



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618

P-ISSN: 2618-060X

© Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; SP-7(8): 377-382

Received: 20-06-2024

Accepted: 26-07-2024

Dr. K Natarajan

Associate Professor,
Department of Seed Science &
Technology, TNAU, KVK,
Virudhachalam, Tamil Nadu,
India

Seed treatments and storage containers on storability of petunia seeds

Dr. K Natarajan

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i8Se.1271>

Abstract

Studies were made to evaluate the seed treatments (halogen mixture, bavistin and diflubenzuron) and storage containers (paper bag, 700 gauge polyethylene bag and aluminium foil poly laminated pouch) on storability of petunia seeds with cv.Mix. The results revealed that the germination reduces from 96 to 75 per cent with 12 months of storage period under ambient condition irrespective of treatments. Among the seed treatments, halogen mixture followed by diflubenzuron found to be better as seed treating chemicals. Storability of seed is better in moisture vapour proof container (aluminium foiled pouch) than moisture pervious container (paper bags).

Keywords: Petunia, seed, seed treatments, containers, storability

Introduction

On account of the diverse biological activities taking place in seed during storage, the seed deteriorates in quality, resulting in impairment of germination and vigour. Storability of seeds is determined by wide number of factors viz., period of storage, type of containers, initial seed quality, storage environment, seed moisture content and seed treatment (Basu and Rudrapal, 1980) [3]. Among these, prestorage seed treatments and packaging materials had their pronounced impact on the storability in view of their protection rendered against the biotic factors and irreversible deterioration. In pre-storage seed treatment, fungicides and insecticides play wider role. During the past two decades the development of third generation pesticides, the insect growth regulators (IGRs), are being introduced as insect control agents. The term IGR is coined to include all those compounds that disrupt growth and development of insects. Unlike organophosphorus, carbonate and other chemical insecticides carrying actual and/or potential risks of high toxicity to mammals and environmental pollution hazards, IGRs appear to be effective against target insect with relative safety to mammals and the environment. On the other side, bavistin the systemic fungicide is widely recommended for the control of seed borne pathogen and storage fungi. But Basu and Rudrapal (1980) [3] recommended halogen mixture as a economic and ecofriendly product for seed treatment. Hence to evaluate the efficacy of these chemicals on the storability of petunia seeds the present study was formulated with cv. Mix.

Materials and Methods

Freshly harvested seeds of petunia cv.Mix were dried to 8 per cent moisture content and were treated with halogen mixture @ 3 g kg⁻¹ seed (dry dressing), bavistin @ 3 g kg⁻¹ (slurry treatment) and diflubenzuron @ 1 ppm (slurry treatment) and were packed in paper bag, 700 gauge polyethylene bag and aluminium foil poly laminated pouch along with untreated seed and stored under ambient conditions of Coimbatore (Coimbatore (28 ± 2 °C : RH 70±5%) for a period of 12 months. The experiment was formulated adopting FCRD with four replications and at trimonthly intervals the samples were collected from each treatment and replications and the seed and seedling quality parameters viz., moisture content (%) (ISTA, 1999) [9], germination (%) (ISTA, 1999) [9], root and shoot length (cm), drymatter production (mg 20 seedlings⁻¹) and vigour index (Abdul Baki and Anderson, 1973) [11] were evaluated. The data gathered were scrutinized as per Gomez and Gomez (1984) [5] for understanding the level of significance.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. K Natarajan

Associate Professor,
Department of Seed Science &
Technology, TNAU, KVK,
Virudhachalam, Tamil Nadu,
India

Results and Discussion

Highly significant results were obtained due to seed treatments, storage period and storage containers for all the evaluated seed quality parameters except for moisture content due to treatments and root length due to containers. The moisture content of the seed which plays a prime role in seed deterioration increased with advances in storage period and recorded the maximum of 8.3 per cent after 12 months of storage period irrespective of seed treatments and storage containers. But the increase was upto 8.6 per cent in seeds stored in paper bag due to the attainment of equilibrium moisture content with the given atmosphere. The increase of moisture content in aluminium foil poly laminated pouch and 700 gauge polyethylene bag was nil with advances in storage period due to the inhibition of moisture entry into the container (Harrington, 1973) ^[7]. In smaller seeds of agricultural and horticultural crops also similar variation in moisture content with relation to container and storage period was reported (Menaka, 2000) ^[13]. Tompsett (1986) ^[20] also reported that the storability vary with container and the main cause behind them was the moisture content due to the variation they exert under altering atmosphere to attain the moisture equilibrium status. Among the seed treatments, the increase in moisture content was non significant in line with expressing that slurry seed treatment is not the factor that alters the absorption capacity of the seeds.

The germination and vigour that are viewed as the physiological quality characters of seed, decreased with advances in storage period. In the present study, a progressive decline in germination was observed from 96 to 75 per cent within 12 months, irrespective of treatments and containers. The decline in germination during storage could be attributed to the depletion of food reserves and metabolic activity by Heydecker (1972) ^[8]. Roberts (1972) ^[8, 16] also coined the causes as the physiological ageing process that had been accelerated by the interactive effect of seed moisture and physiological senescence process (Kovalenko *et al.*, 1977) ^[10]. Natarajan (2000) ^[14] also opined that such similarity between moisture content and germination with marigold, as evident in the present study. Lowig (1969) ^[11] and Han (1984) ^[6] also revealed that the seed treatment, could modify the physiological status of seed during storage. In the present study, germination of seeds declined to 79 per cent, in seeds treated with halogen mixture while the other treatments were in the order of diflubenzuron, bavistin and untreated seed recording 78, 74 and 70 per cent germination at the end of the 12 months.

Halogen mixture was scored as the best ecofriendly chemical for seed treatment where the protection was found to be due to stabilization of unsaturated fatty acids of double bonds which reduced the formation of free radicals in seeds during storage (Dharamalingam *et al.* 1998; Malarkodi and Dharmalingam, 1997) ^[4, 12].

Diflubenzuron is an insect growth regulator that inhibit the chitin synthesis in the insect body and reduce the biotic stress, the seed being experienced during storage and thereby maintained the germination of seed at higher level. In the present study also the next best chemical scored for seed treatment was the diflubenzuron. Similar results were obtained with Sudha *et al.*, (2003) ^[19] with rice and pearl millet. With Desmanthus, Reshma (2001) ^[15] also reported similar results where the insect activity was nil throughout the storage period due to the seed

treatment with diflubenzuron. But in the present study, the insect activity was nil throughout the storage period but the chemical could maintain the germination of seed by control of seed deterioration rate which could be due to the unidentified beneficial effect of the chemical to maintain the seed quality during storage in addition to the influence on insect control.

Several scientists expressed that bavistin have the antisenescence factor in addition to the systemic approach towards pathogen control because of which in the present study, though the pathogen infection, even storage fungi was not noticed in this small seed, treatment with bavistin protected the seed during storage from the natural deteriorative senescence. The vigour of seeds examined in terms of seedling growth, drymatter production and vigour index were also influenced by the evaluated seed quality factors *viz.*, seed treatments, storage containers and storage periods. Seeds stored in aluminium foil poly laminated pouches after treating with halogen mixture recorded the higher values than other chemicals and 700 gauge polyethylene bag were on par with aluminium foil poly laminated pouches and was followed by paper bag.

Irrespective of seed treatments and storage period, the aluminium foil poly laminated pouches excelled other containers in maintenance of seed germination during storage due to its moisture vapour proof nature. As expressed by Srimathi *et al.*, (2001) ^[18] it could have offered protection against invasion of pathogen and insects by restricting the fluctuation in the moisture content of the seeds due to atmospheric alterations as detailed elsewhere. Sathyanarayanan (2000) ^[17] in phlox, Natarajan (2000) ^[14] in marigold and Vijayan (2002) ^[21] in zinnia also opined similar results of lesser reduction in storability of seeds in moisture vapour proof container than moisture pervious container. The germination of seeds stored in 700 gauge polyethylene bag was similar to that of aluminium foil poly laminated pouch as their relation to permissibility to moisture content from outside to inside and vice versa are completely similar to one another.

The reduction in physiological stamina of seeds could be due to complex environment and genetic factors influencing the potentiality of the seed. Arunachalam (1991) ^[2] in balsam, Natarajan (2000) ^[14] in marigold and Vijayan (2002) ^[21] in zinnia and gaillardia also observed similar results. With advances in storage period, irrespective of treatments and containers the vigour parameters reduced by 40 per cent for root length, 20 per cent for shoot length and drymatter production and 39 per cent for vigour index over 12 months of storage compared to initial value of seed storage. This decrease was also supported by Sathyanarayanan (2000) ^[17] and Vijayan (2002) ^[21] in various small seeded flower crops. Thus the present study indicated that seeds received with storage management practices could mitigate the deterioration rate. Thus the study highlighted that

- The germination reduces from 96 to 75 per cent with 12 months of storage period under ambient condition
- Among the seed treatments, halogen mixture followed by diflubenzuron found to be the better as seed treating chemicals
- Storability of seed is better in moisture vapour proof container (aluminium foiled pouch) than moisture pervious container (paper bags).

Table 1: Influence of seed treatments, containers and storage periods on moisture content (%) of seeds

Seed treatments (T)	Storage containers (C)	Storage period in months (P)					Mean
		0	3	6	9	12	
Untreated	Paper bag	8.0	8.3	8.4	8.5	8.7	8.4
	700 gauge poly bag	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.0
	AFLP	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.0
	Mean	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.2
Bavistin	Paper bag	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.6	8.3
	700 gauge poly bag	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0
	AFLP	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0
	Mean	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.3	8.1
Halogen	Paper bag	8.0	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.5	8.3
	700 gauge poly bag	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.0
	AFLP	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0
	Mean	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.3	8.1
Diflubenzuron	Paper bag	8.0	8.2	8.2	8.4	8.5	8.3
	700 gauge poly bag	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0
	Aluminium foil	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0
	Mean	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.2	8.1
C x P	Paper bag	8.0	8.2	8.3	8.4	8.6	8.3
	700 gauge poly bag	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.0
	Aluminium foil	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0
	Mean	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.3	8.1

CD (P=0.05)	T	P	C	TP	PC	TC	TPC
	NS	0.139	0.108	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 2: Influence of seed treatments, containers and storage periods on germination (%) of seeds

Seed treatments (T)	Storage containers (C)	Storage period in months (P)					Mean
		0	3	6	9	12	
Untreated	Paper bag	96 (79.13)	90 (71.62)	85 (67.28)	79 (62.76)	68 (55.57)	84 (67.27)
	700 gauge poly bag	96 (79.13)	90 (71.58)	87 (68.87)	82 (64.91)	71 (57.42)	85 (68.38)
	Aluminium foil	96 (79.13)	91 (72.56)	88 (69.77)	83 (65.67)	72 (58.07)	86 (69.04)
	Mean	96 (79.13)	90 (71.92)	87 (68.64)	81 (64.45)	70 (57.02)	85 (68.23)
Bavistin	Paper bag	96 (79.13)	91 (73.04)	86 (68.06)	80 (63.50)	72 (58.07)	85 (68.36)
	700 gauge poly bag	96 (79.13)	92 (73.65)	89 (70.68)	83 (65.70)	74 (59.38)	87 (69.71)
	Aluminium foil	96 (79.13)	92 (73.65)	89 (70.83)	84 (66.45)	77 (61.37)	88 (70.29)
	Mean	96 (79.13)	92 (73.45)	88 (69.86)	82 (65.22)	74 (59.61)	87 (69.45)
Halogen	Paper bag	96 (79.13)	92 (73.76)	88 (69.74)	82 (64.90)	77 (61.38)	87 (69.56)
	700 gauge poly bag	96 (79.13)	93 (74.68)	91 (72.56)	85 (67.40)	78 (62.04)	89 (70.94)
	Aluminium foil	96 (79.13)	93 (74.89)	90 (71.62)	88 (69.91)	82 (64.90)	90 (71.86)
	Mean	96 (79.13)	93 (74.44)	90 (71.31)	85 (67.40)	79 (62.77)	88 (70.79)
Diflubenzuron	Paper bag	96 (79.13)	92 (73.65)	87 (68.95)	81 (64.16)	76 (60.67)	86 (69.19)
	700 gauge poly bag	96 (79.13)	93 (74.76)	90 (71.80)	87 (69.24)	77 (61.37)	89 (71.14)
	Aluminium foil	96 (79.13)	93 (74.89)	90 (71.62)	89 (70.74)	81 (64.23)	90 (72.00)
	Mean	96 (79.13)	93 (74.43)	89 (70.79)	86 (68.05)	78 (62.09)	88 (70.79)
C x P	Paper bag	96 (79.13)	91 (73.20)	87 (68.51)	81 (63.83)	73 (58.92)	86 (68.59)

	700 gauge poly bag	96 (79.13)	92 (73.67)	89 (70.98)	84 (66.81)	75 (60.05)	87 (70.04)
	Aluminium foil	96 (79.13)	92 (74.00)	89 (70.96)	86 (68.19)	78 (62.14)	88 (70.80)
	Mean	96 (79.13)	92 (73.56)	88 (70.15)	84 (66.28)	75 (60.37)	87 (69.82)
CD (P=0.05)	T	P	C	TP	PC	TC	TPC
	0.917	1.025	0.794	2.051	1.776	NS	NS

(Figures in parentheses indicate arc sine values)

Table 3: Influence of seed treatments, containers and storage periods on root length (cm) of seedlings

Seed treatments (T)	Storage containers (C)	Storage period in months (P)					Mean
		0	3	6	9	12	
Untreated	Paper bag	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.6
	700 gauge poly bag	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.7
	Aluminium foil	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.7
	Mean	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.7
Bavistin	Paper bag	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.7
	700 gauge poly bag	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.8
	Aluminium foil	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.8
	Mean	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8
Halogen	Paper bag	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8
	700 gauge poly bag	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9
	Aluminium foil	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9
	Mean	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8
Diflubenzuron	Paper bag	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.8
	700 gauge poly bag	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.8
	Aluminium foil	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9
	Mean	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.9
C x P	Paper bag	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8
	700 gauge poly bag	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.8
	Aluminium foil	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.8
	Mean	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8

CD (P=0.05)	T	P	C	TP	PC	TC	TPC
	0.068	0.076	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4: Influence of seed treatments, containers and storage periods on shoot length (cm) of seedlings

Seed treatments (T)	Storage containers (C)	Storage period in months (P)					Mean
		0	3	6	9	12	
Untreated	Paper bag	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.6
	700 gauge poly bag	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.7
	Aluminium foil	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.4	1.5	1.7
	Mean	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.6
Bavistin	Paper bag	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.7
	700 gauge poly bag	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.8
	Aluminium foil	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.8
	Mean	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.5	1.8
Halogen	Paper bag	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.8
	700 gauge poly bag	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9
	Aluminium foil	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.9
	Mean	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9
Diflubenzuron	Paper bag	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8
	700 gauge poly bag	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9
	Aluminium foil	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9
	Mean	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9
C x P	Paper bag	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7
	700 gauge poly bag	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.8
	Aluminium foil	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8
	Mean	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.8

CD (P=0.05)	T	P	C	TP	PC	TC	TPC
	0.081	0.091	0.070	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 5: Influence of seed treatments, containers and storage periods on drymatter production (mg 20 seedlings⁻¹)

Seed treatments (T)	Storage containers (C)	Storage period in months (P)					Mean
		0	3	6	9	12	
Untreated	Paper bag	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.7
	700 gauge poly bag	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7
	Aluminium foil	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.8
	Mean	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7
Bavistin	Paper bag	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.8
	700 gauge poly bag	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.9
	Aluminium foil	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.9
	Mean	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.8
Halogen	Paper bag	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.9
	700 gauge poly bag	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.9
	Aluminium foil	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7	2.0
	Mean	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.9
Diflubenzuron	Paper bag	2.2	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.9
	700 gauge poly bag	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.0
	Aluminium foil	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7	2.0
	Mean	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.9
C x P	Paper bag	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.8
	700 gauge poly bag	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.9
	Aluminium foil	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.9
	Mean	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.9

CD (P=0.05)	T	P	C	TP	PC	TC	TPC
	0.077	0.086	0.067	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 6: Influence of seed treatments, containers and storage periods on vigour index

Seed treatments (T)	Storage containers (C)	Storage period in months (P)					Mean
		0	3	6	9	12	
Untreated	Paper bag	183	168	147	119	96	142
	700 gauge poly bag	183	171	154	131	107	149
	Aluminium foil	183	170	159	141	108	152
	Mean	183	170	153	130	104	148
Bavistin	Paper bag	193	174	155	128	108	152
	700 gauge poly bag	193	184	169	149	119	163
	Aluminium foil	193	184	170	151	124	164
	Mean	193	181	165	143	117	160
Halogen	Paper bag	204	195	167	139	124	166
	700 gauge poly bag	204	195	182	153	133	174
	Aluminium foil	204	195	180	161	139	176
	Mean	204	195	177	151	132	172
Diflubenzuron	Paper bag	211	184	166	138	122	164
	700 gauge poly bag	211	195	181	151	139	175
	Aluminium foil	211	195	180	161	138	177
	Mean	211	192	175	150	133	172
C x P	Paper bag	198	180	159	131	112	156
	700 gauge poly bag	198	186	172	146	124	165
	Aluminium foil	198	186	172	154	127	167
	Mean	198	184	167	144	121	163

CD (P=0.05)	T	P	C	TP	PC	TC	TPC
	7.544	8.435	6.534	NS	NS	NS	NS

Conclusion

The study revealed that seed treatments, storage period, and storage containers significantly affected seed quality parameters, except for moisture content and root length. The moisture content increased with storage period, while germination and vigour decreased. Halogen mixture and diflubenzuron were found to be the best ecofriendly chemicals for seed treatment, maintaining higher germination and vigour. Aluminium foil poly laminated pouches and 700 gauge polyethylene bags were

superior to paper bags in maintaining seed quality. The study highlights the importance of proper storage management practices to mitigate seed deterioration. The findings can be used to develop effective seed storage strategies for small-seeded crops, ensuring better seed quality and higher yields.

Ethics and Conflict of Interest

The research is carried out as per research ethics and no conflict of interest is involved.

References

1. Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Science*. 1973;13:630-633.
2. Arunachalam M. Studies on seed development, maturation and pattern of deterioration of seeds of six genotypes of Balsam [MSc thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; c1991.
3. Basu RN, Rudrapal AB. Iodination of mustard seed for the maintenance of vigour and viability. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*. 1980;18:491-494.
4. Dharmalingam C, Lakshmi SK, Malarkodi K, Ananathi SK. Halogenation to shelf life of seeds. Coimbatore: Department of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; c1998.
5. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research of legumes seeds of different density. *Agriculture*. 1984;41:47-56.
6. Han NL. Studies on the technique of low-temperature storage of seeds of *Camellia oleifera*. *Forest Science and Technology*. 1984;12:7-9.
7. Harrington JK. Biochemical basis of seed longevity. *Seed Science and Technology*. 1973;1:453-461.
8. Heydecker W. Vigour. In: Roberts EH, editor. *Viability of seeds*. London: Chapman and Hall; c1972. p. 209-252.
9. ISTA. International Rules for Seed Testing. *Seed Science and Technology*. 1999;27(Supplement Rules):25-30.
10. Kovalenko GI, Badev D, Falik RA. Some aspects of germination loss of cotton seeds. *Biologicheskie Kishnask*. 1977;6:26-30.
11. Lowig E. The storage property of petunia seeds. *Saatgut Wirtschaft*. 1969;21:28.
12. Malarkodi K, Dharmalingam C. Comparative efficacy of seed treatments to control seed deterioration in bajra seed lots of different seed quality. *Neo Botanica*. 1997;5(1&2):55-61.
13. Menaka C. Seed technological studies in amaranthus (*Amaranthus tricolor*) cv. CO 5 [MSc thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; c2000.
14. Natarajan K. Seed technological studies in marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) [MSc thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; c2000.
15. Reshma C. Studies on maturation, production, processing and storage in hedge Lucerne cv. TNDV1 [MSc thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; c2001.
16. Roberts EH. Loss of viability and crop yields. In: Roberts EH, editor. *Viability of seeds*. London: Chapman and Hall Ltd; 1972. p. 313.
17. Sathiyarayanan G. Seed technological studies in phlox [MSc thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University; c2000.
18. Srimathi P, Malarkodi K, Sastri G. Influence of fungicides and insecticides on storability pearl millet seed. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 2001;88(1-3):16-21.
19. Sudha P, Srimathi P, Vanangamudi KV. Storability of paddy seeds as influenced by insecticidal and fungicidal seed treatments. In: *Proceedings of the National Level Seminar on "Global Strategies in Biological Sciences"*; 2003; Rasipuram. p. 65.
20. Tompsett PB. The effect of desiccation on the viability of dipterocarpous seed. Vienna: Federal Forest Institute; Report No. 12. c1986. p. 181-202.
21. Vijayan R. Seed hardening and conditioning in Zinnia [MSc thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agricultural University;

c2002.