



# International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618  
P-ISSN: 2618-060X  
© Agronomy  
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20  
[www.agronomyjournals.com](http://www.agronomyjournals.com)  
2025; 8(9): 465-469  
Received: 05-06-2025  
Accepted: 07-07-2025

**Tukeshwar Yadav**  
M.Sc. Final Year, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**RK Shukla**  
Professor, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Geet Sharma**  
Scientist, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**RB Tiwari**  
Professor, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**PK Keshry**  
Scientist, Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Geet Sharma**  
Scientist, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**NK Chaure**  
Professor, Agricultural Statistics Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Pichikalakshmi Naga Prameela**  
M.Sc. Final Year, Entomology, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Bhupesh Kumar Jaiswal**  
M.Sc. Final Year, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Yuvraj Poorna**  
M.Sc. Final Year, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Tukeshwar Yadav**  
M.Sc. Final Year, Agronomy, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

## Nitrogen and zinc management in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

**Tukeshwar Yadav, RK Shukla, Geet Sharma, RB Tiwari, PK Keshry, Geet Sharma, NK Chaure, Pichikalakshmi Naga Prameela, Bhupesh Kumar Jaiswal and Yuvraj Poorna**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i9g.3800>

### Abstract

The present investigation entitled “Nitrogen and Zinc management in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)” was carried out during *kharif* season 2024 at the Instructional Cum Research Farm, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur (C.G.). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The treatments consisted of seven nutrient management practices viz., (T<sub>1</sub>) Control 100% PK, (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT, (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, (T<sub>6</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT and (T<sub>7</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. The results showed that growth parameters, viz., plant height, number of tillers and yield attributes viz., panicle length (26.23 cm), number of grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> (155.04), number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> (10.74) and test weight (24.16 g) was found to be higher under treatment T<sub>5</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, followed by T<sub>4</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT. The yield parameters like grain yield and straw yield, recorded maximum yield (53.43 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) under T<sub>5</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, followed by T<sub>4</sub> (50.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), (T<sub>3</sub>) (48.06 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (T<sub>2</sub>) (46.87 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The economic parameters like gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio was significantly superior under treatment T<sub>5</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT highest gross return (137403.67 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and this treatment also gave highest net return (93595.14 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C ratio 2.14. However, lowest gross return (69409.37 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) lowest net return (31814.04 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest B:C ratio (0.85) was obtained under treatment T<sub>1</sub> - Control 100% PK.

**Keywords:** Rice, Nano N, foliar spray, zinc, economics and yield

### Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), a vital cereal crop from the Poaceae family, plays a significant role in the global food system. Nearly half of the world's population relies on rice as their main energy source. It is the staple food for much of East and Southeast Asia, where it contributes around 70% of daily caloric intake. In regions like Asia and Africa—home to many of the world's poorest and most undernourished populations—rice is often the most accessible and affordable source of nutrition, making it a critical food crop worldwide. Its importance extends beyond nutrition; rice is also deeply tied to regional peace, economic growth, job creation, and global food security. As it is the only cereal commonly consumed whole after cooking, quality characteristics are particularly important. Nutritionally, rice consists of about 80% carbohydrates, 7–8% protein, 3% fat, and 3% fiber (Chaturvedi, 2005) [6]. It also provides essential micronutrients such as vitamin B<sub>1</sub> (thiamine), B<sub>2</sub> (riboflavin), and B<sub>3</sub> (niacin), along with amino acids like glutamic acid and aspartic acid (FAO, 2004). Rice straw, a byproduct of cultivation, has various uses, including animal fodder, mushroom cultivation, and in small-scale industries for making ropes, mats, poultry bedding, paper pulp, alcohol, and thatching materials. Globally, rice production reached 503.8 million tonnes in 2019–20, increasing to 518.1 million tonnes in 2020–21 (FAO, 2022). In India, rice production during 2021–22 was estimated at

127.93 million tonnes—11.49 million tonnes more than the previous five-year average of 116.44 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2022) <sup>[2, 3]</sup>. In India, Chhattisgarh is known as the "Rice Bowl of India" due to rice's dominance in both the state's economy and culture. In 2019–20, the state had a rice-growing area of 3,876.13 thousand hectares with an average productivity of 3,002 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In 2020–21, the area increased to 3,903.92 thousand hectares and productivity improved to 3,438 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous, 2024) <sup>[4]</sup>.

Fertilizers play a vital role in enhancing both the quality and quantity of agricultural produce, particularly with the advent of high-yielding crop varieties that are highly responsive to fertilizer application. Rice, like many other crops, relies heavily on inorganic nutrient inputs. Its productivity is largely influenced by soil conditions and, more importantly, the availability of key nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, and zinc (Masum *et al.*, 2013). Among these, nitrogen stands out as the most critical nutrient for rice cultivation. Nitrogen is fundamental in the formation of chlorophyll, which is essential for photosynthesis. It is a primary nutrient responsible for giving plants their lush green color and supporting vigorous vegetative growth. In rice, nitrogen is particularly important during the early vegetative stage and panicle initiation phase. Nitrogen application during the early growth stage encourages tillering, thereby increasing the potential yield. When applied at the panicle initiation or early booting stage, nitrogen enhances the size and weight of grains per panicle. Additionally, nitrogen is a key element in various enzymes and proteins that regulate plant development (Khaled *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[14]</sup>. Therefore, nitrogen is indispensable for the proper growth and metabolic functions of rice. Research also shows that an optimal nitrogen supply positively affects key yield components such as panicle length, dry matter accumulation, and the number of panicles per square meter (Sheoran *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[26]</sup>.

Nano urea has been introduced with the goal of reducing traditional urea usage by up to 50%. Its dosage depends on several factors such as the crop's nitrogen demand, water requirement, and canopy development. Typically, it is applied at a concentration of 2.0–4.0 ml per litre of water. Application is most effective during key growth stages when the crop canopy is sufficiently developed to absorb nutrients efficiently through foliar feeding. A 500 ml bottle of Nano urea contains 40,000 mg/L of nitrogen, equivalent to the nitrogen content in one conventional bag of urea. With an absorption efficiency exceeding 80%, Nano urea meets the nitrogen requirements of crops more effectively and in smaller quantities than traditional urea. Its nanoscale particles, with a high surface area-to-volume ratio, facilitate a controlled release of nutrients, thereby reducing nutrient loss through leaching and improving efficiency (Lahari, 2021) <sup>[15]</sup>. Unlike conventional fertilizers, nano fertilizers offer a slow and steady nutrient release. They can be applied either as a foliar spray or directly to the soil for root absorption (Jassim *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[12]</sup>. Consequently, using Nano urea—especially through foliar application—can enhance nitrogen use efficiency, improve crop yield, and reduce input costs. Despite its potential benefits, research on Nano urea application in rice remains limited.

## Materials and Methods

During the *kharif* season of 2024, the experiment was carried out at the Agriculture Research Cum Instructional Farm, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Bilaspur (C.G.). The location of the Bilaspur district is latitude 22.09°N

and longitude 82.15°E. This area is classified as India's Eastern Plateau and Hill Region (Agro-climatic zone VII). The state of Chhattisgarh is divided into three agro-climatic zones; Bilaspur is located in the state's plains zone. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The treatments consisted of seven nutrient management practices *viz.*, (T<sub>1</sub>) Control 100% PK, (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT, (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, (T<sub>6</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT and (T<sub>7</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. Observations on plant height, number of tillers, dry matter accumulation and number of panicles, panicle length and number of grains, test weight, grain yield and straw yield of rice crop were recorded at harvest and statistically analyzed. Economics of rice as a net return, gross return and benefit cost ratio were also worked out.

## Results and Discussion

Growth parameters of rice recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest significant relationship among the treatments has been obtained. Results revealed that under (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, the tallest plant height and highest dry matter accumulation were recorded. This treatment was being statistically at par with (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT and (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The smallest plant height and minimum dry matter accumulation were recorded under (T<sub>1</sub>) Control 100% PK. The crop growth rate (CGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) of rice was calculated for the intervals of 0–30, 30–60, 60–90 DAT and from 90 DAT to harvest. The highest CGR and RGR were recorded under treatment (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. This was followed by (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT and (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest CGR and RGR were consistently observed in the Control 100% PK (T<sub>1</sub>) across all growth periods. Yield parameters of rice *viz.*, number of tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest, number of panicle and number of grains was recorded under after harvest. The statistically significant highest under the treatment (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. This treatment was statistically at par with (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT and (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest were recorded in the (T<sub>1</sub>) Control 100% PK. The results indicated that the longest panicle length was observed under treatment (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, this was followed closely by (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT and (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The nutrient sources are significantly affected the grain and straw yield. The significantly the highest grain and straw yield of rice was recorded under (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, this treatment was being statistically significant at par with (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT, (T<sub>3</sub>) 100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT and (T<sub>2</sub>) RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The optimal course of action was then

(T<sub>7</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. This treatment was statistically similar to (T<sub>6</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT. Under (T<sub>1</sub>) Control 100% PK, the lowest grain yield of rice was measured. The highest (though statistically significant) harvest index was recorded under (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. The highest cost of cultivation (43808.53 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT due to higher cost involved in labour wages, followed by the treatment (T<sub>7</sub>) 100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar

spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. The highest gross return (137403.67 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and net return (93595.14 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, followed by the treatment of (T<sub>4</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT. The highest benefit: cost ratio (2.14) recorded under the treatment of (T<sub>5</sub>) 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. However, minimum gross return, net returns and benefit: cost ratio was obtained under Control 100% PK (T<sub>1</sub>).

**Table 1:** Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on plant height of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Treatment No.	Treatment details	Plant height (cm)			
		30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	Control 100% PK	36.73	63.54	80.07	78.60
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	53.59	92.71	116.83	114.68
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT	54.29	93.92	118.35	116.18
T <sub>4</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	55.42	95.88	120.82	118.60
T <sub>5</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	57.43	99.35	125.20	122.90
T <sub>6</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	47.12	81.52	102.72	100.84
T <sub>7</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	48.34	83.63	105.38	103.45
	S.Em (±)	1.56	2.84	3.55	3.34
	CD (5%)	4.81	8.76	10.94	10.28

**Table 2:** Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on number of tillers of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatment No.	Treatment details	Number of tillers (hill <sup>-1</sup> )			
		30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	Control 100% PK	4.97	7.21	7.85	7.46
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.63	11.06	12.06	11.45
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT	7.74	11.22	12.23	11.61
T <sub>4</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	7.89	11.44	12.47	11.84
T <sub>5</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	8.14	11.80	12.86	12.21
T <sub>6</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	6.33	9.18	10.00	9.50
T <sub>7</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	6.36	9.22	10.07	9.54
	S.Em (±)	0.37	0.56	0.63	0.59
	CD (5%)	1.13	1.74	1.92	1.81

**Table 3:** Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on dry matter accumulation of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatment No.	Treatment details	Dry matter accumulation (g hill <sup>-1</sup> )			
		30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	Control 100% PK	6.62	32.77	69.05	73.55
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	10.92	54.05	113.90	121.32
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT	11.24	55.64	117.23	124.88
T <sub>4</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	11.35	56.18	118.38	126.10
T <sub>5</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	12.32	60.98	128.50	136.88
T <sub>6</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	8.79	43.51	91.68	97.66
T <sub>7</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	8.94	44.25	93.24	99.32
	S.Em (±)	0.60	3.11	5.32	5.46
	CD (5%)	1.85	9.56	16.42	16.83

**Table 4:** Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on number of panicles, panicle length, number of grains and test weight of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatment No.	Treatment details	Number of panicles (hill <sup>-1</sup> )	Panicle length (cm)	Number of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control 100% PK	6.56	12.22	116.26	22.97
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	10.07	23.37	147.76	23.75
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT	10.22	24.10	149.87	23.89
T <sub>4</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	10.41	25.16	152.23	24.08
T <sub>5</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	10.74	26.23	155.04	24.16
T <sub>6</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	8.36	17.39	133.54	23.15
T <sub>7</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	8.40	18.48	136.45	23.23
	S.Em (±)	0.53	1.39	3.09	0.49
	CD (5%)	1.62	4.28	9.52	NS



**Table 5:** Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

Treatment No.	Treatment details	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control 100% PK	26.73	30.43	46.76
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	46.87	48.91	48.94
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT	48.06	50.02	49.00
T <sub>4</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	50.81	52.65	49.11
T <sub>5</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	53.43	54.14	49.67
T <sub>6</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	36.43	38.94	48.33
T <sub>7</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	38.78	40.65	48.82
	S.Em (±)	2.51	2.41	0.69
	CD (5%)	7.75	7.43	2.13

**Table 6:** Effect of Nitrogen and Zinc on economics of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivation.

Treatment No.	Treatment details	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	Control 100% PK	37595.33	69409.37	31814.04	0.85
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF (NPK 100:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	39224.28	118448.03	79223.75	2.02
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + Zn + nano N foliar spray once at 20 DAT	41646.28	123539.69	81893.41	1.97
T <sub>4</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	42566.53	133267.89	90701.36	2.13
T <sub>5</sub>	100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	43808.53	137403.67	93595.14	2.14
T <sub>6</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray twice at 20 & 40 DAT	42244.77	94090.67	51845.90	1.23
T <sub>7</sub>	100% PK + 50% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT	43486.77	99999.82	56513.05	1.30

## Conclusion

The results showed that growth parameters, viz., plant height, number of tillers and yield attributes viz., panicle length (26.23 cm), number of grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> (155.04), number of panicles hill<sup>-1</sup> (10.74) and test weight (24.16 g) was found to be higher under treatment T<sub>5</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT. The yield parameters like grain yield and straw yield, recorded maximum yield (53.43 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) under T<sub>5</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT, followed by T<sub>4</sub> (50.81 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (48.06 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>2</sub> (46.87 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The economic parameters like gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio was significantly superior under treatment T<sub>5</sub> - 100% PK + 75% N + Zn + nano N foliar spray thrice at 20, 40 & 60 DAT highest gross return (137403.67 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and this treatment also gave highest net return (93595.14 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C ratio 2.14. However, lowest gross return (69409.37 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) lowest net return (31814.04 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest B:C ratio (0.85) was obtained under treatment T<sub>1</sub> - Control 100% PK.

## References

- Anonymous. FAO. Nutritional contribution of rice: impact of biotechnology and biodiversity in rice consuming countries. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2004.
- Anonymous. FAO cereal supply and demand brief. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2022.
- Anonymous. Second advance estimates of production of major crops. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Directorate of Economics and Statistics; 2022.
- Anonymous. Krishi Darshika. Annual publication of Directorate of Extension Services, IGKV, Raipur (C.G.); 2024.
- Balakrishnan K, Natrajan N. Effect of zinc supplements on yield and yield components in certain rice varieties. Madras Agric J. 1986;73(10):598-600.
- Chaturvedi I. Effect of nitrogen fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). 2005.
- Farooqi UM, Tahir M, Saleem MA, Ahmad T. Effect of foliar application of nitrogen and boron on growth, yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Pak J Life Soc Sci. 2019;17(2):93-99.
- Gewaily EE, Ghoneim AM, Marvet MA, Osman. Effects of nitrogen levels on growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of some newly released Egyptian rice genotypes. Indian Agric. 2018;3:310-318.
- Ghimire P, Acharya A, Devkota C, Gairhe JJ. Effect of nitrogen levels on use efficiencies and yield of wheat at Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal. Geogr Base. 2021.
- Ghanem SA, Ali RA, Rezk MM, El-Razik AMA. Influence of seasonal flooding period and the application of zinc, iron and manganese on the availability of applied phosphorus, growth and yield of rice. Egypt J Agric Res. 1998;76(1):175-190.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1983.
- Jassim A, Al-Gym K, Hameed M, Al-Asady S. Effect of the method and level of adding NPK nanoparticles and mineral fertilizers on the growth and yield of yellow corn and the content of mineral nutrient of some plant parts. Plant Arch. 2020;20(1):38-43.
- Joseph M, Veerabadran V, Hemalatha M. Nitrogen management through green manure intercropping and urea application on growth analysis and yield of wet seeded hybrid rice CORH 2. Environ Ecol. 2005;23(2):355-360.
- Khaled AM, Haque MA, Bahabur MM, Rana MS. Response of foliar nitrogen application method on the growth, yield and seed quality of wheat. Int J Sustain Agric Technol. 2021;17(10):1-6.
- Lahari S, Hussain SA, Parameswari YS, Sharma SHK. Grain yield and nutrient uptake of rice as influenced by the nano forms of nitrogen and zinc. Int J Environ Clim Change. 2021;11(7):1-6.
- Mandel BK, Skink TR, Ray PK. Effect of age of seedling and level of nitrogen on productivity of rice. Oryza. 1984;21(4):225-232.
- Mathur GM, Pandey C, Yadav BS. Status of zinc in irrigated north-west plains cost of Rajasthan. J Indian Soc

- Soil Sci. 2001;54(3):359-361.
18. Menamo M, Masebo N. The effect of application of different NP fertilizer rates on yield and yield attributes of bread wheat in case of Chancha Woreda, Southern Ethiopia. J Nat Sci Res. 2016;6(5).
  19. Mustafa G, Qaisrani ENA, Khan SAAI, Chattha K, Trethowan AA, Chattha R, Atta BM. Growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int J Agro Vet Med Sci. 2011;5(6):530-535.
  20. Patel NM, Sadria SG, Kaneya BB, Khanpara VD. Effect of N, P and Zn on growth and yield of wheat. Indian J Agron. 1995;40(2):290-292.
  21. Ravichandran M, Kanalakannan P, Chandra S, Rashekharan MV. Effect of sulphur and zinc on rice yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient use efficiency. Plant Arch. 2006;6(1):293-295.
  22. Sankar LR, Mishra GC, Maitra S, Barman S. Effect of nano NPK and straight fertilizers on yield, economics and agronomic indices in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8(2):614-618.
  23. Sarayanan A, Ramanathan KM. Effect of zinc application on availability and yield of rice. Oryza. 1988;25(3):271-273.
  24. Sehwaig M, Shweta PK, Neelam, Devi U. Impact of neem coated urea on phenological development and yield of wheat. Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8(5):2612-2614.
  25. Sharma DK, Singh KN, Nitant SC. Effect of missing application of P and Zn along with N on growth and yield of paddy and wheat on sodic soil. Indian J Agron. 1985;30(2):158-162.
  26. Sheoran P, Grewal S, Kumari S, Goel S. Effect of environmentally benign nano nitrogen, potassium, zinc on growth and yield enhancement in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian J Agric Res. 2021:1-4.
  27. Sohair EED, Abdall AA, Amany AM, Houda RA. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium nano fertilizers with different application times, methods and rates on some growth parameters of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). Biosci Res. 2018;15(2):549-564.
  28. Singh SK, Dwivedi SP, Dwivedi DP, Dwivedi PN, Singh SK. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown on saline alkali soils under late sown condition. Plant Arch. 2017;6(1):333-336.
  29. Singh H, Pal S, Kumar D, Kumar A, Verma A, Sachan R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and quality of rice. Int J Environ Clim Change. 2024;14(2):646-651.
  30. Slaton NA, Norman RJ, Wilson CE Jr. Effect of zinc source and application time on zinc uptake and grain yield of flood irrigated rice. Agron J. 2005;97(1):272-278.
  31. Tanmay K, Das DK, Debatany, Maiti. Yield and zinc sulphate uptake in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by source and time of zinc applications. Indian J Agric Sci. 2006;6:346-348.
  32. Walkley AJ, Black IA. Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1947;37:29-38.
  33. Yadav A. Effect of nitrogen levels and plant geometry on growth, yield and root characteristics of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). 2010.