in the Prayagraj region

**Shubham Panday, Lalit Kumar Sanodiya, Ritesh Kumar Tiwari, Abhishek Kumar Pal, Anoj Singh and Pradeep Kumar Sanodiya**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i8Sk.1387>

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2023-24 at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agriculture and Allied Science, United University, Rawatpur, Jhalwa, Prayagraj (UP) to study about "Influence of Nitrogen and Sulphur level on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)" of Prayagraj, U.P. The experiment was carried out to find the performance of Indian Mustard, which laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) & replicated thrice. Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>8</sub> provided the highest net return of Rs. 81920 ha<sup>-1</sup> that excelled rest of the treatments. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> was observed as the next superior and best treatment by fetching the net returns of Rs. 78620 ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the control plot treatment showed lowest net returns of Rs.42120 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest mean B: C ratio of 2.95 was recorded with the application of over other treatments for mustard cultivation.

**Keywords:** Economics, nitrogen, sulphur, growth parameter and yield

### Introduction

Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) belongs to the family of "Cruciferae" and popularly used in Indian cooking. It has also been reported that mustard crop had cultivated in Channhu-daro of Harrapan ancient civilization during 2300-1750 BC. There is ambiguity in the history as the origin of *B. juncea* is concerned. It had been believed that center of origin for *B. juncea* is Middle-East, where putative parents i.e. *B. nigra* and *B. rapa* would have crossed with each other. Later on, it had been disseminated to other parts of the world such as Europe, Asia, and Africa etc. Brassicaceae occupies about 23% area and 14.6% production in *India*. Rajasthan leads in mustard cultivation with 46.06 per cent, followed by Haryana with 12.60 per cent, Madhya Pradesh with 11.38 per cent, Uttar Pradesh with 10.49 per cent and West Bengal with 7.81 per cent. Mustard seeds production is expected to increase to 49.50 lakh tones during the *Rabi* season of 2021-22 as against 35 lakh tones in the previous year. In Uttar Pradesh, the production is projected to increase from 13.5 lakh tones to 15 lakh tones. Mustard (*Brassica juncea*) is predominantly cultivated in the states of Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana, Gujarat, Punjab and Bihar (AICRP, 2020-2021). Rajasthan is the state having the largest area of 2550.92 hectare and highest production of 1466 kg/ha as compared to Uttar Pradesh having an area of 694.66 hectare and production of 1290 kg/ha, respectively (Government of India Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department of Agriculture, 2020-2021).

Which is considered low. The role of different nutrients in growth and yield of various oilseeds is well established. Nitrogen is an important component of many essential structural, genetic and metabolic compounds in plant cells. It is also an elementary constituent of numerous important organic compounds including amino acids, proteins, nucleic acids, enzymes, and the chlorophyll molecule. Nitrogen is the nutrient which normally produces the greatest yield response in crop plants, promoting rapid vegetative growth and giving the plant a healthy green color. Sulphur is essential role of increasing oil content (%) and oil yield Sulphur application greatly influenced chlorophyll synthesis, carbohydrate as well as protein metabolism.

Since last few decades, the growth, development and productivity of brassicas have been hampered due to a number of factors including the in balance and use of Sub-optimum dose of nutrients in soil. In fact, the extra pressure on the limited land resources and use of high yielding varieties to feed rapidly increasing production have led to the present scenario of shortage of important plant mineral nutrient in major soils on the globe. The deficiency of soil S in the agriculture soils has been reported frequently in mustard crop found significant interaction between N and S on height of plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 1000 seed weight, seed yield and oil percentage reported that grain yield was significantly higher at the highest levels of both the nutrients applied while oil contents decreased with increase in level of Sulphur to 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (43/19%) and nitrogen to level of 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (42%). However meagre information is available with regards to response of nitrogen and sulphur level on mustard under central plain zone of U.P, there is therefore, an urgent need to find out the optimum dose of nitrogen and sulphur level for obtaining higher grain yield as well as oil yield under central plain zone of U.P.

## Materials and Methods

### Geographical location of the experimental site

The experiment was conducted during the Rabi season of 2023-24 at the Crop Research Farm, faculty of agriculture and allied sciences United University Rawatpur Jhalwa, Prayagraj (U.P.) The Crop Research Farm is situated at 25.57° N latitude, 87.19° E longitude and at an altitude of 98 m above mean sea level. This area is situated about 12 km from the city. All the facilities required for crop cultivation were available.

### Climatic conditions of the experimental area

The area of Prayagraj comes under humid sub-tropical climate, which experiences warm humid monsoon, hot dry summer and cold dry winter. The annual mean temperature is 26.1 °C while monthly mean temperatures are 18-29 °C. The daily average maximum temperature is about 22 °C and the minimum temperature is 9 °C. The average annual rainfall received is 1042.2 mm. At this location, the temperature reaches upto 46-48 °C and the minimum temperature recorded is 4-5 °C. The relative humidity ranges in this location ranges between 20-94%.

## Experimental details

**Table 1:** Treatment Combinations

Treatment No.	Treatment Combinations
T <sub>1</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 50% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha
T <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 50% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha
T <sub>3</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 50% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha
T <sub>4</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 75% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha
T <sub>5</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 75% + Sulphur- 40kg/h
T <sub>6</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 75% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha
T <sub>7</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 100% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha
T <sub>8</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha
T <sub>9</sub>	Nitrogen - RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha
T <sub>10</sub>	100 RDF (Control)

## Results and Discussion

### Vegetative Growth Parameter

At harvest significantly higher plant height was recorded with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha (205.86 cm). However, treatment with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha (202.80 cm) were statistically at par with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha. Sulphur is one of the responsible nutrient for plant growth also helps in cell elongation, photosynthesis and translocation of photosynthates by Simta *et al.* (2020). The possibility in increase in plant height due to widest (increased) plant spacing might be due to the fact that the increased spacing between plants resulted in enhanced space, sunlight, nutrients and soil moisture for increased photosynthesis, metabolic activities, growth and development.

The higher dry weight at harvest was recorded with the application of Nitrogen RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha (130.53g/plant). However, treatment with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha (127.47 g/plant) were statistically at par with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha. Reported higher dry matter accumulation at higher doses of nutrient as compared to lower doses at different growth stages except at maturity Parminder *et al.*, (2012). In case of dry matter accumulation Sulphur helps in formation of deep green colour due to synthesis of chlorophyll which in turn provide the larger area for photosynthesis by Simta *et al.*, (2020).

**Table 2:** Influence of Nitrogen and Sulphur level on growth parameters of Indian mustard.

S. No.	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Dry weight (g)	No. of siliqua/plant	No of Seed per siliqua
1	Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha	190.86	115.53	222.82	11.66
2	Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha	191.69	116.36	248.76	11.86
3	Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha	193.48	118.15	311.54	12.23
4	Nitrogen- RDF 75% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha	194.35	119.02	251.51	12.39
5	Nitrogen- RDF 75% + Sulphur- 40kg/h	195.30	119.97	253.23	12.68
6	Nitrogen- RDF 75% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha	196.61	121.28	271.31	13.72
7	Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha	200.13	124.80	276.33	14.19
8	Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha	202.80	127.47	369.03	14.76
9	Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha	205.86	130.53	309.85	15.28
10	100% RDF (Control)	189.17	113.84	190.57	10.49
	F test	S	S	S	S
	Sem(+)	3.36	3.36	31.76	0.93
	CD (5%)	9.98	9.98	94.38	2.77

### Post-harvest observations of mustard

The number of siliqua per plant was significantly influenced due to different treatment combinations. Number of siliqua per plant was recorded significantly higher (376.33) with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha, which was statistically at par with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40

kg/ha (369.03). Sulphur enhance the primary and secondary branches which are siliqua bearing organs as flowers are borne at the terminals of the branches. Therefore with increased number of branches, there was increase in the number of siliqua per plant. Mounika *et al.* (2021) <sup>[9]</sup>.

The number of seeds per siliqua was significantly influenced due

to different treatment combinations. Number of pods per plant was recorded significantly higher (15.28) with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha. Sulphur promotes the pollen producing capacity of anthers and hence might have produced higher number of seeds per siliqua. It also had a positive effect on the photosynthetic performance of plants by influencing phosphorylation process, reducing the quantity of assimilates consumed by respiration to obtain energy and accelerating the removal of products of photosynthesis. Ranvir *et al.* (2017).

The test weight was significantly influenced due to different treatment combinations. The higher test weight (5.79g) was recorded in treatment with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha and the lowest (3.98 g) was obtained with treatment with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 4/ha. The maximum TSW recorded from plants at widest spacing may be result of active photosynthetic machinery for longer period of time as plants did not face any competition for moisture or nutrients. Hassan *et al.* (2012)<sup>[8]</sup>.

The seed yield was significantly influenced due to difference among the treatments. Seed yield was recorded significantly higher (2.58 t/ha) in treatment with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha, which was statistically at par with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha (2.30 t/ha).

The beneficial effect of Sulphur on yield attributes may be due to its role in flower development, pollen grain formation, pollen viability, pollen tube growth for proper pollination and seed development. Yadav *et al.* (2016)<sup>[24]</sup>.

The higher seed yield was observed because such an optimum plant density facilitated maximum utilization of nutrients and increased dry matter production which ultimately resulted in higher seed yield ha. Cheema *et al.* (2001)<sup>[11]</sup>.

The significantly higher (5.48 t/ha) Stover yield was observed in treatment with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha, which was statistically at par with Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur-40 t/ha (5.43 t/ha). Application of Sulphur enhanced more uptakes of major nutrients resulting greater photosynthetic activities and led to greater vegetative growth of plants. Ultimately this accelerated growth due to proper metabolic activities produced higher stover yield in mustard. Mounika *et al.* (2021)<sup>[9]</sup>.

The harvest index was significantly influenced due to difference among the treatments. The higher (74.82%) harvest index was recorded in treatment with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha and the lowest (66.25%) was obtained in treatment with the application of Nitrogen- RDF 100 + Sulphur 50 kg/ha

**Table 3:** Post-harvest observation of mustard.

S. No.	Treatments	Test weight (g)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Stover yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1	Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha	3.90	1.39	3.44	71.16
2	Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha	4.20	1.41	3.55	71.84
3	Nitrogen- RDF 50% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha	4.49	1.43	4.17	74.82
4	Nitrogen- RDF 75% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha	4.65	1.80	4.44	71.55
5	Nitrogen- RDF 75% + Sulphur- 40kg/h	4.83	1.92	4.54	70.22
6	Nitrogen- RDF 75% + Sulphur- 50 kg/ha	5.15	1.93	4.91	72.47
7	Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 30 kg/ha	5.50	2.06	5.17	71.79
8	Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 40 kg/ha	5.79	2.30	5.43	70.45
9	Nitrogen- RDF 100% + Sulphur- 50 kg/haz	5.51	2.58	5.48	66.25
10	100% RDF (Control)	3.41	1.32	3.07	69.92
	F test	NS	S	S	NS
	SEm(+)	0.52	0.24	0.51	2.55
	CD (5%)	1.55	0.71	1.51	7.59

Among the various treatments, treatment T<sub>8</sub> provided the highest net return of Rs. 81920 ha<sup>-1</sup> that excelled rest of the treatments. Treatment T<sub>9</sub> was observed as the next superior and best treatment by fetching the net returns of Rs. 78620 ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, the control plot treatment showed lowest net returns of Rs.42120 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The highest mean B: C ratio of 2.95 was recorded with the application of over other treatments for mustard cultivation.

### Conclusion

Findings of the above results, it was concluded that application NITROGEN- RDF 100% + S- 40 kg/ha (T<sub>8</sub>) had performed better in growth and yield parameters and was economically viable. Since the findings are based on one season, further trails are needed to confirm the results hence being recommended for mustard cultivation.

### References

1. Nautiyal A, Barthwal A, Saxena AK. Growth and yield attributes of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.), Var. Pant Brassica-21 scheduled on irrigation level and row spacing. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9(2):300-303.
2. Azam A, Rahman MM, Samsuzzaman M, Main MA,

Quddus MA. Combined effect of sulphur and sulphuron on yield and yield contributing characters, nutrient and oil content of mustard. Bangladesh J Agril. Res. 2017;42(2):249-258.

3. Awal MA, Rashid MHO, Rahman MM. Effect of agronomic biofortification of sulphur and sulphuron on the growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.) crop. Asian Soil Res J. 2020;3(4):1-8.
4. Devaram Aarthi Reddy, Rajesh Singh, Khan W, Punnamchhetri. Efficiency of sulphur and row spacing on growth and yield attributes of mustard (*Brassica campestris*). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 2020, 9(12).
5. Begum F, Rahman MM, Islam N. Effect of seed rate and row spacing on the yield and yield components of mustard. Bangladesh J Agril. Res., 2005, 12(4).
6. Goldberg S, Scott ML, Suarez DL. Predicting sulphur adsorption by soils using chemical parameters in the constant capacitance model. Soil Sci. Soc. Am J. 2000;64:1356-1363.
7. Hussain MJ, Sarker MMR, Sarker MH, Ali M, Salim MMR. Effect of different levels of sulphuron on the yield and yield attributes of mustard in Surmakushiara floodplain soil. J Soil Nature. 2008;2(3):6-9.

8. Hassan FU, Arif M. Response of white mustard (*Sinapis alba* L.) to spacing under rainfed conditions. J Anim Plant Sci. 2012;22(1):137-141.
9. Mounika J, Dawson J, Vidya Sagar DRMS. Influence of sulphur and sulphur levels on growth and yield of yellow mustard (*Sinapis alba*). Pharma Innov. J. 2021;10(11):174-177.
10. Yanthan MR, Singh R. Effect of sulphur and zinc levels on growth, yield, and yield parameters of mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.). Pharma Innov J. 2021;10(11):474-476.
11. Cheema MA, Saleem M, Malik MA. Effect of row spacing and nitrogen management on agronomic traits and oil quality of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Pak J Agri. Sci., 2001, 38(3-4).
12. Hasanuzzaman M, Karim MF. Performance of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) cv. SAU Sarisha-1 under different row spacing and irrigation levels. Res J Agric. Biol. Sci. 2007;3(6):960-965.
13. Razaq M, Maqsood S, Aslam M, Shad SA, Afza M. Effect of plant spacing on aphid population, yield components, and oil contents of late sown canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Pak J Zool. 2012;44(4):991-995.
14. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S. Department of Agriculture Circular 939, USDA, Washington, DC; c1954.
15. Sandhu PS, Mahal SS, Sardana V. Nitrogen and spacing requirements of promising hybrids of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Indian J Ecol. 2012;39(1):108-111.
16. Potluri PK, Singh R, Khan W, Naik ES. Effect of sulphur and row spacing on growth and yield of yellow mustard (*Sinapis alba*). Biol Forum Int. J. 2021;13(3):139-143.
17. Singh R, Singh Y, Singh S. Yield, quality, and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under sulphur and sulphur nutrition. Ann Plant Soil Res. 2017;19(2):227-231.
18. Rashid MH, Hasan MM, Ahmed M, Rahman MT, Rahman KAMM. Response of mustard to sulphur fertilization. Bangladesh J Agril Res. 2012;37(4):677-682.
19. Laik R, Singh SK, Pramanick B, Kumari V, Nath D, Dessoky ES, *et al.* Improved method of sulphur fertilization in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) – mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) cropping system in upland calcareous soils. Sustainability, 2021, 5037.
20. Sondhiya R, Pandey R, Namdeo KN. Effect of plant spacings on growth, yield, and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) genotypes. Ann Plant Soil Res. 2019;21(2):172-6.
21. Shivani, Kumar S. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to sowing date and row spacing in mid-hills of Sikkim under rainfed conditions. Indian J Agron. 2002;47(3):405-410.
22. Sharma S, Chaudhray S, Singh R. Effect of sulphur and sulphur on growth, yield, and nutrient uptake of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Int. J Chem. Stud. 2020;8(4):1998-2001.
23. Kumar V, Pal Y, Kumar P. Performance of promising varieties of mustard under Tarai and Bhabar area of Uttarakhand. Int. J Agric. Sci. 2017;14(1):254-257.
24. Yadav SN, Singh SK, Kumar O. Effect of sulphur on yield attributes, seed yield, and oil content of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) on an Inceptisol. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2023;64(3):291-296.