

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

NAAS Rating (2025): 5.20

www.agronomyjournals.com 2025; 8(10): 1116-1120 Received: 19-08-2025 Accepted: 23-09-2025

VD Waghmare

M.Sc. Scholar, College of Agriculture Badnapur, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

PJ Shedge

M.Sc. Scholar, College of Agriculture Badnapur, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

PS.Zine

M.Sc. Scholar, College of Agriculture Badnapur, Department of Agronomy, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: VD Waghmare

M.Sc. Scholar, College of Agriculture Badnapur, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Heterosis and genetic parameters for yield-related traits in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.)

VD Waghmare, PJ Shedge and PS Zine

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2025.v8.i10o.4129

Abstract

The present study on "Heterosis and Genetic Parameters for Yield-Related Traits in *pigeonpea* (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.)" was conducted during *Kharif 2018* using three crosses — *BDN 708* × *BDN 2014-1*, *BDN 711* × *BDN 2010-1*, and *BSMR 853* × *PUSA 2001* — along with the standard check *BDN 716*. Significant heterosis was observed for most yield and yield-related traits, indicating ample genetic variability. The cross *BDN 711* × *BDN 2010-1* exhibited the highest positive heterosis for seed yield per plant and number of pods per plant, while *BSMR 853* × *PUSA 2001* showed desirable heterosis for earliness and number of seeds per pod. Negative heterosis for days to flowering and maturity suggested potential for developing early maturing genotypes. Overall, *BDN 711* × *BDN 2010-1* emerged as the most promising cross for yield improvement in pigeonpea.

Keywords: Cajanus cajan, gene action, heterosis, yield components

Introduction

Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) is a major grain legume of the tropics and subtropics, valued for its multipurpose use as food, feed, fodder, and fuel. Belonging to the family *Leguminosae*, it is a hardy, drought-tolerant, and widely adaptable crop with a maturity range of 90-300 days. In India, it occupies about 4.45 million ha with a production of 4.18 million tonnes and productivity of 937 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2019), ranking second after chickpea.

Nutritionally, pigeonpea is rich in protein (17.9-24.3%), carbohydrates, and essential amino acids, and plays a key role in soil fertility improvement through biological nitrogen fixation. Despite its significance, productivity remains low due to limited genetic gain in yield improvement.

Understanding the genetic basis of yield and its components is essential for developing high-yielding varieties. Generation mean analysis helps partition genetic effects into additive, dominance, and epistatic components, thereby guiding effective breeding strategies. Hence, the present study entitled "Heterosis and Genetic Parameters for Yield-Related Traits in *pigeonpea* (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.)" was undertaken to assess the nature and magnitude of gene action governing yield and related traits.

Materials and Methods

1. Experimental materials

The present investigation entitled "Generation mean analysis for yield and its component traits in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp)" was carried out during *Kharif* 2018-2019 at Agricultural Research Station, Badnapur experimental field.

The experimental material used for present investigation comprised of six generations (P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂) derived from three crosses involving six parents *viz*. BDN 708, BDN 711, BSMR 853, BDN 2014-1, BDN 2010-1 and PUSA 2001 along with standard check BDN 716. The characteristic of parents are listed in Table (1.1).

Table 1: Characters of the parental lines

Sr. No.	Parents	Characters	
1	BDN 708	Resistant to wilt	
2	BDN 711	Earliness	
3	BSMR 853	Resistant to Sterility Mosaic Disease	
4	BDN 2014-1	White flowered mutant	
5	BDN 2010-1	More no. of pods, high yielding	
6	PUSA 2001	Extra earliness	

The following three F_1 's was developed using above parental lines in *kharif* 2017.

- 1. BDN 708 × BDN 2014-1
- 2. BDN 711 \times BDN 2010-1
- 3. BSMR 853× PUSA 2001

2. Experimental details

The field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Badarpur experimental field during *kharif* 2018-19.

Table 2: Detail of six generation developed for generation mean analysis study

Generations	Cross-1	Cross-2	Cross-3
\mathbf{P}_1	BDN 708	BDN 711	BSMR 853
P_2	BDN 2014-1	BDN 2010-1	PUSA 2001
F_1	(BDN 708 x BDN 2014-1)	(BDN 711 x BDN 2010-1)	(BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001)
F_2	Self on F ₁	Self on F ₁	Self on F ₁
BC ₁	(BDN 708 x BDN 2014-1) x BDN 708	(BDN 711 x BDN 2010-1) x BDN 711	(BSMR 853 x PUSA 2001) X BSMR 853
BC_2	(BDN 708 x BDN 2014-1) x BDN 2014-1	(BDN 711 x BDN 2010-1) x BDN 2010-1	(BSMR 853 x PUSA 2001) X PUSA 2001

Check: BDN 716

2.1 Crossing programme

During *kharif* 2017 parental materials were sown to undertake crossing programme. Female lines were crossed with each male line *viz.* BDN 2014-1, BDN 2010-1, PUSA 2001.

2.2 Design and Layout

The experiment consisted of three F_1 's and six parents.

The detail of experiment is given below:

- 1. Design: RBD (Randomized Block Design)
- 2. No. of replication: Two (Two rows each of P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , BC_1 , BC_2 and four rows for F_2 generation)
- 3. Treatments: $19(3F_1 + 3BC_1 + 3BC_2 + 3F_2 + 6 \text{ parents} + 1Check)$
- 4. Plot size: 1.80 x 4.00 m² (P₁, P₂, F₁, BC₁, BC₂ generations): 3.60 x 4.00 m² (F₂ generations)
- 5. Spacing: 90 x 20 cm²
- 6. Fertilizer dose: 20:50:00 NPK (kg/ha).
- 7. Season: Kharif 2018-19.
- 8. Location: Agricultural Research Station, Badnapur
- 9. Check: BDN 716

2.3 Testing of six generation of crosses

During *kharif 2018*, *six generations* (P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , F_2 , BC_1 and BC_2) of three crosses along with one check were sown in Randomized Block Design with two replications on 10^{th} July 2018. Each replication consisted of six generation (P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , F_2 , BC_1 and BC_2) of three crosses along with one check. Each genotype was represented by two rows in each replication. The experimental plot size was $1.80 \times 4.00 \text{ m}^2$ (P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , BC_1 , BC_2 generations) and $3.60 \times 4.00 \text{ m}^2$ (F_2 generation) spaced 90 cm apart with 20 cm plant to plant distance.

3. Collection of experimental data

Observations were recorded on randomly selected five plants in parents, F_1 , BC_1 and BC_2 generations and 20 plants in F_2 generations in each replication. Data on following characters were recorded.

a. Days to 50% flowering

The number of days required from sowing to flowering of 50% plants in each generation was recorded.

b. Days to maturity

The number of days required from sowing to maturity of crops

was recorded.

c. Plant height (cm)

At maturity, plant height was measured from base of the plant to tip of the main stem.

d. Number of primary branches per plant

The main fruiting branches per plant developed on main shoot were recorded per plant at maturity. Total effective branches on the main stem were recorded.

e. Number of secondary branches per plant

At maturity, the total number of branches was developed on primary branches counted per plant. Total effective branches on the primary branches were recorded.

f. Number of pods per plant

The number of pods retained on plants at the time of harvest was recorded.

g. No. of seeds per pod

The number of seeds in each of 10 pods of selected five plants was recorded and average was worked out as number of seeds per pods on each plant.

h. Pod length (cm)

The length in each of 10 pods of selected five plants was recorded in cm and average was worked out as pod length on each plant.

i. 100 seed weight (g)

Healthy 100 seeds were counted and weight recorded in grams.

j. Seed yield per plant (g)

The grain yield was recorded in grams (g) per plant.

4. Statistical analysis

4.1. Analysis of variance for treatment differences

To test the significance of differences between treatments, the analysis of variance for Randomized Block Design (RBD) was carried out as per procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) for all metric characters under study as below,

Table 3: Analysis of variance

Source of variation	d.f.	s.s.	MS	Expected mean sum of squares	F value
Replications	(r-1)	SSr	MSr	$\sigma^2 e + t \sigma^2 r$	MSr/MSe
Treatments	(t-1)	SSt	MSt	$\sigma^2 e + r \sigma^2 t$	MSt/MSe
Error	(r-1) (t-1)	SSe	MSe	σ²e	

Where,

r = Number of replications.

t = Number of treatments.

d.f. = Degrees of freedom.

S.S. = Sum of square.

SSr = Replication sum of square

SSt = Treatment sum of square

SSe = Error sum of square

MS = Mean sum of squares.

MSr = Replication mean sum of squares.

MSt = Treatment mean sum of squares.

MSe = Error sum mean of squares.

Standard error (SE), critical difference (CD) and coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated as follows,

SE
$$(\pm) = \sqrt{2MSe/r}$$

$$CD = SE \times \sqrt{2 \times 't'}$$

$$CV(\%) = \frac{\sigma}{\overline{x}} \times 100$$

Where,

MSe: Error mean square

t: Table 't' value at error degrees of freedom at 5 and 1 per cent

r: Number of replication

σ: Standard deviation

X: Mean

$$SD = \underbrace{x \sqrt{\sum (x - x)^2}}_{n-1}$$

4.2 Estimation of Heterosis

Heterobeltiosis was measured as the proportion of the deviation of the mean value from the better parent value and standard heterosis from the value of standard check. The F_1 hybrids performance will be calculated as the heterosis over standard checks and better parent as per Fonesca and Patterson (1968).

1. Heterobeltiosis =
$$\left(\frac{\overline{F}_1 - \overline{BP}}{\overline{BP}}\right)$$
 x 100
2. Standard Heterosis = $\left(\frac{\overline{F}_1 - \overline{SC}}{\overline{SC}}\right)$ x 100

Where.

 $\overline{F_1}$: Average performance of F_1 hybrid.

BP: Mean values of Better parent.

SC: Mean values of standard check.

Mean heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis was worked out for all the characters by summing up the heterotic effects of all the hybrids and dividing it by the total number of hybrid combinations.

Test of significance

The heterosis was tested by least significant difference at 5 per cent and at 1 per cent level of significance for error degrees of freedom as follows,

For testing heterosis over better parents and standard check

SE (d) (BP) =
$$\sqrt{2MSe/r}$$

SE (d) (SC) =
$$\sqrt{2MSe/r}$$

Where.

MSe = Error variance

r = Number of replications

Estimation of t value for all hybrids

1. t value for heterobeltosis =
$$\frac{\overline{F_1 - \overline{BP}}}{\overline{SE}}$$

2. t value for standard heterosis =
$$\frac{\overline{F1} - \overline{SC}}{\overline{SE}}$$

Critical difference

CD = SE (d) x't' (at error d.f. at. 5 and 1 per cent level of significance). Heterosis was considered significant when $(F_1 - BP)$ or $(F_1 - SC)$ was higher than critical difference.

Results and Discussion

The findings of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been presented under following heads.

5. Heterosis

Results of per cent heterosis over better parent (BP), Standard check (SC) and inbreeding depression are presented for each other in Table 4.3.

5.1. Days to 50 per cent flowering

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -10.04 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 1.86 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1) and over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 it was in the range of -4.18 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 3.25 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1). The negative heterosis was desirable for days to 50 per cent flowering. The heterosis over better parent was significant and negative in the crosses BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1 and BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001. However, heterosis over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 was significant and positive in the cross BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1, while significant and negative in the cross BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001.

5.2. Days to maturity

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -3.52 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1) to -1.22per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) and over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 it was in the range of -2.42 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to 6.96 per cent BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001.

5.3. Plant height (cm)

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -12.94per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 1.03 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1) and over standard check *viz*. BDN 716 it was in the range of -18.66 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to -13.71 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1).

5.4. Number of primary branches per plant

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -18.68 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to -6.70 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1) and over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 it was in the range of -11.90 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to 13.09 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001).

5.5. Number of secondary branches per plant

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -12.98 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to 3.00 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) and over standard check *viz*. BDN 716 it was in the range of -17.64 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1) to -13.44 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001).

5.6. Number of pods per plant

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -19.24 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 30.38 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) and over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 it was in the range of 27.19 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 36.76 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1).

5.7. Number of seeds per pod

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of 0.00per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to 23.33 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) and over standard check *viz*. BDN 716 it was in the range of 19.35 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 29.03 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1).

5.8. Pod length (cm)

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -3.67 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) to 1.81 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) and over standard check *viz*. BDN 716 it was in the range of -5.08 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 5.08 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1).

5.9. 100-Seed weight (gm)

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -11.53 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 2.16 per cent (BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1) and over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 it was in the range of -26.31 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to -19.07 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1).

5.10. Seed yield per plant

The heterosis over better parent was in the range of -24.14 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 27.82 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1) and over standard check *viz.* BDN 716 it was in the range of 7.96 per cent (BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001) to 30.29 per cent (BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1).

Table 4: Heterosis for different characters in three crosses of pigeonpea

Characters and ones	Per cent heterosis over		
Characters and cross	BP	SC	
1) Days to 50% flowering			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	1.86	1.86	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-5.12**	3.25*	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-10.04**	-4.18**	
2) Days to maturity			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	-3.52*	-0.60	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-1.22	-2.42	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-2.48	6.96**	
3)Plant height (cm)			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	1.03	-13.71**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-0.36	-18.66**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-12.94**	-14.99**	
4)No. primary branches			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	-6.70**	-8.33**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-18.68**	-11.90**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-7.76**	13.09**	
5) No. secondary branches			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	-7.98**	-17.64**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-12.98**	-15.54**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	3.00*	-13.44**	

Table 4: Contd...

CI 4	Per cent heterosis over		
Characters and cross	BP	SC	
6)Number of pods/plant			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	22.25**	36.76**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	30.38**	33.68**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-19.24**	27.19**	
7)Number of seeds/pod			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	8.33**	25.80**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	0.00	29.03**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	23.33**	19.35**	
8)Pod length (cm)			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	-2.88*	-3.05*	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-3.67*	5.08**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	1.81	-5.08**	
9)100-seed weight (gm)			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	2.16	-25.00**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	-1.16	-19.07**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-11.53**	-26.31**	
10)Seed yield/plant			
BDN 708 X BDN 2014-1	21.94**	27.57**	
BDN 711 X BDN 2010-1	27.82**	30.29**	
BSMR 853 X PUSA 2001	-24.14**	7.96**	

^{* -}Significant at 5% level of significance

6. Conclusion

The study on heterosis and inbreeding depression among three pigeon pea crosses — BDN 708 \times BDN 2014-1, BDN 711 \times

BDN 2010-1, and *BSMR 853* \times *PUSA 2001* — revealed considerable variability in the magnitude and direction of heterosis for different yield and yield-contributing traits.

^{**-}Significant at 1% level of significance

SC = Standard check BDN 71

Significant and desirable negative heterosis was observed for days to 50% flowering in the crosses $BDN\ 711 \times BDN\ 2010\text{-}1$ and $BSMR\ 853 \times PUSA\ 2001$, indicating their potential for developing early maturing genotypes. For days to maturity, heterosis values were negative over both the better parent and standard check, suggesting scope for developing early varieties. For plant height, most crosses exhibited negative heterosis, which could be desirable for developing dwarf and lodging-resistant plant types. The cross $BSMR\ 853 \times PUSA\ 2001$ showed a favourable trend for number of secondary branches per plant, while $BDN\ 708 \times BDN\ 2014\text{-}1$ and $BDN\ 711 \times BDN\ 2010\text{-}1$ exhibited higher heterotic response for number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant.

Marked heterosis was observed for seed yield per plant, particularly in $BDN\ 711 \times BDN\ 2010\text{-}1$, which recorded the highest positive heterosis over both better parent and standard check, indicating this cross as a promising combination for yield improvement. Similarly, $BSMR\ 853 \times PUSA\ 2001$ exhibited desirable heterosis for number of seeds per pod, while $BDN\ 708 \times BDN\ 2014\text{-}1$ showed positive heterosis for 100-seed weight and pod length.

Overall, the cross $BDN\ 711 \times BDN\ 2010\text{-}1$ emerged as the most superior hybrid combination with significant positive heterosis for several yield-attributing traits and overall seed yield. This suggests its potential utility in future breeding programs aimed at improving productivity and earliness in pigeon pea.

References

- 1. Acharya S, Patel JB, Tank CJ, Yadav AS. Heterosis and combining ability studies in Indo-African crosses of pigeonpea. J Food Legumes. 2009;22(2):91-95.
- 2. Aher GU, Madrap IA, Tike MA, Gore R. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in pigeonpea. J Maharashtra Agric Univ. 2006;31(1):33-37.
- 3. Deshmukh RB, Rodge RG, Patil JV, Sahane DV. Heterosis for yield and yield components in relation to cropping systems in pigeonpea. Legume Res. 2001;24(2):101-104.
- 4. Dheva NG, Patil AN, Wanjari KB. Heterosis evaluation in CGMS-based hybrids of pigeonpea. Ann Plant Physiol. 2008;22(2):228-230.
- Jadhav BD, Nerkar YS. Heterosis for grain yield in pigeonpea grown under different cropping systems. Indian J Agric Sci. 1983;53(7):528-531.
- Khapre PR, Aghav SB, Madrap IA. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for grain yield and its components in pigeonpea. In: Proceedings of the Seminar on Strategies for Increasing Pulses Production in Maharashtra. 1996. p. 7-8.