



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20
www.agronomyjournals.com
2025; SP-8(9): 209-217
Received: 25-06-2025
Accepted: 29-07-2025

Dr. Monika Vijay Kamble
Ph.D. Scholar (Agronomy), Punjab
Agricultural University, Ludhiana,
Punjab, India

Tharala Chandana
Masters in Agronomy, Sam Higgin
Bottom University of Agriculture
Technology and Sciences, Panagal,
Nalgonda, Telangana, India

Dr. Amarpreet Singh
Senior Scientist, ICAR-CICR,
Regional Station, Sirsa, Haryana,
India

Dr. Aishwarya Mangaraj
Assistant Professor (Agronomy),
College of Agriculture, Chiplima,
OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Shilpa Pradeepkumar Naik
Junior Research Assistant,
Department of Extension Education,
DBSKKV Dapoli, Maharashtra,
India

Dr. SR Imade
Assistant Professor (Agronomy),
Anand Niketan College of
Agriculture, Warora, Chandrapur,
Maharashtra, India

Swadhin Kumar Swain
M.Sc. (Ag.) Nematology, College of
Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar,
Odisha, India

Dr. Kanhaiya Lal
Subject Matter Specialist- Agronomy,
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Kishanganj,
BAU, Sabour, Bihar, India

Sanju Kumari
M.Sc. Agronomy, Bihar Agricultural
University, Sabour, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author:
Tharala Chandana
Masters in Agronomy, Sam Higgin
Bottom University of Agriculture
Technology and Sciences, Panagal,
Nalgonda, Telangana, India

Cover cropping and conservation tillage: Tools for climate-resilient agronomy

Monika Vijay Kamble, Tharala Chandana, Amarpreet Singh, Aishwarya Mangaraj, Shilpa Pradeepkumar Naik, SR Imade, Swadhin Kumar Swain, Kanhaiya Lal and Sanju Kumari

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i9Sc.3785>

Abstract

The intensification of agriculture and climate change has created a pressing need for practices that maintain productivity while restoring natural resources. Cover cropping and conservation tillage are complementary strategies to bolster soil health, conserve moisture, reduce erosion and enhance farm resilience under variable weather. These practices sequester carbon, recycle nutrients, suppress weeds and stabilize crop yields. By fixing nitrogen or building biomass, diverse cover crops improve fertility, while minimal tillage preserves soil structure. This article reviews global experiences on their effects on yields, water use and soil quality. Key outcomes, including improved yield stability and enhanced infiltration, are discussed along with challenges to adoption and potential solutions. In sum, integrating cover crops with conservation tillage provides a practical pathway to sustainable, climate-resilient agriculture.

Keywords: Cover crops, conservation tillage, climate resilience, soil health, sustainable agriculture, agro-ecosystems, carbon sequestration, yield stability

Introduction

Climate-resilient agronomy has gained prominence in the face of rising weather extremes. In this context, climate-resilient agriculture refers to farming approaches that help fields endure variability and extreme weather while sustaining yields ^[1]. Historically, farmers used techniques similar to cover crops and reduced tillage in many cultures; modern science is now documenting and refining these age-old strategies. Conservation agriculture, a related concept, bundles cover cropping and minimal soil disturbance as key components. Modern agriculture faces a dual challenge: meeting growing food demand while coping with the impacts of a changing climate and degraded soils ^[2, 3]. Over-reliance on intensive tillage, monocultures and heavy chemical inputs has led to soil erosion, declining organic matter and reduced water quality. These trends leave cropping systems vulnerable to droughts, floods and extreme heat. Climate change further exacerbates these risks by shifting rainfall patterns, intensifying droughts and storms and increasing the frequency and severity of extreme weather events.

Cover cropping and conservation tillage are two strategies at the forefront of climate-smart agriculture. Cover crops are plants grown primarily to benefit the soil rather than for direct harvest; they are often sown during fallow periods or between main crops ^[4]. By keeping the soil covered with living roots and above-ground vegetation, cover crops add organic matter, recycle nutrients (especially nitrogen when legumes are used), suppress weeds and reduce erosion from wind and water ^[5,12]. Conservation tillage is achieved by leaving crop residues on the surface and minimizing ploughing, which preserves soil structure, conserves moisture and allows beneficial soil organisms to thrive. Individually, cover cropping and conservation tillage offer substantial agronomic benefits; together, they can act synergistically to create more robust and productive agro-ecosystems ^[6]. It reviews the various types of cover crops and tillage methods used around the world and their primary benefits, including improvements to soil health, water use efficiency and yield stability under variable climates ^[7]. The discussion highlights outcomes from diverse regions and cropping systems, illustrating how context-specific adaptation of these

practices yields multiple benefits. Key comparisons of cover crop species, tillage approaches and combined strategies reveal how farmers can optimize practices for their conditions. Finally, the article outlines the main challenges and potential solutions for scaling up cover cropping and conservation tillage worldwide, providing a comprehensive view of their role in building climate-resilient agriculture [8]. Building climate resilience in agronomy aligns with sustainable development goals related to food security and responsible land stewardship. The practices examined here exemplify a shift from short-term extraction to long-term soil stewardship [9, 11]. Conservation agriculture, which includes cover cropping and minimal tillage, is especially highlighted as a core approach for climate-smart and climate-resilient food production [10].

Cover Cropping

Cover crops are plants grown primarily to improve soil health between main cash crops. They include a wide range of species and are chosen for their ability to enhance fertility and protect the soil rather than for harvest. Farmers often sow cover crops after harvest or plant overwintering covers before spring cropping [13, 17]. The living cover keeps roots in the soil and the surface shaded, which brings many agronomic advantages.

Cover cropping provides multiple benefits, including:

- **Increased soil organic matter:** Cover crop residues decompose and build long-term fertility.
- **Nutrient cycling and retention:** Plants such as legumes fix nitrogen and others scavenge leftover nutrients, preventing leaching.
- **Soil structure improvement:** Deep roots from radish, turnips or grasses break up compacted layers and improve porosity.
- **Erosion control:** A dense cover canopy shields the soil from wind and water erosion.
- **Moisture management:** Residues mulch the surface, reducing evaporation and helping soils retain moisture during dry periods.
- **Weed and pest suppression:** Vigorous covers shade out weeds and can interrupt pest life cycles, reducing pressure on subsequent crops.
- **Carbon sequestration:** Living roots feed soil organisms and help capture atmospheric carbon in the soil.

Cover cropping makes productive use of fallow periods. Instead of leaving fields bare after a main harvest, planting a cover (often called a *green manure*) recycles sunlight and rain into organic matter [14,15,16]. For example, farmers may sow a legume cover after corn harvest or seed a winter cereal after soybeans. In double-cropping systems (common in parts of Asia and the southern United States), a quick-growing summer cover like buckwheat or cowpea can be planted between spring and fall crops. In rice paddies or flooded fields, farmers may use aquatic covers (like Azolla fern) to fix nitrogen during fallow water periods [18].

Cover crops can significantly influence soil biology. A healthy earthworm population often flourishes under cover-cropped systems, improving aeration and nutrient cycling. Microbial biomass and enzyme activity increase with cover crop rotations, as the soil food web has more resources. Over time, these biological enhancements translate into better nutrient availability for the next cash crop and less need for synthetic fertilizer [19]. Quantitative outcomes can be striking: for example, soils under long-term cover cropping often build higher organic carbon stocks than ploughed soil and surface soil infiltration rates can double compared to tilled, fallow land [20].

Cover crops also serve multiple functions beyond soil enrichment. Some cover crop species provide forage or biomass. Certain mixes (e.g. oats with clover) can be grazed by livestock, integrating crop-livestock systems and returning manure and organic matter to fields. Others (like cereal rye) produce large amounts of straw-like residue that can be used for bedding or mulch [21]. Even if not harvested, cover crop biomass often finds use as a nutrient-rich mulch or can be chopped and spread as green manure [22].

However, if mismanaged, cover crops can compete with cash crops. In arid regions, a robust cover crop might exhaust soil moisture if not terminated with sufficient lead time before planting the next crop. Careful planning of cover crop planting and termination dates is essential to avoid such negative effects [23]. When well-timed, however, cover crops typically reduce the overall irrigation needs of the system by improving soil water retention. Common termination methods include mowing, rolling or applying herbicides and each has trade-offs for soil disturbance and timing [24].

Table 1: summarizes major categories of cover crops and their key agronomic benefits.

Cover Crop Type	Example Species/Varieties	Primary Benefits	Typical Use/Notes
Legumes	Red clover, hairy vetch, field peas, cowpea, sunn hemp	Nitrogen fixation; improves soil fertility	Common as winter (cool-season) or summer (warm-season) covers; improves yield potential for following crops
Grasses (Cereals)	Cereal rye, oats, barley, sudangrass, millet	High biomass; erosion control; enhances soil structure	Often used in fallow or cover mixtures; provides soil cover and suppresses weeds
Brassicas	Radish, mustard, turnip, oilseed radish, canola	Deep roots break compaction; scavenges nutrients; biofumigation	Improves infiltration and disrupts pests; common in winter/spring covers
Broadleaf (Non-legume)	Buckwheat, phacelia, sunflower	Rapid growth; nutrient scavenging; pollinator habitat	Short-term spring/summer covers; provides quick ground cover and weed suppression
Mixtures (Multi-species)	Combinations of legumes, grasses, brassicas	Synergistic benefits (e.g., nitrogen + biomass)	Multi-species plantings enhance multiple functions and resilience

Cover cropping strategies vary by region and climate. In temperate zones, farmers frequently plant winter cereals or legumes to prevent winter erosion and to green-manure fields for spring planting. In warmer climates, summer covers such as cowpea, sunn hemp or buckwheat may be planted during the off-season [25]. In rice-growing regions, floating legumes or Azolla add nitrogen between rice crops. In mixed crop-livestock

systems (common in parts of Africa, Latin America and Europe), cover crops are often grazed by animals, returning manure nutrients to the field [26]. Conservation agriculture programs around the world encourage regionally adapted mixes: for example, Australian research highlights deep-rooted ryegrass covers to build organic matter, while African trials emphasize drought-resistant legumes [27].

Cover crops significantly enhance climate resilience. By increasing soil organic matter and root density, they improve water-holding capacity, allowing soils to withstand dry spells. Their residues and root channels help rainwater infiltrate rather than run off, which protects against erosion from storms and floods [28]. Diverse cover stands moderate soil temperature swings, which can protect young cash crops from heat stress or frost. For instance, in dryland environments a winter cover crop can keep the soil cooler and moister than bare fallow, leading to better germination of the spring crop. In flood-prone climates, the improved structure and sponge-like effect of cover-cropped soils can reduce surface runoff after heavy rain [29].

Cover crops also improve nutrient management under climate stress. In warm regions where thunderstorms can leach nitrates, a cover crop can capture this nitrogen for later use. This not only boosts resilience to rainfall variability but also reduces pollution [30]. Cover cropping provides insurance value: fields with cover crops often have smaller yield losses in extreme years. For example, farms using cover crops have reported lower damage during droughts compared to bare fields, suggesting that these systems buffer against weather shocks [31].

Despite these benefits, cover cropping must be managed carefully. Farmers must choose species suited to local climate and crop rotation. The timing of planting and termination is important: too little growth means limited benefit, while too much cover can compete with the main crop for moisture in dry regions or delay planting in cool climates. Cover crops might require additional seed costs or new equipment (planters, rollers) [32]. Nevertheless, many farmers report that cover cropping leads to better soil over time. Adoption often starts on a small scale (testing a cover on part of a field) until confidence grows. Over the long term, fields under regular cover cropping typically show higher organic matter, lower compaction and more stable yields than those left bare [33].

Cover crops make productive use of fallow periods. Instead of leaving fields bare after a main harvest, planting a cover crop recycles sunlight and rain into organic matter [34]. For example, a Midwest corn farmer might seed a mixture of rye and clover after harvest; in India, some rice farmers grow green manure crops in the dry season; in Europe, sugar beet growers often sow mustard or vetch after sugar beet harvest. These practices show the versatility of cover cropping across climates and cropping systems [35].

Farmers worldwide adapt cover cropping to their systems. In the U.S. Midwest corn belt, cereal rye or winter wheat is commonly planted after grain harvest to carry cover into spring. In the southern U.S. and Argentina, warm-season covers like velvet bean or sunn hemp follow corn or cotton [36]. In Europe, mustard and clover mixes are typical after cereal harvest. In Southeast Asia, rice fields may be seeded with Azolla or Sesbania for nitrogen fixation. In parts of Africa, farmers are experimenting with cowpea or lablab during maize fallows. Each region selects cover crops that fit its climate and cropping calendar [37].

Cover crops also support climate adaptation directly. Improved water infiltration allows crops to succeed in seasons with lower rainfall. In drought-prone regions of Africa and Australia, integrating cover crops into fallow periods has reduced the need for irrigation [38]. After wildfires or heavy storms, regions from California to Australia have used cover cropping or cover residues as rapid soil stabilization measures to prevent washouts. In organic and low-input farms, cover crops form the backbone

of fertility management. They often substitute entirely for synthetic fertilizers by capturing atmospheric nitrogen or recycling manure. On conventional farms, they complement inputs by conserving nutrients for the next crop [39]. As precision agriculture tools (like variable-rate seeding and GPS guidance) become widespread, farmers can optimize cover crop planting to field zones, further enhancing efficiency [40].

It is worth noting related strategies: perennial covers and intercrops. Planting clover under orchards or using nitrogen-fixing trees in agroforestry are continuous-cover approaches that serve similar purposes. All these techniques share the goal of keeping living roots in the soil to maintain ecosystem functions year-round [41].

These practices exemplify a shift from short-term extraction to long-term stewardship of agricultural land. They keep active plant roots or residues on the field as long as possible, ensuring that natural processes (mulching, nitrogen fixation, mycorrhizal cycling) are harnessed [42]. Many long-term experiments and farm surveys report higher average yields under cover-cropped rotations, especially on marginal lands. Rather than seeking an immediate large yield jump, cover cropping often delivers steady improvements that compound year after year [43].

Conservation Tillage

Conservation tillage refers to practices that reduce the frequency or intensity of soil disturbance compared to conventional ploughing [44]. By leaving crop residues on the surface, these methods help protect and build the soil. Key forms of conservation tillage include:

- **No-till:** planting seeds directly into untilled soil while leaving all residues on the surface.
- **Reduced tillage:** using shallow or limited tillage tools (like chisel plows or discs) that disturb soil less intensely than full ploughing.
- **Strip-till:** tilling narrow strips in the field where seeds will be planted, while keeping the areas between rows undisturbed.
- **Mulch-till (vertical tillage):** lightly incorporating some residue into the soil but maintaining a protective mulch layer on the surface [45].

These methods share common benefits. With less soil inversion organic matter and surface mulch remain intact, which improves moisture retention and encourages soil life. Leaving residues reduces wind and water erosion. Over time, minimal disturbance allows soil structure to strengthen and soil organic carbon to increase [46]. Fewer passes with heavy machinery also mean lower fuel use and emissions. The net effects are generally healthier soils, better infiltration of rainfall and greater drought resilience [47].

However, conservation tillage requires new management. Weed control often shifts from mechanical tillage to integrated methods or targeted herbicides [48]. In very cold or wet climates, residue cover can slow soil warming or hinder planting, so modifications like row cleaners or planting on raised beds may be used. Soil type matters too: for example, sandy soils under no-till may require residue mulch to prevent crusting after rains, while heavy clays may need occasional deep ripping if a hardpan forms [49].

Table 2: compares various conservation tillage practices, highlighting their soil and climatic impacts and typical uses.

Conservation Tillage Practice	Key Features	Soil and Climate Impacts	Typical Use/Conditions
No-Till	No plowing; seeds sown directly through residue	Excellent erosion control; high water infiltration and retention; gradual buildup of organic matter; soil warms slowly in spring	Widely used in rain-fed grains (corn, soybean); suited to moderate or dry climates; ideal for sloping or erosion-prone land
Reduced Tillage	Minimal disturbance (e.g. chisel plow, disc)	Some residue remains; moderate erosion control; improved infiltration and root-zone structure	Common transitional step; suits a range of soils; a step toward full conservation tillage
Strip-Till	Tilling narrow strips for seed rows; residue between rows	Combines benefits of no-till (conservation) with a prepared seedbed; reduced erosion between rows; warms soil in the row	Ideal for row crops (maize, cotton) in cooler or wetter climates where full no-till may delay planting
Mulch-Till	Incorporates some residue but leaves surface mulch	Moderate erosion control and moisture retention; builds soil carbon more slowly than no-till	Used where full no-till equipment is unavailable; suits fields needing partial tillage

Conservation tillage improves soil moisture and carbon in many contexts. It is especially valuable on sloping land or erodible soils, where maintaining residue cover greatly cuts soil loss. Farmers balance the choice of system with their conditions ^[50]. For instance, in cool northern climates, farmers might open a band of seedbed (strip-till) to raise soil temperature in spring, while still conserving moisture elsewhere. In tropical uplands, no-till can prevent surface sealing after rain ^[51].

Worldwide, adoption patterns vary. North and South America saw large shifts toward no-till in the late 20th century. Brazil now plants most of its grain acreage (e.g. soy and corn) with no-till, benefiting sandy soils and catching early rains ^[52]. In the United States and Canada, millions of hectares of corn, soy and wheat are managed with no-till to preserve moisture. European farmers tend to use a mix of reduced tillage and cover crops, partly due to different farm sizes and policies. In parts of Asia and Africa, conservation tillage is spreading through government programs and farmer cooperatives. For example, zero-till drills have been introduced in India for wheat after rice harvest and in parts of Africa farmers are planting maize directly into residues from sorghum ^[53].

Reduced disturbance often leads to cost savings. Farmers using no-till might require 20-50% less diesel fuel per season. They save labour and time by combining operations (e.g. planting and fertilizing in one pass) and avoiding ploughing altogether ^[54]. This also lowers greenhouse gas emissions from farm machinery.

Equipment innovation continues to spread these practices. Modern no-till seeders can handle heavy residue and GPS guidance ensures precise planting with minimal overlap. Even simple approaches work: in many developing regions, farmers have practiced a basic form of conservation tillage for centuries by planting seeds into the prior year's ridges or using animal-drawn planters ^[55].

In the field, conservation tillage often goes hand-in-hand with crop rotation. For example, rotating corn and soy under no-till can break pest cycles and improve long-term soil health more than a single-crop system ^[56]. The residue from one crop becomes mulch for the next. This highlights that conservation tillage is usually implemented as part of an integrated system, not just a stand-alone practice ^[57].

Beyond soil retention, conservation tillage often lowers production costs. Farmers may spend 20-50% less time and diesel per hectare with no-till compared to full tillage. This also lowers greenhouse gas emissions from farming machinery. For example, eliminating plough passes saves fuel and time ^[58]. Some farmers combine conservation tillage with cover cropping for extra benefits. Planting a cover crop into a stubble field, followed by no-till planting of the next crop, amplifies the advantages ^[59]. In flood-prone areas, fields under no-till

experience less soil crusting after rains, allowing plants to establish more easily. In parts of Southeast Asia, alternative wetting and drying in rice fields with residue incorporation can boost yields while conserving water ^[60].

Conservation tillage's impact can be seen globally. In South America, mechanized farmers pioneered no-till in the 1990s, making large-scale soybean and corn production viable on degraded pastures. Today, countries like Argentina and Brazil plant most of their grain acres without ploughing ^[61]. In North America, millions of acres in the Great Plains use no-till for wheat and barley, improving soil moisture in arid regions. In contrast, densely farmed regions of Asia and Europe have mixed adoption. There, farmers often alternate between reduced tillage tools and traditional tillage. Demonstration projects in India and China show that even smallholder farmers can save time and water by skipping some plough passes ^[62].

It is important to adapt tillage to soil type; for example, sandy soils under no-till may require residue mulch to prevent surface crusting after rain. Nevertheless, long-term use of even reduced tillage raises earthworm counts and beneficial microbes, further improving soil structure and fertility over time ^[63].

Agronomic and Soil Health Outcomes

Research and on-farm trials show a variety of outcomes from cover cropping and conservation tillage. The effects depend on soil type, climate and crop rotation, but several common findings emerge:

- **Soil organic matter increases:** Cover crop and no-till systems consistently build organic carbon in the topsoil. Over years, these practices can raise organic matter significantly compared to conventional fallow and ploughing ^[64].
- **Improved soil structure and fertility:** Extra organic matter and root channels create better soil aggregation and nutrient cycling, often reducing the need for fertilizer over time ^[65].
- **Greater water infiltration and retention:** Residue-covered soils absorb rainfall more effectively and hold more moisture, making crops more tolerant of drought. Farmers often observe that cover-cropped fields stay moist longer in dry periods and no-till fields allow rain to penetrate rather than run off ^[66].
- **Greatly reduced erosion and runoff:** With plant cover and stable residues, topsoil loss is minimized. This conserves soil and protects water quality. In many trials, erosion under conservation systems is far below tolerable limits, whereas conventional plots often exceed them ^[67].
- **Yield and stability:** Cover cropping often leads to modest yield increases in subsequent crops (on the order of a few percent) by improving fertility. No-till may give similar

yields to conventional tillage in many conditions, though it often performs better under stress. In fact, conservation practices generally yield more stable outputs, buffering crops against extreme weather. For example, fields with cover crops and no-till have maintained better yields in drought years than their conventionally tilled neighbours [68].

- **Reduced greenhouse gas footprint:** Storing carbon in soil and using less diesel for field work lowers agriculture's net

emissions. Less ploughing means less carbon is released from soil and lower fuel use cuts CO₂ emissions [69].

These points are reflected in comparative outcomes across systems (Table 3). Fields under conventional tillage with no cover generally have the lowest soil health indicators and highest erosion. Fields with cover crops and no-till show the highest soil organic matter and the greatest protection.

Farming Practice	Relative Crop Yield	Soil Organic Matter	Erosion Risk
Conventional tillage, no cover	Baseline; yields may suffer in stress years	Low (baseline)	High
Reduced tillage, no cover	Similar or slightly lower; better moisture retention than baseline	Moderate	Moderate
No-till, no cover	Comparable or slightly lower (improves over time)	Moderate to high	Low
Conventional tillage + cover crop	Higher than baseline (nutrient boost)	Moderate to high	Moderate to low
Reduced tillage + cover crop	Higher yields; soils improving	High	Low
No-till + cover crop	Highest yields and stability	Highest	Minimal

Quantitative outcomes can be striking. For example, long-term no-till with cover crops often yields significantly more soil carbon than ploughed land. Surface soil infiltration rates can double under conservation practices, dramatically reducing runoff [70]. Cover crops can also capture tens of kilograms of nitrogen per hectare, benefiting the next cash crop. A legume winter cover might fix on the order of 50-100 kg N/ha, reducing fertilizer need. In practical terms, this can translate to yield boosts, for example, soybean yields might increase by around 5% following a legume cover and corn by a few percent, even without added fertilizer.

Erosion control metrics show the clearest contrasts [71]. Conventional tilled fields often lose most topsoil in a few heavy rains, whereas no-till fields with residue lose only a tiny fraction. In many studies, soil loss under conservation practices falls below natural soil formation rates, meaning the land is effectively rebuilding topsoil each year [72]. This translates to far less field damage and lower costs for flood and sediment control

over time.

Integration matters. Combining practices sequentially leads to cumulative benefits. For instance, a corn field with both a winter cover crop and no-till the next season tends to outperform fields with just one practice. Farmers often implement these changes gradually, for example, trying no-till on some fields first or planting covers on field edges until they reap the full benefits illustrated above [73].

Challenges and Solutions

Despite the agronomic benefits, farmers often encounter challenges when implementing cover cropping and conservation tillage. Some are technical (timing, equipment), others economic or social [74]. For instance, cover crops require careful termination and no-till often needs specialized planters. Farmers may perceive short-term yield risks or face market and policy barriers.

Table 4: lists common challenges along with strategies to address them

Challenge	Potential Solutions
Lack of knowledge or technical expertise	Provide farmer training, extension services and demonstration farms to share best practices.
Equipment and input costs	Offer cost-share incentives, subsidies or rental programs for no-till planters and cover crop seed; use low-cost local seed where possible.
Limited growing season or climate constraints	Choose fast-growing cover species; use cover crops in rotation or intercropped; adjust planting dates for climate.
Risk of initial yield reduction	Start with small field trials; gradually combine practices; emphasize long-term soil fertility payoffs.
Weed and pest management	Integrate cover crops that suppress weeds; adopt integrated pest management; use timely cover termination methods.
Lack of immediate financial incentives	Develop markets for cover crop biomass (fodder, bioenergy); include practices in subsidy programs; utilize carbon credit or insurance incentives.
Soil or field limitations	Adapt practice to soil type (e.g., strip-till on heavy clay; compaction-busting covers) and address drainage issues as needed.
Land tenure issues	Encourage cooperative or contract farming; support tenant-landlord cost-sharing for long-term soil health.
Cultural and social barriers	Build farmer networks, encourage peer learning groups and involve local leaders to demonstrate locally adapted methods.
Resource constraints for smallholders	Promote low-cost tools and manual techniques; provide microloans or group purchasing for equipment; tailor practices to small scales.

Successful examples exist worldwide. In parts of North America and Europe, cost-sharing programs and subsidies help farmers try cover crops [75]. In Brazilian soybean regions, no-till became standard with farmer cooperatives sharing expertise. In India and China, government-led demonstrations have shown small-scale no-till for wheat after rice. International development projects have introduced low-cost conservation methods to smallholders

in Africa and Asia, demonstrating that even without expensive machinery, the principles of minimal tillage can be adopted (for example, using broad-bed planting or shallow ripping) [76].

Policy and incentives play a major role globally. Some countries now explicitly reward farmers for soil-building practices. Agricultural subsidy programs in Europe and North America favour conservation tillage and cover cropping by offering

payments or tax incentives ^[77]. In the United States, programs like the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) have helped thousands of farmers adopt cover crops by offsetting seed and planting costs. Several states also offer carbon farming programs that pay farmers for sequestering carbon in soils ^[78]. Education and community engagement are crucial. Farmer field days, regional workshops and demonstration plots have been effective in showing benefits under real conditions. Peer-to-peer learning where farmers share experiences with neighbours often overcomes cultural inertia. For instance, early adopters frequently become local ambassadors, teaching others how to succeed. Some agricultural extension services have developed decision-support tools for cover crop selection and no-till planning ^[79]. Economic analyses indicate that the initial transition often takes 2-5 years before net benefits are clear. Farmers who persist generally find that higher yields, combined with lower input costs, outweigh any early investments. For example, a farmer in the Midwest might spend extra seed money in year one but then save thousands on fertilizer and fuel in subsequent seasons, leading to higher profit in the long run ^[80]. Globally, overcoming socioeconomic barriers is a work in progress. Initiatives like “regenerative agriculture” programs and carbon farming certifications are aligning market incentives with soil health practices. Some large food companies and buyers encourage farmers to use cover crops or no-till as part of sustainable sourcing guidelines, creating new market demand. Crop insurance companies in some regions even offer premium discounts for fields managed with soil-conserving practices, recognizing the lower risk of extreme losses ^[81]. Land ownership and scale also matter. Renters or short-term tenants may be hesitant to invest in soil-building practices from which they won't directly benefit. Cooperative models, land stewardship programs or cost-sharing with landowners can help bridge this gap. In smallholder contexts, simple hand tools or animal traction can achieve basic conservation tillage. International programs are increasingly translating these methods to small-plot farming, often combining cover crops with intercropping or agro-forestry to suit local conditions ^[82]. Despite these challenges, momentum is growing. Organizations from farmer cooperatives to international agencies promote these techniques as nature-based solutions. Some climate policies now include soil carbon credits or conservation farming targets. Continuing research, supportive policy and collaborative innovation are key to overcoming hurdles and making these practices more accessible everywhere ^[83].

Conclusion

Cover cropping and conservation tillage are powerful tools for building climate resilience in agriculture worldwide. By protecting and enhancing soil health, these practices help farming systems buffer against drought, heavy rains and the uncertainties of a changing climate. Studies and experience from different regions show that cover crops and reduced tillage can increase soil organic matter, improve moisture dynamics and stabilize crop yields over time. When used together (for example, sowing a winter cover crop and planting the next season's crop with no-till), the synergy can maximize these soil and crop benefits. Implementing these practices at scale requires addressing challenges, but the long-term advantages are substantial. Policymakers, researchers and farmers can work together through education, incentives and technical innovation to make cover cropping and conservation tillage more accessible. Demonstration farms, farmer networks and extension programs have shown how to adapt methods to local conditions.

In many regions, success stories from the grain belt of North America to the plantations of Asia illustrate the potential: farmers who adopt these methods often observe healthier soil and more consistent harvests over time. The benefits extend beyond individual farms. At the landscape level, widespread adoption reduces sediment and nutrient runoff into rivers, improving water quality and habitat downstream. Healthier soils also store more carbon and require fewer chemical inputs, contributing to climate change mitigation. These practices align with broader sustainable development goals, supporting food security, clean water and climate action. Looking ahead, research and innovation will continue to improve these approaches. Scientists are developing cover crop mixes tailored to specific climates and cropping systems and engineers are designing more efficient planting equipment. Farmers will use these tools to fine-tune practices on diverse landscapes. Already, where cover crops and conservation tillage have been tried, many farmers report positive outcomes for their soils and crops. In summary, cover cropping and conservation tillage exemplify a way of farming that works with natural processes. They are not a panacea, but when combined thoughtfully with crop rotation, pest management and efficient water use, they form the core of climate-smart agriculture. By sharing knowledge and supporting innovation, the global agricultural community can overcome adoption barriers. Integrating living soil cover and minimal disturbance into farming systems offers a practical pathway to more productive, sustainable and resilient agriculture. Ultimately, these strategies help ensure that agricultural lands remain fertile and productive even as climate challenges grow, benefiting farmers and ecosystems alike. Cover cropping and conservation tillage represent a paradigm shift: keeping soils covered with living roots and minimizing disturbance turns fields into resilient, living systems. With continued learning and cooperation across regions, these practices can play a key role in achieving sustainable food production in the face of climate change.

References

1. Rosati A, Borek R, Canali S. Agroforestry and organic agriculture. *Agrofor Syst.* 2021;95:805-21.
2. Tsiafouli MA, Thébault E, Sgardelis SP, de Ruiter PC, van der Putten WH, Birkhofer K, *et al.* Intensive agriculture reduces soil biodiversity across Europe. *Glob Chang Biol.* 2015;21:973-85.
3. European Union. From Farm to Fork: Our Food, Our Health, Our Planet, Our Future. Volume 2. Brussels, Belgium: European Commission; 2020.
4. Sokol NW, Kuebbing SE, Karlsen-Ayala E, Bradford MA. Evidence for the primacy of living root inputs, not root or shoot litter, in forming soil organic carbon. *New Phytol.* 2019;221:233-46.
5. Somenahally A, DuPont JI, Brady J, McLawrence J, Northup B, Gowda P. Microbial communities in soil profile are more responsive to legacy effects of wheat-cover crop rotations than tillage systems. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2018;123:126-35.
6. Jabro JD, Allen BL, Rand T, Dangi SR, Campbell JW, Cruse R, *et al.* Effect of Previous Crop Roots on Soil Compaction in 2 Yr Rotations under a No-Tillage System. *Land.* 2021;10:202.
7. Jensen JL, Thomsen IK, Eriksen J, Christensen BT. Spring barley grown for decades with straw incorporation and cover crops: Effects on crop yields and N uptake. *Field Crops Res.* 2021;270:108228.

8. Lazcano C, Gonzalez-Maldonado N, Yao EH, Wong CTF, Merrilees JJ, Falcone M, *et al.* Sheep grazing as a strategy to manage cover crops in Mediterranean vineyards: Short-term effects on soil C, N and greenhouse gas (N₂O, CH₄, CO₂) emissions. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.* 2022;327:107825.
9. Fiorini A, Maris SC, Abalos D, Amaducci S, Tabaglio V. Combining no-till with rye (*Secale cereale* L.) cover crop mitigates nitrous oxide emissions without decreasing yield. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2020;196:104442.
10. Drost SM, Rutgers M, Wouterse M, de Boer W, Bodelier PLE. Decomposition of mixtures of cover crop residues increases microbial functional diversity. *Geoderma.* 2020;361:114060.
11. Abdalla M, Hastings A, Cheng K, Yue Q, Chadwick D, Espenberg M, *et al.* A critical review of the impacts of cover crops on nitrogen leaching, net greenhouse gas balance and crop productivity. *Glob Chang Biol.* 2019;25:2530-43.
12. Vogeler I, Hansen EM, Thomsen IK, Østergaard HS. Legumes in catch crop mixtures: Effects on nitrogen retention and availability and leaching losses. *J Environ Manag.* 2019;239:324-32.
13. Araújo FCD, Nascente AS, Nunes JL. Cover crops in the off-season in the weed management at no-tillage area. *Rev Caatinga.* 2021;2125:50-7.
14. Acharya BS, Dodla S, Gaston LA, Darapuneni M, Wang JJ, Sepat S, *et al.* Winter cover crops effect on soil moisture and soybean growth and yield under different tillage systems. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2019;195:104430.
15. Inveninato Carmona G, Delserone LM, Nogueira Duarte Campos J, Ferreira De Almeida T, Vieira Branco Ozório D, David Betancurt Cardona J, *et al.* Does Cover Crop Management Affect Arthropods in the Subsequent Corn and Soybean Crops in the United States? A Systematic Review. *Ann Entomol Soc Am.* 2021;114:151-62.
16. Mallinger RE, Franco JG, Prischmann-Voldseth DA, Prasifka JR. Annual cover crops for managed and wild bees: Optimal plant mixtures depend on pollinator enhancement goals. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.* 2019;273:107-16.
17. Jacobs AA, Evans RS, Allison JK, Garner ER, Kingery WL, McCulley RL. Cover crops and no-tillage reduce crop production costs and soil loss, compensating for lack of short-term soil quality improvement in a maize and soybean production system. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2022;218:105310.
18. Wulannityas HS, Gong Y, Li P, Sakagami N, Nishiwaki J, Komatsuzaki M. A cover crop and no-tillage system for enhancing soil health by increasing soil organic matter in soybean cultivation. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2021;205:104749.
19. Lal R. Soil management for carbon sequestration. *S Afr J Plant Soil.* 2021;38:231-7.
20. Vassilev N, Malusà E, Neri D, Xu X. Editorial: Plant Root Interaction With Associated Microbiomes to Improve Plant Resiliency and Crop Biodiversity. *Front Plant Sci.* 2021;12:10-2.
21. Adetunji AT, Ncube B, Mulidzi R, Lewu FB. Management impact and benefit of cover crops on soil quality: A review. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2020;204:104717.
22. Mancinelli R, Muleo R, Marinari S, Radicetti E. How soil ecological intensification by means of cover crops affects nitrogen use efficiency in pepper cultivation. *Agriculture.* 2019;9:145.
23. Thapa R, Mirsky SB, Tully KL. Cover Crops Reduce Nitrate Leaching in Agroecosystems: A Global Meta-Analysis. *J Environ Qual.* 2018;47:1400-11.
24. Blanco-Canqui H, Ruis SJ. Cover crop impacts on soil physical properties: A review. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 2020;84:1527-76.
25. Anushi AK, Ghosh PK. From seed to succulence: Mastering dragon fruit propagation techniques. *J Plant Biota.* 2024.
26. Yashasvi GN, Tripathi DV, Awasthi V, Anushi A. Impact of PSB and Vermicompost on Growth, Yield and Quality of Strawberry. Dr VK and Awasthi, Vineet and Anushi, Anushi, Impact of PSB and Vermicompost on Growth, Yield and Quality of Strawberry. 2022.
27. Anushi BPS, Sachan K. Bioformulation: A new frontier in horticulture for eco-friendly crop management. *J Plant Biota.* 2024.
28. Anushi VK, Shukla P. Influence of biostimulants and organic mulch on soil microbial population in strawberry (*F. × ananassa* Dutch.).
29. Anushi RM, Deshmukh RN, Sharma R. From DNA to Deliciousness: A Journey into Molecular Markers in Fruits.
30. Magdoff F, Van Es H. Building Soils for Better Crops: Ecological management for healthy soils. *Sustain Agric Res Educ.* 2019;10:394.
31. Boselli R, Fiorini A, Santelli S, Ardeni F, Capra F, Maris SC, *et al.* Cover crops during transition to no-till maintain yield and enhance soil fertility in intensive agro-ecosystems. *Field Crops Res.* 2020;255:107871.
32. Papp R, Marinari S, Moscatelli MC, van der Heijden MGA, Wittwer R, Campiglia E, *et al.* Short-term changes in soil biochemical properties as affected by subsidiary crop cultivation in four European pedo-climatic zones. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2018;180:126-36.
33. Gao H, Tian G, Khashi u Rahman M, Wu F. Cover Crop Species Composition Alters the Soil Bacterial Community in a Continuous Pepper Cropping System. *Front Microbiol.* 2022;12:3882.
34. Waisen P, Cheng Z, Sipes BS, Wang KH. Biofumigation effects of brassicaceous cover crops on soil health in cucurbit agroecosystems in Hawaii, USA. *Pedosphere.* 2022;32:521-31.
35. Aydınli G, Mennan S. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology Biofumigation Studies by Using *Raphanus sativus* and *Eruca sativa* as a Winter Cycle Crops to Control Root-knot Nematodes. *Agribus Biotechnol.* 2018;61:18180249.
36. Mukherjee R, Sen S. Harvest (Online); Bi-Annual Sustainable Agriculture & N agricultural sustainability through nitrogen fixation: Approaches and techniques. *Harvest.* 2021;6:48-55.
37. Wang Y, Lambers H. Root-released organic anions in response to low phosphorus availability: Recent progress, challenges and future perspectives. *Plant Soil.* 2020;447:135-56.
38. Castellano-Hinojosa A, Strauss SL. Impact of cover crops on the soil microbiome of tree crops. *Microorganisms.* 2020;8:328.
39. Anushi SS, Krishnamoorthi A, Kumar S, Pareta P, Kalaiselvi P, Sinha G, *et al.* Biotech bounty on verge: gm (genetically modified) crops and the science of sustainable agriculture and horticulture.
40. Anushi M, Jain S, Sharma R, Thapliyal V. The Horticulture Encyclopedia.
41. Anushi FD, Krishnamoorthi A, Singh V. Enhancing Sustainable Food Systems Through the Cultivation of Nutrient-Rich Crops: Millets.
42. Anushi SJ, Sharma R, Thapliyal V, Behera SD. Frontiers in

- Crop Improvement. Volume 11 Special Issue III July 2023. 2023;1668.
43. Anushi VK, Awasthi V, Yashasvi GN. Frontiers in Crop Improvement. Volume 9 Special Issue-III 2021 August. 2021;1026.
 44. Meena RS, Kumar S, Yadav GS. Soil carbon sequestration in crop production. In: Nutrient Dynamics for Sustainable Crop Production. Singapore: Springer; 2019. p. 1-39.
 45. Génard T, Etienne P, Lañé P, Yvin JC, Diquélou S. Nitrogen transfer from *Lupinus albus* L., *Trifolium incarnatum* L. and *Vicia sativa* L. contribute differently to rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) nitrogen nutrition. Heliyon. 2016;2:e00150.
 46. Fustec J, Lesuffleur F, Mahieu S, Cliquet JB. Nitrogen rhizodeposition of legumes. In: Sustainable Agriculture. Volume 2. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer; 2009. p. 869-81.
 47. Lai H, Gao F, Su H, Zheng P, Li Y, Yao H. Nitrogen Distribution and Soil Microbial Community Characteristics in A Legume-cereal Intercropping System: A Review. Agronomy. 2022;12:1900.
 48. Ferrari Machado PV, Farrell RE, Bell G, Taveira CJ, Congreves KA, Voroney RP, *et al.* Crop residues contribute minimally to spring-thaw nitrous oxide emissions under contrasting tillage and crop rotations. Soil Biol Biochem. 2021;152:108057.
 49. Machado PVF, Farrell RE, Wagner-Riddle C. Spatial variation of nitrous oxide fluxes during growing and non-growing seasons at a location subjected to seasonally frozen soils. Can J Soil Sci. 2021;101:555-64.
 50. Meena RS, Vijayakumar V, Yadav GS, Mitran T. Response and interaction of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the soybean rhizosphere. Plant Growth Regul. 2018;84:207-23.
 51. Scavo A, Restuccia A, Lombardo S, Fontanazza S, Abbate C, Pandino G, *et al.* Improving soil health, weed management and nitrogen dynamics by *Trifolium subterraneum* cover cropping. Agron Sustain Dev. 2020;40:18.
 52. Guardia G, Aguilera E, Vallejo A, Sanz-Cobena A, Alonso-Ayuso M, Quemada M. Effective climate change mitigation through cover cropping and integrated fertilization: A global warming potential assessment from a 10-year field experiment. J Clean Prod. 2019;241:118307.
 53. Taab A, Khazaie M, Andersson L, Bergkvist G, Radicetti E. Ecological intensification using Persian clover to support weed management in winter wheat under semiarid conditions. Crop Prot. 2022;164:106142.
 54. Ahmadnia F, Ebadi A, Hashemi M, Ghavidel A. Investigating the short time effect of cover crops on physical and biological properties of soil. J Water Soil Conserv. 2020;26:277-90.
 55. Chapagain T, Lee EA, Raizada MN. The Potential of Multi-Species Mixtures to Diversify Cover Crop Benefits. Sustainability. 2020;12:2058.
 56. Barrena-González J, Rodrigo-Comino J, Gyasi-Agyei Y, Fernández MP, Cerdà A. Applying the RUSLE and ISUM in the Tierra de Barros Vineyards (Extremadura, Spain) to estimate soil mobilisation rates. Land. 2020;9:93.
 57. Kaye JP, Quemada M. Using cover crops to mitigate and adapt to climate change. A review. Agron Sustain Dev. 2017;37:4.
 58. Beniaich A, Silva MLN, Guimarães DV, Bispo DFA, Avanzi JC, Curi N, *et al.* Assessment of soil erosion in olive orchards (*Olea europaea* L.) Under cover crops management systems in the tropical region of Brazil. Rev Bras Ciênc Solo. 2020;44.
 59. López-Vicente M, Calvo-Seas E, Álvarez S, Cerdà A. Effectiveness of cover crops to reduce loss of soil organic matter in a rainfed vineyard. Land. 2020;9:230.
 60. Novara A, Cerdà A, Barone E, Gristina L. Cover crop management and water conservation in vineyard and olive orchards. Soil Tillage Res. 2021;208:104896.
 61. Isik D, Dok M, Ak K, Macit I, Demir Z, Mennan H. Use of cover crops for weed suppression in hazelnut (*Corylus avellana* L.) in turkey. Commun Agric Appl Biol Sci. 2014;79:105-10.
 62. Demir Z, Isik D. The impact of different cover crops, mechanical cultivation and herbicide treatment on the soil quality variables and yield in apple (*Malus domestica* borkh.) orchard with a coarse-textured soil. Tarim Bilim Derg. 2020;26:452-70.
 63. Bowles TM, Mooshammer M, Socolar Y, Calderón F, Cavigelli MA, Culman SW, *et al.* Long-Term Evidence Shows that Crop-Rotation Diversification Increases Agricultural Resilience to Adverse Growing Conditions in North America. One Earth. 2020;2:284-93.
 64. Hunt ND, Hill JD, Liebman M. Reducing Freshwater Toxicity while Maintaining Weed Control, Profits and Productivity: Effects of Increased Crop Rotation Diversity and Reduced Herbicide Usage. Environ Sci Technol. 2017;51:1707-17.
 65. Pott LP, Amado TJC, Schwalbert RA, Gebert FH, Reimche GB, Pes LZ, *et al.* Effect of hairy vetch cover crop on maize nitrogen supply and productivity at varying yield environments in Southern Brazil. Sci Total Environ. 2021;759:144313.
 66. Liu J, Peng Y, Li C, Gao Z, Chen S. Characterization of the hydrochemistry of water resources of the Weibei Plain, Northern China, as well as an assessment of the risk of high groundwater nitrate levels to human health. Environ Pollut. 2021;268:115947.
 67. Eshel G, Unc A, Egozi R, Shakartchy E, Doniger T, Steinberger Y. Orchard floor management effect on soil free-living nematode communities. Soil Res. 2021;60:310-9.
 68. Abbas T, Ahmad A, Kamal A, Nawaz MY, Jamil MA, Saeed T, *et al.* Ways to Use Allelopathic Potential for Weed Management: A Review. Int J Food Sci Agric. 2021;5:492-8.
 69. Campiglia E, Radicetti E, Mancinelli R. Weed control strategies and yield response in a pepper crop (*Capsicum annuum* L.) mulched with hairy vetch (*Vicia villosa* Roth.) and oat (*Avena sativa* L.) residues. Crop Prot. 2012;33:65-73.
 70. Langeroodi AS, Radicetti E, Campiglia E. How cover crop residue management and herbicide rate affect weed management and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) crop. Renew Agric Food Syst. 2019;34:492-500.
 71. Anushi SJ, Krishnamoorthi A, Singh SK. Cultivating Tomorrow: Precision Agriculture and Sustainable Crop Production.
 72. Anushi TV, Awasthi V, Yashasvi GN. Impact of pre-harvest application of plant bio-regulators and micronutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). Front Crop Improv. 2021;9(3):1026-30.
 73. Gerhards R, Schappert A. Advancing cover cropping in

- temperate integrated weed management. *Pest Manag Sci.* 2020;76:42-6.
74. Scavo A, Abbate C, Mauromicale G. Plant allelochemicals: Agronomic, nutritional and ecological relevance in the soil system. *Plant Soil.* 2019;442:23-48.
 75. dos Santos Soares D, Ramos MLG, Marchão RL, Maciel GA, de Oliveira AD, Malaquias JV, *et al.* How diversity of crop residues in long-term no-tillage systems affect chemical and microbiological soil properties. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2019;194:104316.
 76. Thapa VR, Ghimire R, Acosta-Martínez V, Marsalis MA, Schipanski ME. Cover crop biomass and species composition affect soil microbial community structure and enzyme activities in semiarid cropping systems. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2021;157:103735.
 77. Li X, Tan A, Chen K, Pan Y, Gentry T, Dou F. Effect of cover crop type and application rate on soil nitrogen mineralization and availability in organic rice production. *Sustainability.* 2021;13:2866.
 78. Jiang Y, Zhou H, Chen L, Yuan Y, Fang H, Luan L, *et al.* Nematodes and microorganisms interactively stimulate soil organic carbon turnover in the macroaggregates. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9:2803.
 79. Rillig MC, Muller LA, Lehmann A. Soil aggregates as massively concurrent evolutionary incubators. *ISME J.* 2017;11:1943-8.
 80. Kim N, Zabaloy MC, Guan K, Villamil MB. Do cover crops benefit soil microbiome? A meta-analysis of current research. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2020;142:107701.
 81. Restuccia A, Scavo A, Lombardo S, Pandino G, Fontanazza S, Anastasi U, *et al.* Long-term effect of cover crops on species abundance and diversity of weed flora. *Plants.* 2020;9:1506.
 82. Radicetti E, Osipitan OA, Reza A, Langeroodi S, Marinari S, Mancinelli R. CO₂ Flux and C Balance due to the Replacement of Bare Soil with Agro-Ecological Service Crops in Mediterranean Environment. *Agriculture.* 2019;9:71.
 83. McNunn G, Karlen DL, Salas W, Rice CW, Mueller S, Muth D, *et al.* Climate smart agriculture opportunities for mitigating soil greenhouse gas emissions across the U.S. Corn-Belt. *J Clean Prod.* 2020;268:122240.
 84. Arrouays D, Horn R. Soil Carbon-4 per Mille An introduction. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2019;188:1-2.
 85. Menta C, Conti FD, Fondón CL, Staffilani F, Remelli S. Soil arthropod responses in agroecosystem: Implications of different management and cropping systems. *Agronomy.* 2020;10:982.
 86. Thapa R, Tully KL, Hamovit N, Yarwood SA, Schomberg HH, Cabrera ML, *et al.* Microbial processes and community structure as influenced by cover crop residue type and placement during repeated dry-wet cycles. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2022;172:104349.
 87. Lacey C, Nevins C, Camberato J, Kladivko E, Sadeghpour A, Armstrong S. Carbon and nitrogen release from cover crop residues and implications for cropping systems management. *J Soil Water Conserv.* 2020;75:505-14.
 88. Kocira A, Staniak M, Tomaszewska M, Kornas R, Cymerman J, Panasiewicz K, *et al.* Legume cover crops as one of the elements of strategic weed management and soil quality improvement. A review. *Agriculture.* 2020;10:394.
 89. Muhammad I, Sainju UM, Zhao F, Khan A, Ghimire R, Fu X, *et al.* Regulation of soil CO₂ and N₂O emissions by cover crops: A meta-analysis. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2019;192:103-12.
 90. Behnke GD, Villamil MB. Cover crop rotations affect greenhouse gas emissions and crop production in Illinois, USA. *Field Crops Res.* 2019;241:107580.
 91. Ogilvie CM, Ashiq W, Vasava HB, Biswas A. Quantifying root-soil interactions in cover crop systems: A review. *Agriculture.* 2021;11:218.
 92. Sharma V, Irmak S. Soil-Water Dynamics, Evapotranspiration and Crop Coefficients of Cover-Crop Mixtures in Seed Maize Cover-Crop Rotation Fields. II: Grass-Reference and Alfalfa-Reference Single (Normal) and Basal Crop Coefficients. *J Irrig Drain Eng.* 2017;143:04017033.
 93. Delpuech X, Metay A. Adapting cover crop soil coverage to soil depth to limit competition for water in a Mediterranean vineyard. *Eur J Agron.* 2018;97:60-9.
 94. Basche AD, DeLonge MS. Comparing infiltration rates in soils managed with conventional and alternative farming methods: A meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE.* 2019;14:e0215702.
 95. Zhao J, De Notaris C, Olesen JE. Autumn-based vegetation indices for estimating nitrate leaching during autumn and winter in arable cropping systems. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.* 2020;290:106786.