



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618  
P-ISSN: 2618-060X  
© Agronomy  
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20  
[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)  
2025; 8(9): 623-627  
Received: 12-07-2025  
Accepted: 15-08-2025

**Vivek V Dadmal**  
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Mahatma Phule Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**Dr. RM Gethe**  
Professor, Department of  
Agronomy, Mahatma Phule Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**Srikrishna B Narale**  
Ph. D. Scholar, Department of  
Agricultural Botany, Mahatma  
Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Suraj N Gund**  
Young Professional, Department of  
Biochemistry, Mahatma Phule  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

## Foliar urea and nano urea applications: impacts on growth, chlorophyll content, yield attributes and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

**Vivek V Dadmal, RM Gethe, Srikrishna B Narale and Suraj N Gund**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i9i.3827>

### Abstract

Wheat, a vital staple cereal crop feeding a large share of the global population, requires efficient nutrient management; however, limited comparative research has evaluated the physiological, agronomic and economic impacts of foliar-applied conventional urea and nano urea under field conditions. To address this issue, a study was conducted during the *rabi* season of 2023-2024 at the Instructional Farm, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, with a view to study the “Response of foliar application of urea and nano urea on yield and nutrient uptake of wheat under irrigated condition”. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design and treatments were consisted of 12 levels of nutrient combination with 3 replications. The application of the general recommended dose of fertilizers (GRDF) at 120:60:40 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O with 10 tons of farmyard manure (FYM) per hectare yielded the most beneficial results for the wheat crop. This treatment resulted in the highest significant values for plant population, chlorophyll content, and yield contributing characters, including the length of the ear, number of spikelets and grains per ear, and grain weight. Economically, the GRDF treatment also provided the highest gross monetary returns (113,955 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (64,801 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), and B-C ratio of 2.32. However, the study concluded that treatments involving 75% of the recommended nitrogen dose applied to the soil, combined with a 4% or 6% foliar spray of urea, performed on par with the GRDF treatment. This suggests a potential to save 25% of the nitrogen fertilizer for wheat while achieving comparable results.

**Keywords:** Nano urea, plant population, chlorophyll content, yield, economics, B-C ratio

### Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is an important cereal crop belongs to family “Poaceae” and genus “Triticum”. It is the world's most important cereal crop, accounting for 30% of all cereal food produced globally. It occupies around 220.7 million hectares of area across the world with the annual production of 783.43 million tones with the productivity of 3.55 metric tons per hectare (USDA, 2023). It has been under cultivation in the Indian subcontinent from pre-historic times and is an integral part of country's economy and food security. Wheat accounts for almost 55% of all carbohydrates and 20% of all dietary calories consumed globally (Graur and Breiman, 1995). Wheat is grown in India on 31.23 million hectares and produces of 112.92 million ton with national average productivity of 3615 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2023-24 (IIWBR, Karnal, 2023-24). Nitrogen is the universal deficient macro nutrient in Indian soil (Dey and Sekhon 2016). Wheat is highly responsive to nitrogen fertilization and is sensitive to insufficient nitrogen. Macro nutrients like N, P and K in sufficient quantity throughout the growing season are essential for optimum plant growth (Haque *et al.*, 2001) [3]. Nitrogen is a fundamental component of protein and an essential part of the chlorophyll molecule, which is crucial for photosynthesis. Consequently, the nitrogen supply determines the amount of protein, chlorophyll, and protoplasm available, which ultimately influences leaf area and cell size. When a plant has an adequate supply of nitrogen, it grows at an optimal rate and its leaves remain a healthy dark green. In contrast, a nitrogen deficiency leads to light green leaves, reduced tillering, and low protein synthesis, all of which reduce the crop's growth and yield.

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Suraj N Gund**  
Young Professional, Department of  
Biochemistry, Mahatma Phule  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

## Materials and Methods

The field experiment entitled “Response of foliar application of urea and nano urea on growth, yield and quality of wheat under irrigated condition” was conducted at Post Graduate Institute Farm, MPKV, Rahuri during *rabi* 2023-24. The experiment consists of 12 treatments *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub>: Absolute control; T<sub>2</sub>: General recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM); T<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.2%; T<sub>4</sub>: 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 2%; T<sub>5</sub>: 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%; T<sub>6</sub>: 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%; T<sub>7</sub>: 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%; T<sub>8</sub>: 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%; and T<sub>9</sub>: 50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%; T<sub>10</sub>: 50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%; T<sub>11</sub>: 50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%; T<sub>12</sub>: 50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%. Potassium and Phosphorus will be applied through MoP and single super phosphate; P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O applied as per the recommended dose of fertilizer for wheat except T<sub>1</sub>.

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Line sowing of wheat seeds was done on flat beds at spacing of 20 cm between rows. The soil was clay loam in texture, low in available nitrogen (191.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (18.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and high in

potassium (436.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The mean available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in soil after harvest of wheat crop were 210.5, 45.4 and 418.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The soil was slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.16) with medium in organic carbon content (0.57%). The pH is measured by Potentiometry and electrical conductivity measured by Conductometry method. The organic carbon estimated by Wet oxidation method Walkey and Black (Nelson and Sommer, 1982) [6]. The available Nitrogen, Phosphorus and potassium measured by Alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) [9], Olsen method 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (Watanabe and Olsen, 1965) [14] and Flame photometry method (Jackson, 1973) [5] respectively.

The recommended dose of fertilizer (120:60:40 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM) was applied 60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O as a basal through SSP and MOP in treatment T<sub>2</sub> to T<sub>12</sub>. N applied as per treatments. Thereafter, foliar application of urea and nano urea was done at 20 and 35 days after sowing, respectively.

## Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

### Plant Population

**Table 1:** Effect of foliar application of urea and nano urea on plant population of wheat

Tr. No.	Treatment	Plant population (m <sup>-1</sup> )			
		At 15 DAS	Per cent	At harvest	Per cent
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	47.87	95.73	45.70	91.41
T <sub>2</sub>	General recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> of FYM)	49.35	98.70	48.42	96.83
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.2%	49.24	98.48	47.59	95.19
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 2%	49.29	98.58	47.82	95.63
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	49.27	98.54	47.72	95.45
T <sub>6</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	49.32	98.63	48.10	96.19
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	49.30	98.61	47.97	95.93
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	49.33	98.67	48.57	97.15
T <sub>9</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	48.86	97.71	46.76	93.52
T <sub>10</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	49.04	98.08	47.22	94.43
T <sub>11</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	48.99	97.98	47.10	94.2
T <sub>12</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	49.18	95.73	47.29	94.58
	S.E. m ±	0.91	---	1.03	---
	C.D. at 5%	NS	---	NS	---
	General mean	49.09	98.16	47.52	95.04

The data presented in the table 1 revealed that initial plant count was recorded in various treatments in net plot and presented in this table 1. From this table, it was observed that mean initial plant count of wheat was 49.09 of the theoretical plant population. This indicates the plant population was uniform and optimum throughout the experimental plot. It was observed that mean initial plant count of wheat was 47.52 of the theoretical plant population. This indicates the plant population was uniform and optimum throughout the experimental plot.

Plant population not differs significantly due to the different

foliar application; hence there was no effect on plant population at harvest. Rapid and efficient response is given by the nutrients applied through general recommended dose of fertilizers. There was cumulative effect in the more accumulation of photosynthates and its translocation from sink to reproductive part like grain of wheat. These results are similar with the results reported by Wagan *et al.* (2002) [13], Vikas *et al.* (2019), Yadav *et al.* (2021) [15], Dhaker *et al.* (2022), Rawate *et al.* (2022) [8] and Ojha *et al.* (2023) [17].

**Total Chlorophyll Content (SPAD)****Table 2:** Effect of foliar application of urea and nano urea on total chlorophyll content

Sr. No.	Treatment Detail	Total chlorophyll content (SPAD)		
		30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	19.64	28.38	23.95
T <sub>2</sub>	General recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> of FYM)	25.21	46.53	42.03
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.2%	22.93	37.53	33.21
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 2%	23.49	41.31	36.81
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	22.95	39.09	34.59
T <sub>6</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	24.43	43.57	39.07
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	23.67	42.46	36.96
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	24.83	44.95	40.50
T <sub>9</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	20.09	31.51	27.01
T <sub>10</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	21.90	34.77	30.27
T <sub>11</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	21.12	33.73	29.23
T <sub>12</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	22.08	35.72	31.22
	S.E. m ±	1.20	1.38	1.54
	C.D at 5%	NS	4.03	4.50
	General mean	22.69	39.20	33.74

The data on total chlorophyll content (SPAD values) as influenced by different treatments are presented in table 2. The data outlined in table 2 indicated that total chlorophyll content (SPAD values) differed significantly at 60 and 90 DAS due to the treatments of foliar application of nutrients. The mean Chlorophyll Content was 22.69, 39.20 and 33.74 (SPAD) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS, respectively.

There was a non-significant difference among different treatments at 30 DAS. While, at 60, 90 DAS and at harvest, the application of treatment T<sub>6</sub> i.e. general recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM) recorded significantly maximum total chlorophyll content (25.21, 46.53 and 42.03 SPAD, respectively). Chlorophyll

production is the relative process of effective utilization of the resources in better crop production environment. When wheat crop is subjected with foliar application of urea and nano urea, it results into higher total chlorophyll content because there was sufficient availability of nutrient to the wheat crop that results in increase in photosynthetic activities.

The current experiment's results are consistent with those published by Surve and Bhosale (2015)<sup>[10]</sup>, Wagan *et al.* (2002)<sup>[13]</sup>, Vikas *et al.* (2019), Yadav *et al.* (2021)<sup>[15]</sup>, Rawate *et al.* (2022)<sup>[8]</sup> and Ojha *et al.* (2023)<sup>[7]</sup>.

**Yield Contributing Characters****Table 3:** Effect of foliar application of urea and nano urea on yield contributing characters of wheat

Tr. No.	Treatment Detail	Length of ear (cm)	Number of spikelet ear <sup>-1</sup>	Number of grains ear <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of grains ear <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Test weight g (1000 seed)
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	6.85	11.69	32.79	1.23	36.68
T <sub>2</sub>	General recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> of FYM)	9.16	16.40	44.56	1.66	40.93
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.2%	7.53	13.73	36.77	1.42	38.22
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 2%	7.94	14.33	39.73	1.48	39.53
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	7.71	13.98	37.01	1.45	38.83
T <sub>6</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	8.51	15.01	41.87	1.58	40.37
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	8.14	14.82	41.07	1.50	39.83
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	8.76	15.12	43.02	1.61	40.63
T <sub>9</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	7.07	12.15	34.06	1.28	37.73
T <sub>10</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	7.33	12.78	35.87	1.36	38.07
T <sub>11</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	7.20	12.39	34.82	1.33	36.93
T <sub>12</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	7.48	13.09	36.12	1.40	38.20
	S.E. m ±	0.32	0.52	1.25	0.05	1.82
	C.D. at 5%	0.94	1.53	2.59	0.15	NS
	General mean	7.81	13.79	38.14	1.44	38.83

The data in respect of yield contributing characters of wheat as influenced by different treatments during *rabi* season of 2023-2024 are presented in table 3. Among yield contributing characters, significantly higher length of ear (9.16 cm), number of spikelets ear<sup>-1</sup> (16.40), number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (44.56), weight of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (1.66 g) and test weight (40.93 g) were obtained with application of general recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM). The next best treatment was application of 75% RDN through soil + foliar

spray of urea @ 6% recorded yield attributes *viz.*, length of ear (8.76 cm), number of spikelets ear<sup>-1</sup> (15.12), number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (43.02), weight of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (1.61 g) and test weight (40.63 g) followed by application of 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4% produced length of ear (8.51 cm), number of spikelets ear<sup>-1</sup> (15.01), number of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (41.87), weight of grains ear<sup>-1</sup> (1.58 g) and test weight (40.37 g).

These results are similar with the results reported by Vikas *et al.* (2019), Yadav *et al.* (2021)<sup>[15]</sup>, Surve and Bhosale (2015)<sup>[10]</sup>,

Wagan *et al.* (2002) <sup>[13]</sup>, Rawate *et al.* (2022) <sup>[8]</sup> and Ojha *et al.* (2023) <sup>[7]</sup>. **Economic studies**

**Table 4:** Effect of foliar application of urea and nano urea on economics i.e. gross monetary, net monetary return, cost of cultivation and B-C ratio of wheat

Tr. No.	Treatments	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross monetary returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net monetary return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B: C
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	21.3	37.1	60730	27295	33435	2.22
T <sub>2</sub>	General recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> of FYM)	41.4	52.1	113955	49154	64801	2.32
T <sub>3</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.2%	34.8	43.1	95602	50015	45587	1.91
T <sub>4</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 2%	37.8	46.4	103780	49540	54240	2.09
T <sub>5</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	35.8	44.2	98240	50557	47683	1.94
T <sub>6</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	40.2	48.6	110328	49608	60721	2.22
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	38.9	47.7	106717	51099	55617	2.09
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	40.9	50.7	112482	49676	62806	2.26
T <sub>9</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4%	27.7	40.1	77337	50115	27221	1.54
T <sub>10</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4%	31.9	42.1	88113	49166	38947	1.79
T <sub>11</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.6%	29.3	41.7	81663	50658	31006	1.61
T <sub>12</sub>	50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6%	32.7	42.8	90195	49234	40961	1.83
	S.E. m ±	0.8	1.2	2297.07	-	2297.07	-
	C.D. at 5%	2.3	3.6	6737.5	-	6737.5	-
	General mean	34.4	44.7	94928.5	48010	46918.8	1.99

The data in respect of economics i.e. gross monetary, net monetary return, cost of cultivation and B: C of wheat as influenced by different treatments during *rabi* season of 2023-2024 are presented in table 4. The mean gross monetary, cost of cultivation and net monetary return of wheat were 94928.5, 48010 and 46918.8 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively obtained during investigation. The mean grain and straw yield of wheat (34.4 and 44.7 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were obtained during investigation. In the present investigation, significantly higher gross monetary returns (₹ 113955 ha<sup>-1</sup>) net monetary returns (₹ 64801 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C (2.32) was obtained with application of general recommended dose of fertilizers (120:60:40 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of FYM) as compared to other treatments. It was at par with application of 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 6% with gross monetary returns (₹ 112482 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (₹ 62806 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C (2.26) as well as application of 75% RDN through soil + foliar spray of urea @ 4% with gross monetary returns (₹ 110328 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (₹ 60721 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C (2.22). While the application of absolute control gives minimum gross returns (₹ 60730 ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (₹ 33435 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and application of 50% RDN through soil + foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.4% gives minimum B: C (1.54).

These results are in collaboration with findings of Wagan *et al.* (2002) <sup>[13]</sup>, Vikas *et al.* (2019), Yadav *et al.* (2021) <sup>[15]</sup>, Dhaker *et al.* (2022), Rawate *et al.* (2022) <sup>[8]</sup> and Ojha *et al.* (2023) <sup>[7]</sup>.

## Conclusion

The application of the general recommended dose of fertilizers (GRDF) at 120:60:40 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O per hectare with 10 tons of farmyard manure (FYM) per hectare, was found to be the most beneficial for the wheat crop. This treatment resulted in the highest significant values for plant population, chlorophyll content, yield contributing characters and economic returns compared to all other treatments.

However, treatments involving 75% of the recommended nitrogen dose applied to the soil, combined with a 4% or 6% foliar spray of urea at the crown root initiation (CRI) and tillering stages, performed on par with the GRDF treatment. This indicates a potential to save 25% of the nitrogen fertilizer for the

wheat crop while achieving comparable results

## Acknowledgement

We are very thankful to the Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri for providing all facilities and research grants to carry out this experimental work and authors cited in references for providing necessary literature material.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare there are no conflict of interest relevant to this article.

## References

1. Dey P, Sekhon BS. Nitrogen fertility status of the Indian soils vis-à-vis the world soils. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers*. 2016;12(4):36-43.
2. Graur D, Breiman A. Wheat evolution. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*. 1995;43(2):85-98.
3. Haque MM, Hamid A, Bhuiyan NI. Nutrient uptake and productivity as affected by nitrogen and potassium application levels in wheat/sweet potato intercropping system. *Korean Journal of Crop Science*. 2001;46:1-5.
4. Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research (IIWBR). Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal. 2023-2024. Available from: <https://iiwbr.icar.gov.in>
5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Private Limited; 1973. 498 p.
6. Nelson DW, Sommer LE. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In: Page AL, editor. *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nd ed. Madison: ASA-SSSA; 1982. p. 595-579.
7. Ojha A, Singh R, Sinha J. Effect of nano urea and foliar spray of urea on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(11):474-481.
8. Rawate D, Patel JR, Agrawal AP, Agrawal HP, Pandey D, Patel CR, Verma P, Chandravanshi M, Hetram, Kumar A. Effect of nano urea on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under irrigated condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(9):1279-1282.



9. Subbiah S, Asija J. Rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Current Science*. 1956;25:259-260.
10. Surve US, Bhosale DS. Effect of foliar sprays of fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of wheat. *Bioinfolet*. 2015;12(2b):452-453.
11. US Department of Agriculture (USDA). United States Department of Agriculture. 2023. <https://www.fas.usda.gov>
12. Abrol V, Singh AP, Kumar A, Chary R, Srinivasarao CH, Sharma P, Singh B, Salgotra S, Kapoor J, Dadhich H. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on wheat crop performance, economics, resource use efficiency and soil properties under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2019;90(1):138-141.
13. Wagan MR, Nenwani KS. Wheat growth and yield contributing characters under various sources and schedules of nitrogenous fertilizer. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2002;11(6):406-752.
14. Watanabe FS, Olsen SR. Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  extracts from soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 1965;29:677-678.
15. Yadav RK, Kumar M, Kumar Saini P, Singh AK, Kumar B, Pandey AK, Singh A. Effect of foliar application of different nutrients on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under sodic soil. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10(1):589-594.