



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618  
P-ISSN: 2618-060X  
© Agronomy  
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20  
[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)  
2025; 8(9): 641-644  
Received: 19-07-2025  
Accepted: 23-08-2025

**Summan**  
P.G. Students, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri,  
Agra, Affiliated with, Dr. Bhimrao  
Ambedkar University, Agra, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Rajvir Singh**  
Professor, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri,  
Agra, Affiliated with, Dr. Bhimrao  
Ambedkar University, Agra, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Harish Kumar**  
Ph.D. Research Scholar, R.B.S. College,  
Bichpuri, Agra-283105, Affiliated with,  
Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University,  
Agra, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Kishan Kumar**  
Ph.D. Research Scholar, R.B.S. College,  
Bichpuri, Agra, Affiliated with, Dr.  
Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

**Prashant Chaubey**  
P.G. Students, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri,  
Agra, Affiliated with, Dr. Bhimrao  
Ambedkar University, Agra, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Stuti Chaudhary**  
P.G. Students, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri,  
Agra, Affiliated with, Dr. Bhimrao  
Ambedkar University, Agra, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Manvedra Singh**  
P.G. Students, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri,  
Agra, Affiliated with, Dr. Bhimrao  
Ambedkar University, Agra, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Virendra Singh**  
Ph.D. Research Scholar, R.B.S. College,  
Bichpuri, Agra, Affiliated with, Dr.  
Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

## Corresponding Author:

**Virendra Singh**  
Ph.D. Research Scholar, R.B.S. College,  
Bichpuri, Agra, Affiliated with, Dr.  
Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

## Response of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties to different dates of sowing under irrigated conditions

**Summan, Rajvir Singh, Harish Kumar, Kishan Kumar, Prashant Chaubey, Stuti Chaudhary, Manvedra Singh and Virendra Singh**

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i9i.3830>

### Abstract

Investigation entitled “Response of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties to different date of sowing under irrigation conditions” was carried out at Agricultural Research Farm of Raja Balwant Singh College, Bichpuri Agra, during *Rabi* season of 2022-23. The Variables involved in this study were four dates of sowing D<sub>1</sub> (Nov. 16<sup>th</sup>) and D<sub>2</sub> (Dec. 10<sup>th</sup>) and four varieties of barley viz. SLS-67 (V<sub>1</sub>), BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>), WRB-160 (V<sub>3</sub>) and DWRB-137 (V<sub>4</sub>). Thus, in all 8 treatment and combinations were compared in a split plot design having dates of sowing in main plots and varieties in sub plots with three replications. The soil of experimental field was sandy loam in texture with a pH 8.45. The soil was low in available nitrogen (181.49 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (27.86 PO, ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potash (279.37 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>). The field capacity was 18.64 per cent. During *Rabi* reason of 2022-23, only 9.7 mm rainfall was received. Result revealed that November (16<sup>th</sup>) sowing date was found best for different varieties of barley under irrigated conditions. Among the tested barley varieties, BH-946 exhibited superior performance concerning grain and straw yield. Based on the highest net return and B:C ratio.

**Keywords:** Agronomic, Barley, Dates of sowing, Phosphorus, Varieties and Yield

### Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is widely grown across a range of environments as a rain-fed or irrigated crop. (Singh *et al.* 2024) <sup>[20]</sup>. Globally 70% of barley production is used as animal fodder, while 30% as a source of fermentable material for beer and certain distilled beverages and as a component of various foods. It is used in soups and stews and in barley bread of various cultures, barley grains are commonly made into malt in al traditional and ancient method of preparation. Every farmer concentrates on crop production to feed the family. (Harish *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[5]</sup>. Barley has a long history of cultivation and is recognized as one of the oldest cultivated grains. (Singh *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[11]</sup>. The limited availability of water resources in arid and semi-arid regions poses a significant challenge to sustainable agriculture, with drought stress projected to cause up to a 30% reduction in global crop production by 2025. (Singh *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[20]</sup>. Most agricultural soils contain larger amount of fixed form of P than available P, a considerable part of which has accumulated as a consequence of regular applications of P fertilizers. (Ramesh *et al.*, 2023, Sonia, *et al.*, 2023 and Sonia, *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[17, 18]</sup>. Phosphorus is also important in cell division and development of new tissues. (Singh *et al.*, 2022) <sup>[14]</sup>. On the other hand, enhanced cultivars that have been chosen for high yields under high nutrient input circumstances are frequently generated without taking into account their capacity to grow and yield under low soil nutrient status. (Lokendra *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[8]</sup>. In spite of a changing climate, climate-resilient agronomy aims to maintain sustainable food production and stable livelihoods for farmers. (Singh *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[11]</sup>.

Presently, development of new varieties for higher yields has reached a plateau and no further increase is achieved unless biotechnological interventions are made. (Archna, *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[2]</sup>. As mineral nitrogen is easily lost by leaching or de-nitrification, the N rate needs to be optimized for the actual year, while application of P and K can be based on the principles of substitution of harvest P and K because soil available P and K changes slowly. (Virendra, *et al.*, 2024) <sup>[8]</sup>. For sustainable production under arid climatic conditions, the crop/variety should be

resistant to abiotic stresses. In some parts of arid region, occurrence of frost is also a common feature during winter season, which affects vegetative growth of plants, fruits quality as well as productivity. (Babu, and Singh 2024) <sup>[4]</sup>. Agra district is situated in South-West semi-arid zone of UP. It is located at altitude of 27.20 North and longitude of 77.90 easts. River Chambal makes the southern boundary of district and flows from West to East separating district Bhind (M.P) In the North Agra is bounded by districts of Firozabad and Etawah. The average rainfall (annual) of the district is 750 mm. Temperature varies from 40C (During December-January) to 480C (During May-June) respectively. (Babu, and Singh 2024) <sup>[4]</sup>. The sowing date is one of the most important factors affecting grain production and quality. The optimum sowing date depends on rainfall and temperature to maintain high grain yields. It has been realized that the average yield of wheat in this region, sown during November, is well comparable to the state average, but the declining trend in wheat yield has been noticed with delayed sowing i.e. in December and January. It is mostly due to the shorter growth period available to late sown wheat coupled with high temperature and hot winds during the reproductive growth period, which leads to forced maturity and ultimately poor grain yield.

### Materials and Methods

The field experiment was carried out during winter (*Rabi*) season of 2022-23 at Agricultural Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra (U.P.). The Barley varieties were procured from the Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research (IIWBR), Karnal, Haryana, through the all-India Co-ordinated Wheat and Barley Improvement Project, and treated with Agrosan GN @ 2g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed. The sowing was accomplished in furrows 5 cm. depth at a distance of 18 cm. apart, employing a seed rate of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (seed rate was adjusted by considering the weight of 1000 seeds 40 g). The sowing was performed with the aid of kudali and was covered by light planking. The Variables involved in this study were four dates of sowing D<sub>1</sub> (Nov. 16<sup>th</sup>) and D<sub>2</sub> (Dec. 10<sup>th</sup>) and four varieties of barley viz. SLS-67 (V<sub>1</sub>), BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>), WRB-160 (V<sub>3</sub>) and DWRB-137 (V<sub>4</sub>). Thus, in all 8 treatment and combinations were compared in a split plot design having dates

of sowing in main plots and varieties in sub plots with three replications. Thus, in all 8 treatment and combinations were compared in a split plot design having dates of sowing in main plots and varieties in sub plots with three replications. Half of recommended dose of nitrogen (30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), full doses of phosphorus (30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potash (20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) were supplied through DAP and MOP, respectively rest N through urea as basal dose at sowing time. Remaining half nitrogen was applied through urea top dressing after 1<sup>st</sup> irrigation.

### Results and Discussion

#### Yield attributes (Effect of Dates of Sowing)

The data summarized in Table-1 indicated that dates of sowing had significant effect on 75 per cent spike emergence and to complete maturity of the crop. 16<sup>th</sup> November sowing dates required significantly 8-9 less days to 75 per cent spike emergence than 10<sup>th</sup> Dec. dates of sowing. Crop sown on 16<sup>th</sup> Nov. also attained full maturity 6-8 days earlier than a crop sown on 10<sup>th</sup> December. The data presented in Table-1 shows that the date of sowing has a significant impact on the number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup>, length of spike (cm), number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, number of Grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weight decreased significantly with each delay in the sowing date. Comparing the 16<sup>th</sup> November sowing (D<sub>1</sub>) with the later sowing dates, the number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup> increased by approximately 20.17, 26.40 and 15.88 4.78, 8.87 per cent for the 10<sup>th</sup> December (D<sub>2</sub>) sowing dates, respectively. The data presented in Table 1 indicates that each delay in sowing from November 16<sup>th</sup> significantly decreased the biological yield. Consequently, the November 16<sup>th</sup> sowing produced a significantly higher biological yield per hectare compared to the December 10<sup>th</sup>. The trends of yield factors to biological yield, such as the growth and yield attributing characteristics number of spikes m<sup>-2</sup>, length of spike (cm), number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, weight of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weight were similar to those of the biological yield. These factors may be responsible for the higher biological yield per hectare observed in the aforementioned seeding dates. Similar results were also reported by Alam *et al.* (2013) <sup>[1]</sup>, Singh *et al.*, (2024) <sup>[20]</sup> and Singh *et al.* (2024) <sup>[12]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Yield attributing characters of Barley as influenced by different treatments

Treatments		Days to 75% spike emergence	Days to maturity	Number of spikelets spike <sup>-1</sup>	Length of spike (cm)	Number of grains spike <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of grains spike <sup>-1</sup>	1000 grain weight (g)
Dates of sowing								
16 <sup>th</sup> Nov.	D <sub>1</sub>	92.35	118.33	56.58	7.66	48.58	1.97	44.08
10 <sup>th</sup> Dec.	D <sub>2</sub>	83.90	111.01	47.08	6.06	41.92	1.88	40.17
SEm±		1.39	1.12	0.71	0.15	0.12	0.01	0.51
CD (p=0.05)		8.45	6.81	4.35	0.92	0.72	0.04	3.13
Varieties								
SLS-67	V <sub>1</sub>	88.37	116.08	53.80	7.00	46.67	1.94	42.83
BH-946	V <sub>2</sub>	94.92	119.56	55.00	7.53	47.50	1.97	44.17
WRB-160	V <sub>3</sub>	86.64	112.61	50.00	6.81	44.17	1.91	41.00
DWRB-137	V <sub>4</sub>	82.56	110.43	48.50	6.10	42.67	1.88	40.50
SEm±		2.54	1.89	1.09	0.20	0.74	0.01	0.76
CD (p=0.05)		7.84	5.82	3.37	0.62	2.29	0.04	2.35

#### Effect of Varieties

It is clear in Table-1 that the both variety had significant impact on days to 75 per cent spike emergence and maturity. The data clearly indicate that Variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) took significantly more time to reach 75% spike emergence and full maturity as compared to Variety WRB-160 (V<sub>3</sub>), DWRB-137 (V<sub>4</sub>) but at par

with variety SLS-67 (V<sub>1</sub>). The number of days taken for 75 percent spike emergence and days to maturity were significantly affected by different varieties. DWRB-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) required approximately 10-12 more days for 75 percent spike emergence and 7-9 days' maturity compared to WRB-160 (V<sub>3</sub>), DWRB-137(V<sub>4</sub>) but at par with SLS-67(V<sub>1</sub>). The genetic behavior of the

varieties may be responsible for the observed trend in the number of days taken for 75 percent spike emergence and days to maturity of the barley crop. Similar results were also reported by Alam *et al.* (2013) <sup>[1]</sup> and Kumar *et al.* (2025) <sup>[6]</sup>. Variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) displays a significantly higher number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup> and longest Length of spike (cm) as compared to varieties WRB-160, DWRB-137 but at par with SLS- 67. Variety BH-946 (V<sub>1</sub>) have 55.00 number of spikelets spike<sup>-1</sup> and 7.53 (cm) Length of spike followed by varieties SLS-67, WRB-160, DWRB-137(53.80, 50.00 and 48.50) and (7.00,74, 6.81and 6.10) respectively. Variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) had a significantly higher number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, Grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weight as compared to varieties WRB-160, DWRB-137 but at par with SLS- 67. Variety BH-946 (V<sub>1</sub>) have 47.50 number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, 1.97 g Grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup> and 44.17(g) 1000-grain weight followed by varieties SLS-67, WRB-160, DWRB-137(46.67, 44.17 and 42.67), (1.97, 1.91 and 1.88) and (42.83, 41.00 and 40.50) respectively.

## Yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

### Effect of Dates of Sowing

The data presented in Table-2 significant effect of sowing dates on biological yield and grain yield. The highest biological (138.74 qha<sup>-1</sup>) and grain yield of (54.59 qha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed with the 16<sup>th</sup> November (D<sub>1</sub>) sowing, which was significantly superior to 10<sup>th</sup> December. Sowing on the 16<sup>th</sup> November (D<sub>1</sub>) yield 6.68 and 7.73 per cent higher biological and grain yield compared to the 10<sup>th</sup> December (D<sub>2</sub>) sowing dates, respectively. It can be observed that the dates of sowing had a significant impact on the straw yield of the crop. The crop sown on 16<sup>th</sup> November (D<sub>1</sub>) resulted in a significantly higher straw yield as compared to 10<sup>th</sup> December. The increase in straw yield with the 16<sup>th</sup> November sowing date 6.00 percent as compared to the yields obtained from the 10<sup>th</sup> December. Date of Sowing did not significant influence the harvest index. Specifically, 16<sup>th</sup> November displayed 39.33 harvest index followed by the 10<sup>th</sup> December 38.92.

**Table 2:** Biological, Grain and straw yields (qha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index of barley as affected by various treatments

Treatments		Biological yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )	Grain Yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
Dates of Sowing					
16 <sup>th</sup> Nov.	D <sub>1</sub>	138.74	54.59	84.15	39.33
10 <sup>th</sup> Dec.	D <sub>2</sub>	130.05	50.67	79.38	38.92
SEm±		0.98	0.28	0.72	0.12
CD (p=0.05)		5.96	1.72	4.36	NS
Varieties					
SLS-67	V <sub>1</sub>	137.21	53.83	83.37	39.24
BH-946	V <sub>2</sub>	141.31	57.15	84.16	40.43
WRB-160	V <sub>3</sub>	131.35	50.85	80.49	38.71
DWRB-137	V <sub>4</sub>	127.72	48.68	79.04	38.12
SEm±		1.50	0.60	1.05	0.24
CD (p=0.05)		4.61	1.86	3.23	0.75

The harvest index reflects the conversion efficiency of non-grain to grain portions by optimizing nutrient uptake and utilization. In this study, the crop sown on November 16th exhibited there was no significant difference in harvest index between the November 16th and 10th sowing dates. With the delay in sowing grain and straw both was decrease so harvest index was not influence by the delay in sowing. Similar findings have also been reported by Singh *et al.*, (2023) <sup>[11]</sup>, Singh *et al.*, (2024) <sup>[12]</sup>, Lokendra *et al.*, (2024) <sup>[8]</sup>, Singh *et al.* (2024) <sup>[2]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2025) <sup>[6]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2025) <sup>[7]</sup> and Verma *et al.* (2025) <sup>[19]</sup>.

### Effect of Varieties

Table 2 presented that the variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest biological yield of barley 141.31(q ha<sup>-1</sup>). This yield was significantly superior to the biological yield of varieties WRB-160, DWRB-137, which produced (131.35 and 127.72) qha<sup>-1</sup> but at par with SLS- 67(137.21) qha<sup>-1</sup>. These results highlight the superior performance of BH-946 (V<sub>1</sub>) over DWRB-160 (V<sub>2</sub>) in terms of biological yield in the barley crop. The variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) produce the higher grain yield of barley 57.15 (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), was significantly superior to the grain yield of varieties SLS- 67(V<sub>1</sub>), WRB-160, DWRB-137, which produced (53.83, 50.85 and 48.68) qha<sup>-1</sup>. The variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) produce the higher straw yield of barley 84.16 (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), was significantly superior to the straw yield of varieties WRB-160, DWRB-137, which produced (80.49 and 79.04) qha<sup>-1</sup> but at par with SLS- 67(83.37) qha<sup>-1</sup>. Variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest harvest index of barley 40.43. This was significantly superior to the harvest index of varieties SLS- 67, WRB-160 and DWRB-137 (39.24, 38.71 and 38.12) respectively.

All these grain yield attributes likely contributed to the higher grain yield observed with variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>). Similar trends were also observed for straw yield, with variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) achieving a significantly higher straw yield as compared to varieties WRB-160 (V<sub>3</sub>), DWRB-137(V<sub>4</sub>) but at par with SLS-67(V<sub>1</sub>). Variety BH-946 (V<sub>2</sub>) also exhibited a significantly higher harvest index compared to varieties SLS-67 (V<sub>1</sub>), WRB-160 (V<sub>3</sub>), DWRB-137(V<sub>4</sub>). These results align with the findings of Archana, *et al.* (2023) <sup>[2]</sup>, Alam *et al.* (2013) <sup>[1]</sup> and Singh, *et al.* (2025) <sup>[10]</sup>. Previous studies have reported that barley varieties with high biomass production, harvest index, and number of spikelets per spike are important factors for selecting improved grain yield. Additionally, the final grain yield of barley is determined by the product of three components: the number of ears per square meter, the number of grains per earhead, and individual grain weight, which greatly contribute to crop yield. These pieces of evidence suggest that higher yields in barley varieties are a result of the superiority of yield attributes of one cultivar over another, ultimately leading to higher yields.

### References

1. Alam MZ, Haider SA, Paul NK. Yield and yield components of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in relation to sowing times. J Bio-Sci. 2013;15:139-45.
2. Archana K, Singh V, Kumar H, Singh R. Optimization of NPK fertilization in conjunction with plant growth regulators (PGRs) affecting productivity and profitability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J Rural Agric Res. 2023;23(2):56-60.
3. Babu S, Singh V. Impact of Kinnow production on the



- socio-economic conditions of farmers in the Agra region. Asian Res J Agric. 2024;17(4):652-61. doi:10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4572
4. Babu S, Singh V. Soil and agro-climatic suitability for Kinnow cultivation: a case study of the Agra region. Asian J Res Crop Sci. 2024;9(4):248-54. doi:10.9734/ajrcs/2024/v9i4314
  5. Harish K, Virendra S, Sonia. Integrated farming system for sustainable agriculture in present scenario. In: Practice of Recent Advances in Global Agriculture Technology and Innovation (PRAGATI-2023); 2023; Etawah (UP): Janta College.
  6. Kumar S, Singh R, Singh V, Singh D. Precision nitrogen management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) for enhanced growth and yield by green seeker tool. Int J Agric Food Sci. 2025;7(6):39-43. doi:10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i6a.439
  7. Kumar K, Maurya SP, Singh SB, Singh V. Effect of irrigation regimes on growth and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties. Int J Agric Food Sci. 2025;7(8):418-22.
  8. Lokendra PS, Virendra S, Vishal G, Harish K, Karan S, Mohd A, *et al.* Improvement of productivity and profitability in wheat by nitrogen and bio-fertilizer in north western plain zone. Int J Res Agron. 2024;7(1):289-92.
  9. Chand R, Singh V, Kumar H, Sonia, Singh R. Effect of phosphorus levels on yield attributes and yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) cultivars. J Rural Agric Res. 2023;Special Issue:25-8.
  10. Singh D, Uma, Singh V, Kumar S. Performances of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties at different levels of nitrogen under late sown condition. Int J Agric Food Sci. 2025;7(6):34-8. doi:10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i6a.438
  11. Singh K, Singh R, Singh RB, Singh V. Effect of nano-nitrogen fertilization on yield attributes and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). J Rural Agric Res. 2023;23(2):87-90.
  12. Singh R, Kumar R, Singh AC, Babu S, Kumar J, Singh K, *et al.* Effect of silicon application and irrigation scheduling on productivity and profitability of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). J Rural Agric Res. 2024;24(1):49-53.
  13. Singh R, Singh S, Singh V, Kumar H, Singh K, Kumar J. Impact of nano-nitrogenous fertilization on productivity and profitability of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). J Rural Agric Res. 2024;24(1):110-4.
  14. Singh V, Chhonker D, Singh R. Effect of phosphorus levels on growth and yield of Kabuli chickpea (*Cicer kabulium* L.) varieties. J Rural Agric Res. 2022;22(2):108-11.
  15. Singh V, Kumar H, Sonia. Influence of climate change on Indian agriculture. In: Advances in Agriculture Science. Vol 44. New Delhi: Agri Science Publisher; 2023. p. 1-10. ISBN: 978-93-5570-856-4.
  16. Singhal KS, Singh G, Mishra NM, Rohit, Singh V. Studies on genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation in barley. Int Res J Mod Eng Technol Sci. 2023;5(6):4491-6.
  17. Sonia, Singh K, Gaur V, Shakya R, Sharma N, Sharma R, *et al.* Foliar application of micronutrients improves the lentil (*Lens culinaris* M.) yield and net economic return. Pharma Innov J. 2023;12(10):2355-7.
  18. Sonia, Singh V, Kumar H, Singh R. Effect of zinc, iron, and boron application on productivity of lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.). J Rural Agric Res. 2023;Special Issue:39-41.
  19. Verma DK, Shankar S, Singh V. Effect of potassium levels on productivity and profitability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. Int J Agric Food Sci. 2025;7(6):72-5. doi:10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i6b.440
  20. Singh V, Kumar H, Sonia. Precision farming with nanotechnology. In: Next-Generation Agriculture for Sustainable Environment & One Health (NASEH-2024); 2024 Feb; Meerut (UP): Swami Vivekanand Subharti University.