



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20
www.agronomyjournals.com
2025; 8(9): 932-936
Received: 12-07-2025
Accepted: 04-08-2025

Dayamani KJ
Assistant Professor Agricultural
Microbiology, College of
Horticulture, University of
Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot,
Karnataka, India

Vikram Appanna
Assistant Professor, Department of
Agril. Microbiology, DSLD
CHEFT, University of
Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot,
Karnataka, India

Pallavi HM
Associate Professor of Soil Science,
College of Horticulture, University
of Horticultural Sciences,
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Premalatha BR
Associate Professor of Agronomy,
College of Horticulture, University
of Horticultural Sciences,
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Mamathalakshmi N
Assistant Professor, Agricultural
Extension, College of Horticulture,
University of Horticultural
Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka,
India

Shivakumar KM
Associate Professor of Soil Science,
College of Horticulture, University
of Horticultural Sciences,
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:

Dayamani KJ
Assistant Professor Agricultural
Microbiology, College of
Horticulture, University of
Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot,
Karnataka, India

Interactive effect of liquid *formulations* containing available and unavailable forms of phosphorus source on nitrogen efficiency in aerobic rice

**Dayamani KJ, Vikram Appanna, Pallavi HM, Premalatha BR,
Mamathalakshmi N and Shivakumar KM**

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i9m.3880>

Abstract

Green house experiment was studies to explore the effect of thus developed liquid *formulations* from previous experiments of above authors and the respective lignite based *formulations* in the presence and absence of nutrients and at two forms of available and unavailable form of phosphorous (KH_2PO_4 and North Carolina Rock Phosphate (NCRP)) on aerobic rice variety MAS-26. As a result, higher root nitrogen concentration was observed in *P. fluorescens* liquid *formulation* and also in the plants received P as KH_2PO_4 . However, there was significantly higher root N content was observed in plants inoculated liquid *formulation* of *P. fluorescens*. Whereas, liquid *P. fluorescens* and *Azospirillum* showed highest total nitrogen content.

Keywords: Greenhouse experiment, *pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Azospirillum*, phosphorus forms

Introduction

In rice, phosphorus play an important role in determining productivity. Because root parameters decides the phosphorus uptake capacity. Phosphorus (P) is highly required for the for plant growth and nutrition, though it is least available nutrients among all, because P is become unavailable form once it get complexes with chemical and biological form (Richardson *et al.* 2009) ^[10]. A key aspect of improving crop performance in low-P soils is improving P acquisition efficiency via improved root traits (Lynch and Brown 2008; Richardson *et al.* 2011) ^[7, 11]. In the view of future generations one should focus on optimal and uniform utilization of the resource as they fetches more costs (Ditta *et al.* 2018) ^[3]. Very poor quality and locally deposited phosphates are available but they are fully utilized for the farmers benefit (Tarafdar 2013) ^[12]. Rock phosphate contains 25% of P content; being insoluble in water cannot be directly applied as fertilizer (Reddy *et al.* 2002) ^[9]. With this background, liquid inoculant *formulations* developed for *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were used in the study formulated (from previous works) at two forms of available and unavailable form of phosphorous (KH_2PO_4 and North Carolina Rock Phosphate (NCRP)) on aerobic rice variety MAS-26.

Materials and Methods

Laboratory investigations

Beneficial microbes used in the study

Cultures of *Azotobacter sp.*, *Azospirillum*, *Bacillus*, and *Acinetobacter* and *P. fluorescens* obtained from the department purified on specific media and subjected to microscopic observation and based on colony morphology compared with the standard cultures and maintained

Green house investigation

A green house experiment was conducted to know the effect of thus developed (from the previous work) liquid *formulations* of selected microorganisms and the respective lignite based *formulations* in the presence and absence of nutrients and at two forms of available and

unavailable form of phosphorous (KH_2PO_4 and North Carolina Rock Phosphate (NCRP)) on aerobic rice variety MAS-26.

Methods of soil processing for pot culture studies

Soil collection and processing were done as per the procedure given by Dayamani and Premalatha during 2025 [2].

Preparation of inoculant formulations

Preparation of liquid based and carrier based inoculant formulations

Liquid based and carrier based inoculant formulations were prepared according to the procedure given by Dayamani and Premalatha during 2025 [2].

Table 1: Liquid formulations used for the green house investigation

Bacterial culture	Specific medium	Osmolyte concentration
<i>Azospirillum</i> sps.	Malate medium (Okons media)	PEG 400 (1%) + Glycerol (2%)
<i>B. megaterium</i>	Modified Sperber's medium	PVP K -15 (1%) + Glycerol (2%)
<i>Acinetobacter</i> sps.	Modified Sperber's medium	PEG 6000 (2%) + Glycerol (2%)
<i>P. fluorescens</i>	King's B media	PVP K -15 (2%) + Glycerol (2%)

Treatment details

Treatments	Formulations	Nutrient solution
T ₁	Absolute control	N ⁺ P ⁺
T ₂	<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid formulation	
T ₃	<i>Bacillus</i> liquid formulation	
T ₄	<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid formulation	
T ₅	<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid formulation	
T ₆	<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite formulation	
T ₇	<i>Bacillus</i> lignite formulation	
T ₈	<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite formulation	
T ₉	<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite formulation	
T ₁₀	Absolute control	N ⁺ P ⁺ (KH_2PO_4)
T ₁₁	<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid formulation	
T ₁₂	<i>Bacillus</i> liquid formulation	
T ₁₃	<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid formulation	
T ₁₄	<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid formulation	
T ₁₅	<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite formulation	
T ₁₆	<i>Bacillus</i> lignite formulation	
T ₁₇	<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite formulation	
T ₁₈	<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite formulation	
T ₁₉	Absolute control	N ⁺ P ⁺ (Rock phosphate)
T ₂₀	<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid formulation	
T ₂₁	<i>Bacillus</i> liquid formulation	
T ₂₂	<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid formulation	
T ₂₃	<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid formulation	
T ₂₄	<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite formulation	
T ₂₅	<i>Bacillus</i> lignite formulation	
T ₂₆	<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite formulation	
T ₂₇	<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite formulation	

Test plant and planting

Aerobic rice seeds of variety MAS-26 were surface sterilized with 2% sodium hypochlorite solution and washed with sterile distilled water five times to remove the traces of chlorine and then soaked in sterile water for 2 days. After 48 hours, 10 seeds were sown at five cm depth in every single pot. Then the recommended doses of 1.35g of carrier based formulation and 1 ml of liquid formulation were applied according to the treatment imposed. The pots were watered regularly to maintain the field capacity seedlings were thinned out and five seedlings per pot were maintained.

Observations

Nitrogen content in plant samples

N concentration in shoot and root of plants was estimated by Micro- Kjeldhal method as given by Jackson (1973) [5].

Total N content: The total nitrogen content was calculated by the addition of N content of shoot and root

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done by using two factor randomized complete block design and means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Little and Hills, 1978) [6].

Experimental Results

Green house experiment

A greenhouse experiment was conducted to know the effect of thus developed liquid inoculant formulation along with lignite based formulations in the presence and absence of nutrients and at two forms of available and unavailable forms of phosphorous (KH_2PO_4 and North Carolina Rock Phosphate (NCRP)) on aerobic rice variety MAS-26 as test plant

Shoot nitrogen concentration of aerobic rice differed significantly due to inoculation of beneficial microorganisms in different inoculant formulation and P source. Highest shoot nitrogen concentration was seen in plants added with *Acinetobacter* in liquid form followed by *Azospirillum* in liquid and least in uninoculated plants. Among different source of P, plants receiving P as KH_2PO_4 were found to have significantly higher shoot N concentration compared to rock phosphate and

lowest in nutrient control (Table 1).

Significant difference among treatments in case of shoot nitrogen concentration at all the three sources of P was seen. When P was supplied as rock phosphate, both *Acinetobacter* and *Bacillus* resulted in higher shoot N concentration inoculated as liquid and lignite formulation respectively followed by *Azospirillum* in lignite formulation and least in uninoculated treatment. When P was supplied as KH_2PO_4 highest shoot Nitrogen concentration was observed in plants inoculated with *Azospirillum*, *Bacillus* and *Acinetobacter* through liquid formulation followed by *P. fluorescens* in liquid formulation and least in uninoculated plants (Table 1)

Root nitrogen concentration of aerobic rice was differed significantly due to inoculation of beneficial bacteria in different inoculant formulations and P sources. Significantly higher root N was observed in plants treated with *P. fluorescens* through liquid inoculant followed by liquid formulation of *Bacillus* and least in uninoculated treatment. Among different sources of P treatment receiving P as KH_2PO_4 resulted in higher root nitrogen concentration compared to rock phosphate (Table 2).

Among the treatments receiving P as rock phosphate, highest root N concentration was found in *P. fluorescens* inoculated plants followed by *Acinetobacter* and least in *Azospirillum* inoculated plants. When P source was KH_2PO_4 higher root N concentration was observed in plants treated with *P. fluorescens* through liquid formulation and all other treatments showing results on par with uninoculated control under P limiting condition, higher N concentration was observed in plants inoculated with *P. fluorescens* in liquid formulations and least in uninoculated control (Table 2).

No significant difference in shoot N content of aerobic rice was observed due to the inoculation of beneficial bacteria in inoculant formulation irrespective of the three sources of P. However P main effect differed significantly with highest shoot N content in plants supplied with P as KH_2PO_4 followed by rock

phosphate and lowest in nutrient control (Table 3). Amutha *et al.*, 2009 [1] proved that the inoculation of *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Arthrobacter* and *Serratia* has resulted in increased number of tillers, height, plant dry weight, N content and number of productive tillers.

Magda *et al.* (2003) studied the effect of phosphate dissolving bacteria *Bacillus megaterium* and *Azolla* under two different sources of chemical P fertilizer (as superphosphate or rock phosphate) on rice. They reported that application of biofertilizers under chemical Phosphate fertilizer was more effective than chemical P-fertilizer only. P- fertilizer superphosphate (SP) superorder rock phosphate fertilizer in terms of rice growth and yield.

Root N content of aerobic rice did not differ significantly due to inoculation of beneficial bacteria in different inoculant formulation irrespective of P source used. However there was significant difference among treatment main effects with highest root N content in plants inoculated liquid *P. fluorescens* followed by lignite *P. fluorescens* and least in uninoculated plants. Among three P sources highest root N was observed when P was supplied as KH_2PO_4 followed by rock phosphate and least in P limiting treatment (Table 4)

Total N content of aerobic rice differed significantly due to inoculation of beneficial microorganisms under available P source, but not under P limiting and rock phosphate treated. Highest total N was observed in plants inoculated with *Azospirillum* and *P. fluorescens* as liquid formulation followed by *Bacillus* liquid (Table 5)

Among treatment main effect significantly higher N content was observed in plants treated with *P. fluorescens* in liquid formulation followed by *P. fluorescens* in lignite formulation and least in uninoculated plants. Among P main effects, higher N content was observed in plants supplied with KH_2PO_4 followed by rock phosphate and least in control (Table 5)

Table 2: Shoot nitrogen concentration (%) of aerobic rice (var. MAS-26) as influenced by different inoculation formulations and P source.

Treatments	Shoot nitrogen concentration (%)			
	Source of phosphorus			Treatment main effect
	Nutrient control	KH_2PO_4	Rock phosphate	
Control	2.12d	2.68d	2.47e	2.42g
<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid	2.34ab	3.21a	2.68c	2.75ab
<i>Bacillus</i> liquid	2.24c	3.24a	2.63cd	2.70abc
<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid	2.24c	3.07a	2.96a	2.75a
<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid	2.37a	3.05b	2.67c	2.70bc
<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite	2.35a	2.55e	2.81b	2.57e
<i>Bacillus</i> lignite	2.23c	2.91c	2.90a	2.68c
<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite	2.12d	2.85c	2.56d	2.51f
<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite	2.26bc	3.02b	2.61cd	2.63d
Phosphorus main effect	2.25c	2.95a	2.70b	

Table 3: Root nitrogen concentration (%) of aerobic rice (var. MAS-26) as influenced by different inoculation formulations and P source.

Treatments	Root nitrogen concentration (%)			
	Source of phosphorus			Treatment main effect
	Nutrient control	KH_2PO_4	Rock phosphate	
Control	0.68g	1.45 ^b	1.63 ^{bc}	1.26 ^e
<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid	1.74 ^{ab}	1.56 ^b	1.52 ^d	1.61 ^b
<i>Bacillus</i> liquid	1.51 ^{de}	1.54 ^b	1.71 ^{ab}	1.58 ^b
<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid	1.65 ^{bc}	0.85 ^c	1.75 ^a	1.42 ^d
<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid	1.81 ^a	1.68 ^a	1.76 ^a	1.75 ^a
<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite	1.49 ^{def}	1.50 ^b	1.68 ^{ab}	1.56 ^b
<i>Bacillus</i> lignite	1.38 ^f	1.50 ^b	1.22 ^e	1.37 ^d
<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite	1.41 ^{ef}	1.52 ^b	1.53 ^{cd}	1.48 ^c
<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite	1.56 ^{cd}	1.50 ^b	1.71 ^{ab}	1.59 ^b
Phosphorus main effect	1.47 ^b	1.46 ^b	1.61 ^a	

Table 4: Shoot nitrogen content (mg/plant) of aerobic rice (var. MAS-26) as influenced by different inoculation *formulations* and P source.

Treatments	Shoot nitrogen content (mg/plant)			
	Source of phosphorus			Treatment main effect
	Nutrient control	KH ₂ PO ₄	Rock phosphate	
Control	16.32	190.47	88.03	98.27
<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid	21.06	369.73	40.72	143.83
<i>Bacillus</i> liquid	21.12	363.57	61.32	148.67
<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid	21.95	321.17	61.40	134.84
<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid	32.10	363.06	60.35	151.83
<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite	21.29	294.90	60.41	125.53
<i>Bacillus</i> lignite	16.53	292.58	62.97	124.02
<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite	18.73	292.59	50.45	120.59
<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite	23.99	359.23	56.71	146.64
	NS	NS	NS	NS
Phosphorus main effect	21.45 ^c	316.37 ^a	60.26 ^b	

Table 5: Root nitrogen content (mg/plant) of aerobic rice (var. MAS-26) as influenced by different inoculation *formulations* and P source.

Treatments	Root nitrogen content (mg/plant)			
	Source of phosphorus			Treatment main effect
	Nutrient control	KH ₂ PO ₄	Rock phosphate	
Control	3.95	33.40	8.49	15.28 ^d
<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid	14.28	49.40	12.59	25.42 ^{bc}
<i>Bacillus</i> liquid	10.36	44.42	15.72	23.50 ^{bc}
<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid	11.98	24.10	17.42	17.84 ^{cd}
<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid	21.52	53.25	32.60	35.79 ^a
<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite	11.02	34.55	13.42	19.66 ^{cd}
<i>Bacillus</i> lignite	8.77	33.59	11.61	17.99 ^{cd}
<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite	10.19	31.19	13.51	18.29 ^{cd}
<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite	16.13	43.39	23.03	27.52 ^b
	NS	NS	NS	
Phosphorus main effect	12.02 ^c	38.59 ^a	16.49 ^b	

Table 6: Total nitrogen content (mg/plant) of aerobic rice (var. MAS-26) as influenced by different inoculation *formulations* and P source.

Treatments	Total nitrogen content (mg/plant)			
	Source of phosphorus			Treatment main effect
	Nutrient control	KH ₂ PO ₄	Rock phosphate	
Control	20.28	223.88 ^d	96.53	113.56 ^c
<i>Azospirillum</i> liquid	35.34	419.20 ^a	53.32	169.29 ^{ab}
<i>Bacillus</i> liquid	31.49	407.99 ^{ab}	77.04	172.17 ^{ab}
<i>Acinetobacter</i> liquid	33.94	345.27 ^{abc}	78.84	152.68 ^{abc}
<i>P. fluorescens</i> liquid	53.62	416.31 ^a	93.04	187.65 ^a
<i>Azospirillum</i> lignite	32.31	329.45 ^{bc}	73.83	145.20 ^{abc}
<i>Bacillus</i> lignite	25.30	326.17 ^c	74.58	142.02 ^{abc}
<i>Acinetobacter</i> lignite	28.92	323.78 ^c	63.97	138.89 ^{bc}
<i>P. fluorescens</i> lignite	40.12	402.60 ^{abc}	79.75	174.15 ^{ab}
	NS		NS	
Phosphorus main effect	33.48 ^c	354.96 ^a	76.77 ^b	

Conclusion

Concluded from the present research results that the liquid *formulations* of beneficial organisms have performed better and also they are at par with that of lignite based *formulations* in performing nitrogen fixation, P solubilization and PGPS production for the benefit of aerobic rice and thus improve the growth parameters and yield quality.

Acknowledgment

I thank Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi for providing the financial assistance for carrying out the research work.

References

- Amutha G, Karpagavinayaga P, Sivakumaar, Manoharan JM. Development and use of *Azospirillum* co-aggregates using certain cationic ions and its bioinoculation effect on rice growth and yield. Journal of Agricultural Research. 2009;47(2):107-119.
- Dayamani KJ, Premalatha BR. Exploring the influence of microbial inoculant *formulations* on vegetative growth of aerobic rice. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2025;14(7):210-214.
- Ditta A, Muhammad J, Imtiaz M, Mehmood S, Qian Z, Tu S. Application of rock phosphate-enriched composts increases nodulation, growth and yield of chickpea. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture. 2018;7(1):33-40.
- Gulati A, Vyas P, Rahi P, Kasana RC. Plant growth-promoting and rhizosphere-competent *Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae* strain BIHB 723 from the cold deserts of the Himalayas. Current Microbiology. 2009;58(4):371-377.
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd.; 1973.
- Little TM, Hills JF. Agricultural experimentation. New

- York: John Wiley and Sons; 1978.
7. Lynch JP, Brown KM. Root strategies for phosphorus acquisition. In: White PJ, Hammond JP, editors. Ecophysiology of plant-phosphorus interactions. Dordrecht: Springer; 2008. p. 83-116.
 8. Ismail A, Heuer S, Thomson M, Wissuwa M. Genetic and genomic approaches to develop rice germplasm for problem soils. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 2007;65(4):547-570. doi:10.1007/s11103-007-9215-2.
 9. Reddy MS, Kumar S, Babita K, Reddy MS. Biosolubilization of poorly soluble rock phosphates by *Aspergillus tubingensis* and *Aspergillus niger*. *Bioresource Technology*. 2002;84(2):187-189.
 10. Richardson AE, Hocking PJ, Simpson RJ, George TS. Plant mechanisms to optimise access to soil phosphorus. *Crop and Pasture Science*. 2009;60(2):124-143. doi:10.1071/CP07125.
 11. Richardson AE, Lynch JP, Ryan PR, *et al.* Plant and microbial strategies to improve the phosphorus efficiency of agriculture. *Plant and Soil*. 2011;349(1-2):121-156. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0950-4.
 12. Tarafdar JC. Phosphorus - a bright future ahead! *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2013;61(1):29-37.