



International Journal of Research in Agronomy

E-ISSN: 2618-0618
P-ISSN: 2618-060X
© Agronomy
NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20
www.agronomyjournals.com
2025; 8(9): 90-92
Received: 15-06-2025
Accepted: 18-07-2025

Sujeet Kumar
Research Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, National Post
Graduate College, Barhalganj,
Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Prabhat Kumar Chaturvedi
Department of Agronomy,
National Post Graduate College,
Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Effect of different doses of phosphorus and sulphur application on growth and yield of dwarf wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Sujeet Kumar and Prabhat Kumar Chaturvedi

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i9b.3731>

Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Effect of Different Doses of Phosphorus and Sulphur application on growth and yield of Dwarf Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)" was conducted at Crop Research Farm of National Post Graduate college, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, U. P. during Rabi season of 2020-21 and 2021-22 with an objective to study the effect of Phosphorous and Sulphur on growth, yield and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The soil of the experimental field was silty loam in texture with low, medium and high in N, P. and K., respectively. The experimental site is situated in sub-tropical zone in Indo-gangetic plains. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (Factorial) and sown dated on 16-11-2020 and 18-11-2021 with two factors viz-A-Phosphorus i.e. 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha⁻¹ and B-Sulphur i.e. 0, 15, 30 and 45 kg S ha⁻¹ with three replication and harvested dated on 05-04-2021 and 07-04-2022, respectively. The result indicated that the Phosphorus-90 kg ha⁻¹ proved significantly superior over other Phosphorus level in terms of viz-plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation and yield parameters viz-length of spike, number of grains spike⁻¹ and test weight and grain yield, straw yield, harvest index, gross return (Rs ha⁻¹), net return (Rs ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio, respectively, while Sulphur-45 kg ha⁻¹ gave significantly highest value in terms of plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation and yield parameters viz-length of spike, number of grains spike⁻¹ and test weight and grain yield, straw yield, harvest index, gross return (Rs ha⁻¹), net return (Rs ha⁻¹) and B:C ratio over rest of the treatment during both the years, respectively. Phosphorous (90 kg ha⁻¹) and Sulphur (45 kg ha⁻¹) increased significantly the growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of wheat crop during both the years of experiment.

Keywords: Wheat, phosphorous, sulphur, fertilizer, growth parameters, yield attributing parameters, yield, protein content.

Introduction

The way India increase the wheat production and help the food security system through "Wheat Revolution" is worth to be remembered. It was felt that wheat revolution and green revolution have made it self-sufficient in food grains and that there will be no going back to old import days, when the food economy was either "Ship to mouth" or "Field to mouth". Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the world's most largest cultivated crops and a vital strategic crop for the world belongs to the family Poaceae. In India, Wheat is grown approximately 31.4 million hectares, yielding about 113.29 million tonnes with a production of 35.20 q ha⁻¹ (USDA, 2023-24). Specifically, in Uttar Pradesh, wheat was cultivated over an area of 32.76 million hectare, producing 117.59 million tonnes with a productivity of 35.87 q ha⁻¹ during 2023-24 (UP Ag, 2023-24). Soil is an indispensable factor for plant growth which provide nutrients as well as moisture to crop beside this, gives mechanical anchorage to stand crop. Among the different important resources of crop-production, requirement of nutrients is well established fact for increasing crop growth, development and yields. Phosphorus plays a diversified role in crop development such as photosynthesis, respiration, development of reproductive part, root growth, increases the number of tillers in cereals, increase the ratio of grain to straw and stimulating the flowering, fruit setting and seed formation etc. (Sharma and Prasad, 2003) [5].

Corresponding Author:
Sujeet Kumar
Research Scholar, Department of
Agronomy, National Post
Graduate College, Barhalganj,
Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Sulphur (S) is one of the seventeenth nutrient elements and now recognised as a fourth major nutrient in addition to N, P, and K, which are essential for the growth and development of plants. Sulphur is essential for protein production and involved in the formation of chlorophyll, activation of enzyme and in the formation of glucosides. Sulphur improves crop yield, oil percentage in oil seed, plant protein, cereal quality for milling and baking. (Mengal and Kirby 1987) [3]. Among the various ingredients of crop production, Phosphorous and Sulphur are of immense importance and therefore need special attention to exploit full yield potential of wheat. However, scientific data on these aspects are very meagre. Keeping these facts in view, the present field trial was conducted.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was carried out at the Crop Research Farm of National Post Graduate College, Barhalganj, Gorakhpur, U.P. during rabii season of 2020-21 and 2021-22. The experimental site is situated in subtropical zone in indo-gangetic plains and lies between 26° 47' North latitude, 82° 10' East longitude and 1130 m above sea level. The soil of the experimental field was silty loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction with Ph 7.7, E.C 0.20 dSm⁻¹, organic carbon 0.41% and available Nitrogen 195 kg ha⁻¹ Phosphorus 18.3 kg ha⁻¹ and Potassium 262 kg ha⁻¹ at 0-15 cm soil depth. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (Factorial) and sown on 16-11-2021 and 18-11-2022, respectively with two factors viz-A-Phosphorous i.e. 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha⁻¹ and B-Sulphur i.e. 0, 15, 30 and 45 kg ha⁻¹ with three replication. The crop was sown by using seed rate of 120 kg ha⁻¹, while Phosphorous and Sulphur were applied to the wheat as per treatment of the experimental crop. The other agronomical cultural practices such as Nitrogen, Potassium, irrigation, weeding and plant protection measures have been performed as per requisite and recommendation of the crop. The wheat was harvested dated on 05-04-2021 and 07-04-2021.

Results and Discussion

Effect of Phosphorous

An experiment was conducted to observe the influence of Phosphorous on growth and yield of wheat. The data pertaining to growth, yield and economics along with statistical interpretations are presented and discussed. The data (Table 1) revealed that Phosphorous had a significant effect on wheat growth parameters viz-plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation and yield parameters (Table-2) viz-length of spike, number of grains spike⁻¹ and test weight and grain yield, straw yield, harvest index and protein content. Results clearly indicates that maximum crop growth parameter viz-plant height (97.04 cm and 97.33 cm), number of tillers (297.90 and 307.41), leaf area index (4.78 and 4.93) and dry matter accumulation (856.46 and 818.23 g) and yield attributing characters viz-length of spike (10.61 and 11.19 cm), number of grains spike⁻¹ (39.67 and 39.76) and test weight (40.20 and 40.90 g) and grain yield (38.27 and 40.48 q ha⁻¹), straw yield (49.11 and 50.60 q ha⁻¹), harvest index (43.73 and 44.40) and protein content (12.73 and 12.86%) were recorded with the Phosphorous-90 kg per ha, which were significantly superior over 0, 30 kg P ha⁻¹ but at par with 60 kg P ha⁻¹ during

both the years, respectively. It is due to fact that Phosphorus is essential for root development and translocation of photosynthates and being the constitution of nucleic acid, pythone and phospholipids, its application increase different growth parameters (Dewal and Prateek 2004) [1] and (Singh, *et al.* 2025) [6]. Phosphorus significantly increases yield attributing characters and yield by improving root development, nutrient uptake and photosynthetic activity, all of which are essential for biomass production and growth of crop (Madhavadia, *et al.*, 2023) [4]. (Table 1).

Effect of Sulphur

An experiment was conducted to observe the influence of Sulphur on growth and yield of wheat. The data pertaining to growth parameter and yield and yield attributing parameters along with statistical interpretation are presented and discussed. The data (Table 1) revealed that Sulphur had a significant effect on wheat growth parameters viz-plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation and yield parameters (Table-2) viz-length of spike, number of grains spike⁻¹ and test weight and grain yield, straw yield, harvest index and protein content. Results clearly indicates that maximum crop growth parameter viz-plant height (97.99 and 98.62 cm number of tillers (313.73 and 313.88), leaf area index (4.97 and 5.01) and dry matter accumulation (830.12 and 840.31 g) and yield attributing characters viz-length of spike (10.41 and 10.99 cm), number of grains spike⁻¹ (39.96 and 40.97) and test weight (40.27 and 41.00) and grain yield (38.93 and 40.80 q ha⁻¹), straw yield (50.11 and 50.70 q ha⁻¹) harvest index (43.60 and 44.44) and protein content (12.81 and 12.94) were recorded with the Sulphur-45 kg ha⁻¹, which were significantly superior over 0, 15 kg S ha⁻¹ but at par with 30 kg ha⁻¹ during both the years, respectively. It is due to fat that sulphur is a component of amino acid and plays a prominent role in the synthesis of proteins and other vital molecules. Sulphurs availability is essential for photosynthesis and metabolic process that drive plant growth and biomass production. The results are in closed agreement with the findings of Ghanshai S, (2022) [2].

Table 1: Effect of different phosphorus and sulphur levels on plant height, number of tillers, leaf area index, and dry matter accumulation during 2020-21 and 2021-22.

Treatment	Plant height (cm)		Number of tillers (m ²)		Leaf area index		Dry matter accumulation	
	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22
Phosphorus level (Kg ha⁻¹)								
0	83.29	86.18	196.56	203.18	3.24	3.59	637.93	587.78
30	89.08	89.03	241.37	244.25	3.86	4.10	731.77	682.15
60	94.78	94.85	277.28	280.14	4.49	4.58	812.90	802.89
90	97.04	97.33	297.90	307.41	4.78	4.93	856.46	818.23
S.E.m±	1.94	1.93	8.77	8.97	0.21	0.16	17.22	19.26
C.D. at 5%	5.59	5.58	25.34	25.89	0.62	0.47	49.73	55.62
Sulphur level (Kg ha⁻¹)								
0	84.15	85.51	186.13	194.89	3.21	3.66	649.63	603.26
15	89.75	89.93	244.68	250.93	3.94	4.10	761.86	711.32
30	92.30	93.32	268.57	275.28	4.26	4.43	797.44	736.16
45	97.99	98.62	313.73	313.88	4.97	5.01	830.12	840.31
S.E.m±	1.94	1.93	8.77	8.97	0.21	0.16	17.22	19.26
C.D at 5%	5.59	5.58	25.34	25.89	0.62	0.47	49.73	55.62

Table 2: Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on yield attributes, yield, harvest index, and protein content during 2020-21 and 2021-22.

Treatment	Length of spike		Number of grains spike ⁻¹		Test weight (g)		Grain yield (qha ⁻¹)		Straw yield (qha ⁻¹)		Harvest index (%)		Protein content (%)	
	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22
Phosphorus level (Kg ha ⁻¹)														
0	8.99	9.49	28.63	30.33	35.73	36.30	30.43	31.96	41.20	41.85	42.59	43.37	11.57	11.81
30	9.84	10.37	32.78	34.12	37.03	37.73	33.65	35.98	44.53	45.70	42.93	44.05	12.07	12.29
60	10.47	11.06	36.87	38.75	39.50	40.20	35.73	38.13	48.84	50.03	43.11	44.07	12.23	12.44
90	10.61	11.19	39.67	39.76	40.20	40.90	38.27	40.48	49.11	50.60	43.73	44.40	12.73	12.86
S.Em±	0.13	0.14	1.07	1.10	1.01	1.02	0.95	0.85	1.12	1.11	0.76	0.67	0.18	0.10
C.D. at 5%	0.39	0.40	3.08	3.18	3.03	3.05	2.74	2.45	3.22	3.21	NS	NS	0.52	0.42
Sulphur level (Kg ha ⁻¹)														
0	9.51	10.04	28.12	29.86	35.45	36.00	30.70	32.89	41.86	43.36	42.36	43.25	11.58	11.81
15	9.96	10.50	33.62	34.84	37.05	37.10	31.75	36.31	45.11	46.64	42.82	43.83	11.99	12.21
30	10.02	10.58	36.25	37.29	39.30	40.00	36.20	38.43	46.60	47.49	43.58	44.37	12.23	12.44
45	10.41	10.99	39.96	40.97	40.27	41.00	38.93	40.80	50.11	50.70	43.60	44.44	12.81	12.94
S.Em±	0.13	0.14	1.07	1.10	1.03	1.05	0.95	0.85	1.12	1.11	0.76	0.67	0.18	0.14
C.D at 5%	0.39	0.40	3.08	3.18	3.08	3.13	2.74	2.45	3.22	3.21	NS	NS	0.52	0.41

Economics feasibility

To examine the economic feasibility and viability of different treatments under investigation, economics of wheat crop in terms of gross return (Rs ha⁻¹), net return (Rs ha⁻¹) and B : C ratio were calculated for different treatment combination and the outcome is presented in Table-3. It is obvious from the above

table that the treatment P₃ S₃ i. e. 90 kg P₂O₅ ha + 45 kg S ha registered highest gross return (Rs 1,08,102.15 and 1,16,274.92 ha⁻¹), net return (Rs 63,797.99 and 69,008.69 ha⁻¹), and B : C ratio (1.44 and 1.46). This might be due to higher yield with the treatment compared to other treatments.

Table 3: Effect of treatments on gross return, net return, and benefit-cost ratio during 2020-21 and 2021-22.

Gross return (₹)		Net return (₹)		Benefit cost ratio B:C Re ⁻¹ invested	
2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22
66094.17	71767.59	28962.61	31673.96	0.78	0.79
68929.33	73911.94	31056.07	33076.61	0.82	0.81
71438.50	76502.56	32823.09	34925.08	0.85	0.84
73654.73	79617.30	34267.17	37267.67	0.87	0.88
73682.55	79727.90	34902.26	37985.54	0.90	0.91
82600.95	89216.52	43078.96	46732.46	1.09	1.10
84957.33	91635.56	44693.19	48413.35	1.11	1.12
90623.90	98049.44	49617.61	54081.08	1.21	1.23
92987.22	100233.90	52557.99	56842.60	1.30	1.31
95104.84	102830.66	53933.91	58697.90	1.31	1.33
98914.86	104110.30	57001.78	59235.15	1.36	1.32
98961.75	106290.63	56305.82	60672.33	1.32	1.33
96779.76	104042.93	54701.60	59002.70	1.30	1.31
100530.89	105298.46	57011.02	59516.52	1.31	1.30
101499.48	108866.34	57937.47	62342.26	1.33	1.34
108102.15	116274.92	63797.99	69008.69	1.44	1.46

Conclusion

Based on the experimental findings, it is explained that Phosphorous-90 kg P₂O₅ ha and Sulphur-45 kg P₂O₅ ha has been proved significantly best treatment among the different treatments of experiment to exploit the maximum yield. Hence, it is calculated that 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ + 45 kg S per ha can be used as remunerative strategy and can be practiced in a eastern Uttar Pradesh.

References

- Dewal GS, Pareek RG. Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and zinc on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(3):160-162.
- Ghanshai K, Singh R, Thakur I. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;34(23):769-773.
- Mengal K, Kirby EA. Principles of plant nutrition. International Potash Institute: Berne, Switzerland; 1987, p. 385-399.
- Modhavadia JM, Rathod AD, Patel RJ, Bairawa DD. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on the yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(12):3501-3513.
- Sharma SN, Prasad R. Yield and P uptake by rice and wheat grown in a sequence as influenced by phosphate fertilization with diammonium phosphate and Mussoorie rock phosphate with or without crop residues and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 2003;141:359-369.
- Singh DK, Tiwari NH, Mishra R. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of wheat crop. International Journal of Research in Agronomy. 2025;8(1):512-515.
- Uttar Pradesh Agriculture Department. Report on wheat production in Uttar Pradesh; 2023-24.
- United States Department of Agriculture. Report on global wheat production; 2023-24.