

E-ISSN: 2618-0618 P-ISSN: 2618-060X © Agronomy

www.agronomyjournals.com

2024; SP-7(11): xx-xx Received: 05-08-2024 Accepted: 14-09-2024

Pooja Sahu

Research Scholar, Pt. Kishori Lal Shukla College of Horticulture and Research Station, Rajnandgaon, MGUVV, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India

Shishir Prakash Sharma

Assistant Professor, Department of Fruit Science, Sant Kabir College of Agriculture and Research Station, Kawardha, IGKV, Raipur Chhattisgarh, India

Jitendra Singh

Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, Pt. Kishori Lal Shukla College of Horticulture and Research Station, Rajnandgaon. MGUVV, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India

MS Paikra

Assistant Professor, Department of Fruit Science, Pt. Kishori Lal Shukla College of Horticulture and Research Station, Rajnandgaon. MGUVV, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India

Dr. Dikeshwar Nishad

Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Statistics and Social Science L., Pt. Shiv Kumar Shashtri College of Agriculture and Research Station, Rajnandgaon, IGKVV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Asim Kumar

Department of Vegetable Science, M.Sc. Research Scholar, Pt. Kishori Lal Shukla College of Horticulture and Research Station, Rajnandgaon. MGUVV, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Pooja Sahu

Research Scholar, Pt. Kishori Lal Shukla College of Horticulture and Research Station, Rajnandgaon. MGUVV, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India

Effect of different storage condition on storage behavior of primary processed leafy vegetables

Pooja Sahu, Shishir Prakash Sharma, Jitendra Singh, MS Paikra, Dr. Dikeshwar Nishad and Asim Kumar

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/2618060X.2024.v7.i11Se.1990

Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Effect of different storage condition on storage behavior of primary processed leafy vegetables" was conducted during the year 2022-2023 at Vegetable Science Processing Lab under Pt. K.L.S. College of Horticulture & Research Station, Rajnandgaon (C.G.). The research was framed in Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD) with 15 treatments which were replicated trice. There were 2 factor, first factor with five primary processed leafy vegetables: V₁ Fenugreek, V₂ Coriander, V3 Indian Spinach, V4 Amaranthus and V5 Red Amaranthus and second factor with three storage conditions viz., S₁: Room Temperature (RT), S₂: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S₃: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1°C (RS). Primary processed leafy vegetables sample were packaged in polyethylene bags (200 gauge, 2% vents) and subjected to stored at different storage conditions. The observation on Initial analysis of leafy vegetables before primary processing find out the highest weight of edible portion (601.75 g), highest percent of edible portion (60.17%) recorded in V₃ (Indian Spinach) while highest weight of inedible portion was observed in V₅ (Red Amaranthus) (600.78g). The observations on physic-chemical properties and sensorial evaluation were evaluated at scheduled intervals throughout the storage period. Primery processed leafy vegetables showed increasing trends in Physiological loss in weight (%) (PLW), Yellowing (%), Decay (%) and Shriveling (%) with faster rates at room temperature as compared to zero energy cool chamber and refrigerated storage conditions whereas decreasing trend with respect to moisture, ascorbic acid and sensorial qualities decreased at slower rate under refrigerated storage condition as compared to room temperature and zero energy cool chamber. the maximum moisture content of primary processed leafy vegetable recorded in V₂S₃ (85.94%) and maximum Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) recorded in treatment combination V₂S₃ (53.85mg/100 g). The self-life of primary processed leafy vegetables V₁ Fenugreek (4,8 and 10), V₂ Coriander (4,8 and 10), V₃ Indian Spinach (4,6 and 8 days), V₄ Amaranthus (4,8 and 10), and V₅ Red Amaranthus (4,8 and 10 days) at room temperature, zero energy cool chamber and refrigerated storage conditions respectively.

Keywords: Primary processed leafy vegetables, storage conditions, shelf life, quality

Introduction

India is blessed with diverse agro-climatic conditions which are very congenial for the cultivation of almost all types of fruits and vegetables. India is the second largest producer of vegetables in the world and accounts for about 15 percent of the world's vegetable production. India's flora comprises of 6000 species of plants and used for consumption, one third of which are green leafy vegetables. Green Leafy Vegetables (GLV) having much importance from the nutritional point of view (Narang *et al.* 2016) [13]. The consumption of fresh vegetables is globally recommended by World Health Organization (WHO) as an effective mean to preserve and improve population's health status.

Though India is huge producer of vegetables, but due to the lack of post-harvest facilities most of the produce goes waste. It is also reported that, the fruits and vegetables are perishable products which actively metabolized during the post - harvest handling operations. Every year, 25–30% of the production of fruits and vegetables (worth Rs. 4000 crores) are wasted due to lack of knowledge regarding the post - harvest handling practices.

Leafy vegetables are a diverse group of plants, comprising several different taxonomic plant families, commonly known as greens and potherbs. These may be cool or warm season crops

and can be grown as annuals or as perennials. In addition, some leafy vegetables are adapted to the tropics, while others are adapted to the temperate climates. They are raised either as main crop or as a minor crop depending on the location. (Narang et al., 2016) [13].

Green leafy vegetables occupy an important place among the food crops as these provide adequate amounts of many vitamins and minerals for humans. They are rich source of vitamins like beta-carotene, ascorbic acid, riboflavin, folic acid and minerals like calcium, iron, phosphorous etc. A large number of leaves from different sources such as perennial trees, aquatic plants and annuals are consumed. Some of the commonly consumed leafy vegetables are spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*), amaranthus (*Amaranthus cruntus*), fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum- graecum*), drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*), bathua (*Chenopodium album*), etc. These greens are inexpensive, high yielding, a part of the local diet and often easily available. (Kumar *et al.*, 2020) [12].

Leafy vegetables (Fenugreek, Coriander, Spinach, Amaranthus, Bathua etc.) of Fifty-one types were eaten by the tribal and local people of Chhattisgarh (Chauhan *et al.*, 2014) ^[5]. Leafy vegetables play a major role in the nutritional requirement of the tribal and local population in remote parts of the Chhattisgarh (Chandravanshi *et al.*, 2018) ^[4]. At present the diet of an average Indian is unbalanced as it consists of mainly cereals only. On an average a normal Indian consumes 375 g of cereals, and 30 g of vegetables daily, against 328 g of cereals, and 316 g of vegetables as per the standard dietary recommendation. Out of total 316 g of vegetables the daily recommendation is 200 g for leafy vegetables (Anon., 2018) ^[2].

Green leafy vegetables are highly seasonal and during storage abundant supply during the peak season results in spoilage of large quantities. These leafy vegetables are seasonal and perishable, owing to high moisture content. Green leafy vegetables have a unique place among vegetables because of their colour, flavour and health benefits.

The different storage condition use in green leafy vegetables (Fenugreek, Coriander, Spinach, Amaranthus, Red Amaranthus) increasing the shelf life and qualities. Rate of increase faster under Room temperature as compared to zero energy cool chamber and refrigerated storage. Mostly prefer to Refrigerated storage but this is costly as compared to zero energy cool chambers. zero energy cool chamber storage is best for marginal farmers and minimum cost for construction and leafy vegetables can be longer time safe and increasing for shelf life and leafy vegetables could be extended 2, 6, 8 days at par for refrigerated. (Garande *et al.*, 2019) [9].

Different storage conditions have varying effects on the storage behavior of primary processed leafy vegetables. Room temperature storage accelerates deterioration, leading to color changes, texture loss, flavor deterioration, and nutrient degradation. It promotes moisture evaporation, causing wilting and shriveling, and creates a favorable environment for microbial growth (Spinardi *et al.*, 2019) [20].

Zero energy cool chambers, built using natural materials, provide a lower temperature environment compared to room temperature. They extend shelf life by slowing metabolic processes, reducing moisture loss, and slowing microbial growth, although not as effectively as refrigeration (Kumar *et al.*, 2014) ^[11]. Refrigerated storage at temperatures between 0 to 4 degrees Celsius offers the greatest benefits. It significantly slows down deterioration, extending shelf life and preserving quality. Refrigeration minimizes moisture loss, maintains crispness and freshness, and provides effective microbial control, reducing the risk of spoilage and enhancing food safety

(Raquel *et al.*, 2019) ^[19]. In room temperature storage accelerates deterioration, while zero energy cool chambers were some improvements. However, refrigerated storage is the most effective method, with maximum shelf-life extension, minimal moisture loss, and superior microbial control. Choosing appropriate storage conditions is crucial for maintaining the quality, safety, and nutritional value of primary processed leafy vegetables.

Materials and Methods

Fenugreek, Coriander, Indian Spinach, Amaranthus and Red Amaranthus bunches were procured after harvesting in the morning hours from local market near the Rajnandgaon city. Sorting and grading were done manually to remove diseased and non-uniform of all leafy vegetables. Uniformly sized matured and cleaned all leafy vegetable were used for the investigation. The bay as well as working and drying area of laboratory and all other instruments and equipment's were sanitized with 100 ppm chlorine solution and air dried. The entire area was sprayed with 1 percent hydrogen peroxide solution to minimize load of aerobic micro flora and to remove off flavor if any, while handling and operating processing unit. The blowers, tables and sterilized stainless steel sieves were used for draining of treated leaves of selected leafy vegetables which were used for investigation. The selected vegetables were sorted for integrity, color and size uniformity and lack of defects. Only photosynthetic leaves (green leaves) with tender stem were included in the samples. Selected leaves were washed in running tap water for 4 min at room temperature (20°C) in a ratio of one part of sorted leafy vegetable for 10 parts of water. Excess water was drained by using sterilized stainless-steel sieve. The prepared vegetables were spread on tables and air dried using cool air generated by electrical fans for 15 min. and was collected in pre-sterilized trays and mixed uniformly. The excess handling of vegetables was avoided to minimize the bruising injury. The leaves with wounded and damaged tissues were removed. The best quality vegetables were selected and used for the present study. Consumer polyethylene food grade plastic bags of 25x20 cm size and 200 gauge thick with 1% vent were procured from the market. Processed vegetables weighing 100 g were filled in each polyethylene bag. The well packed primary processed vegetables were then stored at three different environments i.e. room temperature, ZECC and refrigerated (3±1 °C.) storage.

Physico-chemical analysis of primary processed leafy vegetables

Initial and final weights were recorded using an electronic balance (± 0.01 g). Weight loss percentage was calculated by following formula. Physiological loss in weight percentage = ((Initial weight - Final weight) / Initial weight) \times 100, measured with ± 0.01 g accuracy.

Yellowing was assessed visually every other day. Weight of yellowed leaves was recorded using a sensitive electrical balance. Percent yellowing (%) was calculated as: Yellowing% = (Weight of yellowed leaves / Weight of sample taken) × 100.

Decay was visually assessed and calculated as: Decay% = (Weight of decay leaves/ Weight of sample taken) \times 100 on weight basis. (procedure given by Gihan).

Shriveled leaves were sorted from the packaged processed leaves at every alternate day interval and weighed on sensitive electronic balance. Shriveling was calculated using following formula: Shriveling (%) = (Weight of shriveled leaves/ Weight of sample taken) \times 100.

Moisture percentage was determined by drying known weight of sample into hot air oven at 60 °C for 24 hours upto a constant known weight (AOAC, 2010). Moisture (%) = (Initial weight (g) -Final weight (g)/ Initial weight (g))

The following equation is then used to calculate the dry matter content (%): Dry matter content (%) = $\begin{bmatrix} 100 & - \end{bmatrix}$ Percent moisture content

Ascorbic acid content was estimated using AOAC's (2010) modified titration method and calculated as: Ascorbic Acid (mg/100 g) = (Titre value - Blank x Dye factor x Volume made up) / (Aliquot of extract taken for titration x Wt. or vol. of sample taken for estimation) X 100

Sensorial evaluation

A panel of evaluators assessed the overall acceptability of primary processed leafy vegetables based on color, appearance, texture, and aroma parameters. Ratings were scored using Amerine's 9-point Hedonic scale (1965). Samples achieving a score of 5.50 or higher were deemed acceptable, while those scoring below 5.50 were discontinued.

Statistical analysis

The collected data for different parameters were statistically analyzed as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) [15] and significance was tested by 'F' test and level of significance for all the tests was α =0.05.

Result and Discussion

Initial analysis of leafy vegetables before primary processing

The experimental data presented in table 1 revealed that the among all the leafy vegetables, highest weight of inedible portion was observed in V_5 (Red Amaranthus) (600.78g) followed by V_2 (Coriander) (580.42 g) and V_1 (Fenugreek) (570.45 g) at the same time V_3 (Indian Spinach) showed the least weight of inedible portion (398.25 g).

Highest weight of edible portion was recordedd in V_3 (Indian Spinach) (601.75 g) followed by V_4 (Amaranthus) (Amaranthus viridis) (439.2 g) and V_1 (Fenugreek) (429.55 g). The remaining vegetable viz. V_2 (Coriander) and V_5 (Red Amaranthus (Amaranthus cruntus)) had weight of edible portion (429.55 g) and (399.22g) respectively. The least weight of edible portion was found in V_5 (Red Amaranthus (Amaranthus cruntus)) (399.22g) as it had very small leaf area and its leaves are mostly taken as edible portion.

Indian Spinach recorded highest percent of edible portion V_3 (Indian Spinach) (60.17%) followed by V_4 (Amaranthus (Amaranthus viridis)) (43.92%). The remaining leafy vegetables viz. V_1 (Fenugreek), V_2 (Coriander) and V_5 (Red Amaranthus (Amaranthus cruntus)) recorded comparatively less percentage of edible portion such as (42.95%), (41.95%) and (39.92%) respectively as these leafy vegetables had comparatively smaller leaf area than Indian Spinach and Amaranthus.

Physico-chemical composition of primary processed leafy vegetables

Physical traits

Physiological loss in weight (%) (PLW): The experimental data presented in table 2 revealed that PLW of different leafy vegetable showed significant. At end of 2^{nd} day of different leafy vegetables, V_3 recorded highest (4.71%) of physiological loss in weight followed by V_1 (2.83%); whereas least PLW was recorded by V_5 (2.00%). On 4th day of different leafy

vegetables, V_3 recorded significant highest (9.32%) of PLW followed by V1 (6.71%); whereas least PLW was recorded by V_5 (5.03%), respectively. On 6^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_3 recorded significant highest (7.40%) of PLW followed by V_1 (5.33%); whereas least PLW was recorded by V_5 (3.95%) On 8^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_1 recorded significant highest PLW (6.64%) followed by V_2 (6.60%) whereas the least PLW was recorded by V_3 (4.20%). On 10^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_1 recorded highest PLW (3.80%) followed by V_2 (3.46%) whereas the least PLW was recorded by V_5 (5.16) and V_3 did not show any additional weight loss on particular day.

Indian Spinach consistently high physiological loss in weight across most days suggests a rapid water loss and high respiration rate, possibly due to its larger leaf area and thinner leaves, which may lead to quicker dehydration and wilting compared to the denser leaves of other vegetables like Red Amaranthus. The results obtained in the present study are in accordance with the results of Dhemre *et al.* (2017) [7].

Effect of different storage conditions on physiological loss in weight loss of leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 2. Under different storage condition S_1 recorded highest loss of their weight (4.53%) on the 2^{nd} day, (10.61%) on the 4^{th} day, whereas S_3 condition, leafy vegetables recorded physiological weight loss of (1.65%) on the 2^{nd} day, (3.79%) on the 4^{th} day, (7.03%) on the 6^{th} day, (8.21%) on the 8^{th} day, and (8.29%) on the 10^{th} day.

The physiological loss in weight (PLW) was higher in leafy vegetables at room temperature and zero-energy cool chamber compared to controlled environments. This increase was attributed to fluctuations in outside temperature, which adversely affected relative humidity and consequently exacerbated PLW. The PLW was influenced by Vapour Pressure Difference (VPD between products and its environment, by reducing temperature and increasing relative humidity effectively minimizes PLW which enhance self-life of leafy vegetables at controlled storage condition refrigerator. The results obtained in the present study are supported by the works of Dhemre *et al.* (2017) [7] and Ambrose *et al.* (2017) [1].

Yellowing (%) The experimental data presented in table 2 revealed that yellowing (%) of different leafy vegetable showed significant. On the 2nd day of different leafy vegetables, V₁ showed the highest yellowing (1.69%), closely followed by V₂ (1.59%), and V_3 (1.32%). lowest yellowing was recorded by V_5 (0.19%). On the 4th day of different leafy vegetables, V₁ had the highest yellowing (4.60%), followed by V₂ (3.92), and V3 (3.28). V₅ had the lowest yellowing at (0.80%). On 6th day of different leafy vegetables, V₁ recorded highest vellowing (2.78%), followed by V_2 (2.24%); whereas lowest yellowing was recorded by V₅ (0.58%). On 8th day of different leafy vegetables, V₁ recorded highest yellowing (3.56%), closely followed by V2 (2.85%) whereas the least yellowing was recorded by V₅ (0.88%). On 10th day of different leafy vegetables, V₁ recorded highest yellowing (1.63%), followed by V_2 (1.04%) whereas the least yellowing was recorded by V_5 (0.53%), V₃ did not show any yellowing on particular day.

Fenugreek exhibited higher yellowing percentage compared to other leafy vegetables in various storage conditions due to its rapid chlorophyll breakdown and sensitivity to temperature and humidity fluctuations, while Red amaranthus's superior storage performance is attributed to its thicker leaf cuticle and lower respiration rate (Dhawan *et al.*, 2017) ^[6]. These outcomes are consistent with findings of Kakade *et al.* (2015) ^[9].

Effect of different storage conditions on yellowing percentage leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 2. The storage condition S1 recorded highest Yellowing% (1.58%) on the 2nd day, (4.81%) on the 4th day, and did not show any additional yellowing on the 6th, 8th, and 10th days. Whereas S3 storage condition, leafy vegetables recorded least yellowing (0.59%) on the 2nd day, (1.00%) on the 4th day, (1.88%) on the 6th day, (2.06%) on the 8th day, and (2.27%) on the 10th day.

It might due to refrigeration maintain a consistent and low temperature typically between 0-5°C, which slows down the breakdown of chlorophyll and other cellular processes. This delayed senescence reduces the production of ethylene hormone that promotes leaf yellowing. Additionally, refrigeration controls humidity, minimizing moisture loss and preventing oxidative stress. In contrast, room temperature and Zero Energy Cooling Chamber (ZECC) storage conditions fail to provide the same level of temperature and humidity control, leading to accelerated yellowing percentage. Similar studies were also observed by Esturk and Soysal (2010) [8].

Decay (%)

The data in table 3 reveals significant differences in decay (%) among various processed leafy vegetables during storage. On the 2nd day of different leafy vegetables, V₃ showed the highest decay at (1.85%), closely followed by V_1 (1.61%), and V_2 (0.90%). V₅ had the lowest decay (0.22%). On the 4th day of different leafy vegetables, V₃ had the highest decay at (3.35%), followed by V_1 (3.20%), and V_2 (2.81%). V_5 had the lowest decay (0.56%). On 6th day of different leafy vegetables, V₃ recorded highest decay (2.54%), followed by V₁ (1.86%) and V₂ (1.72%), whereas lowest decay was recorded by V_5 (0.69%). On 8th day of different leafy vegetables, V3 recorded highest decay (2.61%), closely followed by V_1 (2.02%) whereas the least decay was recorded by V₅ (0.76%). On 10th day of different leafy vegetables, V1 recorded highest decay (2.27%), followed by V_1 (2.11%) whereas the least decay was recorded by V_5 (0.79%).

Throughout the storage period, Indian Spinach (V_3) frequently exhibited the highest decay rates, suggesting it may be more susceptible to microbial degradation or physiological breakdown. Fenugreek (V_1) and Coriander (V_2) also showed substantial decay, indicating vulnerability, though slightly less than Indian Spinach. Amaranthus $(Amaranthus\ viridis)\ (V_4)$ and $(Red\ Amaranthus\ (Amaranthus\ cruntus))\ (V5)$ consistently displayed the lowest decay percentages, pointing towards a greater resilience to decay under the same storage conditions. The variation in decay rates among the leafy vegetables could be due to differences in leaf structure, moisture content, and natural resistance to pathogens. Similar results were also observed by Begum $et\ al.\ (2022)^{[3]}$.

Effect of different storage conditions on Decay (%) leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 3. The storage condition S_1 recorded highest decay (1.36%) on the 2^{nd} day, (3.53%) on the 4^{th} day, and did not show any additional decay on the 6^{th} , 8^{th} , and 10^{th} days. Whereas S_3 storage condition, leafy vegetables recorded least decay (0.55%) on the 2^{nd} day, (0.89%) on the 4^{th} day, (1.50%) on the 6^{th} day, (91%) on the 8^{th} day, and (3.99%) on the 10^{th} day.

The minimum decay percentage in green leafy vegetables observed in refrigerated storage might due Refrigerated storage condition (S_3) create an optimal environment that inhibits microbial growth and physiological deterioration. Refrigeration maintains a consistent temperature between 0-5°C. Refrigeration

also reducing moisture loss and oxidative stress. This controlled atmosphere prevents the breakdown of cell walls, minimizing spoilage and decay. Additionally, refrigeration reduces ethylene production, a hormone that promotes senescence and decay. In contrast, room temperature and Zero Energy Cooling Chamber (ZECC) storage conditions lack precise temperature and humidity control, fostering an environment helpful to microbial growth and ethylene production which accelerated decay in green leafy vegetables. The finding of present study is in accordance with those of Sundram (2016) [21].

Shriveling (%)

The data in Table 3 reveals significant differences in shriveling among various processed leafy vegetables during storage. On the 2nd day of different leafy vegetables, V₄ showed the highest shriveling (1.55%) which was closely followed by V₂ (0.84%), and V_5 (0.66%) whereas V_3 had the lowest shriveling (0.58%). On the 4th day of different leafy vegetables, V₄ had the highest shriveling (5.13%), followed by V_2 (4.64%), and V_5 (4.51%) whereas V₃ had the lowest shriveling at (3.65%). On 6th day of different leafy vegetable, V₄ recorded highest shriveling (5.15%) followed by V_2 (4.81%) and V_3 (3.65%), whereas lowest shriveling was recorded by V₃ (3.82%). On 8th day of day of different leafy vegetables, V4 recorded highest shriveling (5.61%) which was closely followed by V_2 (5.06%) whereas the least shriveling was recorded by V₃ (3.43%). On 10th day of day of different leafy vegetables, V4 recorded highest Shriveling (3.26%) followed by V_2 (2.98%) whereas the least shriveling was recorded by $V_1(2.74\%)$.

It may be due to amaranthus's (*Amaranthus viridis*) high water content and thin leaf cuticle makes it more susceptible to moisture loss, high respiration rate and ethylene production which leading to increased shriveling. In contrast fenugreek's lower moisture content and higher antioxidant activity reduce its susceptibility to oxidative stress and shriveling. The result obtained in the present study is in accordance with the results of Raquel and Schmitz *et al.* (2019)^[19].

Effect of different storage conditions on shriveling (%) of leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 3. The data revealed that, S_1 storage condition recoded highest percentage of shriveling (1.30%) on the 2^{nd} day, (7.88%) on the 4^{th} day, and did not show any additional shriveling on the 6^{th} , 8^{th} , and 10^{th} days. Whereas minimum shriveling was recorded in S_3 storage condition (0.51%) on the 2^{nd} day, (1.99%) on the 4^{th} day, (4.76%) on the 6^{th} day, (6.49%) on the 8^{th} day, and (7.04%) on the 10^{th} day.

It might be due to refrigeration maintains a consistent and low temperature (between 0-3°C), high humidity (80-90%), and reduced ethylene production, thereby slowing transpiration and moisture loss. This controlled environment prevents water stress, reduces cell turgor pressure loss, and maintains cell membrane integrity, resulting in minimal shriveling. In contrast room temperature and Zero Energy Cooling Chamber (ZECC) storage conditions fail to provide the same level of temperature and humidity control, leading to accelerated water loss, increased transpiration, consequently, higher shriveling percentages. The results obtained in the present study are supported by the works of Povratanak et al. (2015) [16].

Moisture (%)

The data in Table 4 reveals significant differences in moisture among various processed leafy vegetables during storage. On initial day of different leafy vegetables, V₃ showed the highest

moisture (92.50%) which was followed by V_2 (90.24%) and V_4 (88.42%). V_5 had the lowest moisture (84.60%). On the 2^{nd} day of different leafy vegetables V_3 had the highest moisture (88.95%) followed by V_2 (86.99%) Whereas V_5 had the lowest moisture at (84.43%). On 4^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_3 recorded highest moisture (86.86%) followed by V_2 (85.39%) and V_4 (83.48%) whereas lowest moisture was recorded by V_5 (82.64%). On 6^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_3 recorded highest moisture (58.49%) which was closely followed by V_2 (57.44%) whereas the least percentage of moisture was recorded by V_5 (54.77%). On 8^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_2 recorded highest moisture (55.83%) whereas the least moisture was recorded by V_3 (29.48%). On 10^{th} day of different leafy vegetables, V_2 recorded highest moisture (28.65%) whereas the least percentage of moisture was recorded by V_5 (27.29%).

The reason for the variations in moisture content among different leafy vegetables during storage can be attributed to their inherent characteristics and composition. V_3 (Indian Spinach) consistently exhibited the highest moisture content throughout the storage period, indicating its ability to retain water. V_2 (Coriander) showed relatively high moisture content initially and later maintained a higher moisture level compared to other vegetables. V_4 (Amaranthus (Amaranthus viridis)) displayed a gradual decrease in moisture content over time. V_5 (Red Amaranthus (Amaranthus cruntus)) had the lowest moisture content among the vegetables throughout the storage period. These variations can be attributed to the natural moisture content and physiological properties of each leafy vegetable. These outcomes are consistent with findings of Garande *et al.* (2019) [9].

Effect of different storage conditions on moisture (%) of leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 4. Among the storage conditions S_3 leafy vegetables recorded highest percentage of moisture (88.65%) on initial day, (88.53%) on the $2^{\rm nd}$ day, (86.53%) on the $4^{\rm th}$ day, (85.67%) on the $6^{\rm th}$ day, (84.56%) on the $8^{\rm th}$ day and (66.62%) on the $10^{\rm th}$ day. Whereas lowest percentage of moisture recorded by storage conditions S_1 leafy vegetables (88.65%) on starting day, (83.95%) on the $2^{\rm nd}$ day, (80.84%) on $4^{\rm th}$ day and did not show any additional moisture on the $6^{\rm th}$, $8^{\rm th}$, and $10^{\rm th}$ days.

It might due to refrigerated storage system controlling temperature, humidity, and ethylene levels, which maximizes moisture retention in green leafy vegetables, outperforming room temperature and ZECC storage. Similar study was also observed by Dhemre *et al.* (2017)^[7].

Dry matter content (%)

The data in Table 4 reveals significant differences in percentage of dry matter content (%) among various processed leafy vegetables during storage. On initial day of different leafy vegetables, V₅ showed the highest dry matter content (15.40%), followed by V_1 (12.50%), and V_4 (11.57%). V_3 had the lowest dry matter content (7.50%). On the 2nd day of different leafy vegetables, V₅ had the highest dry matter content (15.57%), followed by V_1 (14.46%) and V_4 (14.06%). V_3 had the lowest dry content (11.05%). On 4th day of different leafy vegetables, V_5 recorded highest dry matter content (17.36%), followed by V_1 16.65%) and V_4 ((16.57%); whereas lowest content was recorded by V₃ (13.14%). On 6thday of different leafy vegetables, V₅ recorded highest dry matter content (11.90%) closely followed by V₁ (10.74%) whereas the least dry matter content was recorded by V₃ (8.16%). On 8th day of different leafy vegetables, V₅ recorded highest dry content (12.45%), whereas the least dry matter content was recorded by V₃ (3.86%). On 10th day of different leafy vegetables, V_5 recorded highest dry matter content (6.05%), whereas the least dry matter content was recorded by V_2 (4.69%).

The reason for the significant differences in dry matter content among the different leafy vegetables is likely due to variations in their composition and moisture content red amaranthus thicker leaf cuticle and lower transpiration rate reduce water loss and red amaranthus have also lower moisture content and higher cellulose and hemicellulose levels contribute to its increased dry matter percentage. In contrast Indian Spinach have higher moisture content and thinner leaf cuticle increase its susceptibility to water loss, resulting in lower dry matter percentage. Similar results were also observed by Esturk and Soysal (2010) [8].

Effect of different storage conditions on dry matter content of leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 4. Among the storage conditions S_3 leafy vegetables recorded minimum dry matter content percentage (7.50%) on initial day, (11.47%) on the 2^{nd} day, (13.19%) on the 4^{th} day, (14.21%) on the 6^{th} day, (15.44%) on the 8^{th} day, and (13.38%) on the 10^{th} day. Whereas highest dry matter content percentage recorded by storage conditions S_1 leafy vegetables (12.50%) on starting day, (16.05%) on the 2^{nd} day, (19.14%) on 4^{th} day and did not show any additional dry content on the 6^{th} , 8^{th} , and 10^{th} days.

It might due to refrigerated storage condition maintain high humidity and low temperature, significantly reducing water loss through transpiration and respiration. This controlled environment slows down cellular processes, minimizing moisture utilization and maintaining cellular turgidity. As a result the water content remains high, diluting the dry matter percentage. In contrast, room temperature and Zero Energy Cooling Chamber (ZECC) storage conditions promote water loss, leading to increased dry matter percentage. The results obtained in the present study are in accordance with the results of Ndisya *et al.* (2020) [14].

Chemical traits Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)

The data in Table 5 reveals significant differences in ascorbic acid among various processed leafy vegetables during storage. On initial day of different leafy vegetables, V2 showed the highest ascorbic acid (80.67 mg/100 g), followed by V₁ (78.33 mg/100 g), and V_4 (48.33 mg/100 g). V_5 had the lowest ascorbic acid (36.33 mg/100 g). On the 2nd day of different leafy vegetables, V₂ had the highest acid (67.71 mg/100 g), followed by V_1 (66.63 mg/100 g) and V_4 (40.05 mg/100 g). V_5 had the lowest ascorbic acid (32.89 mg/100 g). On 4th day of different leafy vegetables, V2 recorded highest ascorbic acid (64.01 mg/100 g) followed by V_1 (59.69 mg/100 g) and V_4 (34.00mg/100 g), whereas lowest acid was recorded by V₅ (27.24 mg/100 g). On 6th day of different leafy vegetables, V₂ recorded highest ascorbic acid (40.92 mg/100 g) which was closely followed by V₁ (35.88 mg/100 g) whereas the least percentage of ascorbic acid was recorded by V₅ (19.68 mg/100 g). On 8th day of different leafy vegetables, V2 recorded highest ascorbic acid (34.14 mg/100 g) which was closely followed by V₁ (31.12 mg/100 g) whereas the least ascorbic acid was recorded by V₃ (16.61 mg/100 g). On 10th day of storage, V₂ recorded highest ascorbic acid (17.95 mg/100 g), followed by V₁ (15.08 mg/100 g) whereas the least percentage of ascorbic acid was recorded by V_5 (8.76 mg/100 g).

The significant differences in ascorbic acid content among the different processed leafy vegetables can be attributed to their inherent composition and variations in storage conditions. These

variations in ascorbic acid content can be attributed to the specific biochemical composition of each leafy vegetable and their susceptibility to degradation or loss of ascorbic acid during storage. Coriander retained higher ascorbic acid content than other leafy vegetables in various storage conditions, attributed to its lower respiration rate, ethylene production, and antioxidant activity, Coriander's thicker leaf cuticle also minimized moisture loss, preserving ascorbic acid stability. The results obtained in the present study are supported by the works of Priyadarshini and Singh (2015) [17].

Effect of different storage conditions on ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) of leafy vegetables was showed significant and presented in table 5. Among the storage conditions S_3 (Refrigerated storage at $3\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ (RS)) condition, leafy vegetables recorded highest ascorbic acid (59.53 mg/100 g) on initial day, (53.02 mg/100 g) on the 2^{nd} day, (50.52 mg/100 g) on the 4^{th} day, (42.55 mg/100 g) on the 6^{th} day, (37.91 mg/100 g) on the 8^{th} day, and (29.99 mg/100 g) on the 10^{th} day. Whereas lowest ascorbic acid recorded by S_1 (59.33 mg/100 g) on initial day, (43.75 mg/100 g) on the 2^{nd} day, (31.33 mg/100 g) on 4^{th} day and did not recorded ascorbic acid on the 6^{th} , 8^{th} , and 10^{th} days.

It might due to refrigerated storage condition preserves ascorbic acid in green leafy vegetables by controlling temperature, humidity, slowing down oxidative reactions, enzymatic degradation, and senescence. These outcomes are consistent with findings of Garande *et al.* (2019) [9].

Sensorial Characters

Colour

The data with respect to effect of different storage condition for colour of primary processed leafy vegetables have been presented in Table 6 the data revealed that at the end of 2nd of storage, treatment combination (V₅S₃) recorded non-significant highest (9.97) of score in colour, which was closely followed by (V₅S₂) (9.87) whereas lowest colour score was recorded by treatment combination (V₃S₁) (7.11). On 4th day storage treatment combination (V₅S₃) recorded non-significant highest (9.20) colour score, followed by treatment combination (V₅S₂) (8.57) whereas lowest colour score was recorded by treatment combination (V₂S₁) (5.16). On 6th day storage treatment combination (V₅S₃) recorded non-significant highest (7.85) score in colour, followed by (V₅S₂) (7.59), whereas lowest colour score was recorded by treatment combination (V₃S₂) (6.23). Treatment combination (V_1S_1) , (V_2S_1) , (V_3S_1) (V_4S_1) and (V5S1) these treatments did not score in colour on same day. On 8^{th} storage treatment combination (V_5S_3) recorded nonsignificant highest colour score (7.46) followed by (V_4S_3) (7.14) whereas the least colour score was recorded by treatment combination (V₁S₂) (5.70) and these treatment combination (V_1S_1) , (V_2S_1) , (V_3S_1) , (V_3S_2) , (V_4S_1) and (V_5S_1) did not score on same day. On 10th day of storage treatment combination (V₅S₃) recorded non-significant highest score in colour (6.68) followed by (V_4S_3) (6.49).

Appearance

The data with respect to effect of different storage condition for appearance of primary processed leafy vegetables presented in Table 6. The data revealed that, highest non-significant appearance was recorded by the treatment combination of (V_5S_3) (9.51), followed by (V_5S_2) (9.32) and (V_4S_3) (9.25), whereas lowest appearance was recorded in (V_3S_1) (8.21) on 2^{nd} day of storage. On 4^{th} day of storage non-significant be highest appearance was found to in treatment combination (V_5S_3) (8.80) whereas lowest sensorial appearance was recorded in treatment combination (V_3S_1) (5.81). On 6^{th} day of storage, highest non-significant appearance was recorded by (V_5S_3) (7.82) whereas the lowest was recorded in V_3S_2 (6.12). On 8th day of storage, highest non-significant appearance was recorded by (V_5S_3) (7.46), whereas on 10^{th} day of storage, highest appearance was recorded by (V_5S_3) (6.84), closely followed by (V_4S_3) (6.39).

Aroma

The data with respect to effect of different storage condition for aroma of primary processed leafy vegetables presented in Table 7. The data revealed that, highest non-significant aroma was recorded by the treatment combination of (V₅S₃) (9.48), which was followed by the treatment combination (V₂S₂) (9.51), whereas lowest aroma was recorded in (V₂S₁) (7.20) on 2nd day of interaction. On 4th day of storage, the non-significant aroma was found to be highest treatment combination (V₅S₃) (8.91) whereas lowest aroma was recorded in treatment combination (V_2S_1) (5.67). On 6^{th} day of storage, highest non-significant aroma was recorded by treatment combination (V₅S₃) (8.07) whereas the lowest was recorded in treatment combination S3 (V1 to V5) in S1 (Room Temperature (RT)) by (0.00) did not show aroma on particular day. On 8th day of storage, highest non-significant aroma was recorded by (V₅S₃) (7.79), whereas on 10th day of storage, highest aroma was recorded by (V₅S₃) (6.49), closely followed by (V_4S_3) (6.25) and (V_2S_3) (5.29)respectively.

Overall acceptability

The data with respect to effect of different storage condition for overall acceptability of primary processed leafy vegetables presented in Table 7. The data revealed that the treatment combination (V₅S₃) recorded non-significant highest for overall acceptability (9.58), closely followed by treatment combination (V_5S_2) (9.40) and (V_4S_3) (9.32), whereas lowest acceptability was recorded by treatment combination (V_3S_1) (7.25) respectively on 2nd day of storage. On 4th day of storage, treatment combination (V_5S_3) recorded highest overall acceptability (8.82), followed by treatment combination (V₅S₂) (8.60) and lowest was recorded by treatment combination (V_3S_1) (5.22). On 6th day of storage, treatment combination (V₅S₃) recorded highest overall acceptability (7.87) and lowest was recorded by, treatment combination V₃S₂ (6.41). Similar trend was found on 8th day of storage where highest overall acceptability was recorded by treatment combination (V₅S₃) (6.79), followed by (V₄S₃) (6.52) and lowest was recorded by treatment combination (V3S3) (6.66). On 10th day of storage, highest overall acceptability was recorded by treatment combination (V_5S_3) (6.26), followed by (V_4S_3) (6.05) and lowest was recorded by treatment combination (V_1S_3) (5.17).

Table 1: Weight of inedible portion (g), Weight of edible portion (g), Percentage of edible portion (g) and Average leaf area (cm²).

Vegetables name	Weight of inedible portion	O	Percentage of edible	
v egetubles nume	(g)	portion (g)	portion (%)	area (cm²)
V ₁ : Fenugreek	570.45	429.55	42.95	2.40
V ₂ : Coriander	580.42	419.58	41.95	2.90
V ₃ : Indian Spinach	398.25	601.75	60.17	12.90
V ₄ : Amaranthus (Amaranthus viridis)	560.80	439.20	43.92	5.20
V ₅ : Red Amaranthus (Amaranthus cruntus)	600.78	399.22	39.92	5.90

Table 2: Effect of different processed leafy vegetables and storage conditions on physiological loss in weight (%) and yellowing (%).

Tuesday and Data!!		Physiologi				Yellowing (%)						
Treatment Details	2 nd day	4th days	6 th days	8th days	10 th days	2 nd days	4th days	6 th days	8 th days	10 th days		
				Factor (V)							
V1	2.83	6.71	5.41	6.52	3.80	1.69	4.60	2.78	3.56	1.63		
V_2	2.64	6.14	4.88	6.07	3.45	1.59	3.92	2.24	2.85	1.04		
V ₃ :	4.71	9.32	7.40	4.16	0.00	1.32	3.28	2.03	1.37	0.00		
V4:	2.60	5.65	4.43	6.02	3.41	0.46	0.99	0.81	1.40	0.58		
V ₅ :	2.00	5.03	3.95	5.53	3.16	0.19	0.80	0.58	0.88	0.53		
SEM (V) (\pm) =	0.020	0.061	0.099	0.021	0.016	0.009	0.020	0.030	0.028	0.006		
CD(V)(5%) =	0.058	0.176	0.285	0.061	0.045	0.026	0.058	0.086	0.081	0.018		
Factor (S)												
S ₁ :	4.53	10.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.58	4.81	0.00	0.00	0.00		
S ₂ :	2.69	5.31	8.62	8.77	0.00	0.98	2.33	3.18	3.98	0.00		
S ₃ :	1.65	3.79	7.03	8.21	8.29	0.59	1.00	1.88	2.06	2.27		
$SEM(S) (\pm) =$	0.016	0.047	0.076	0.016	0.012	0.007	0.015	0.023	0.022	0.005		
CD(S) (5%) =	0.045	0.137	0.221	0.047	0.035	0.020	0.045	0.066	0.063	0.014		
Interaction (VXS)												
V_1S_1	4.55	11.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.41	8.93	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V_1S_2	2.57	5.41	8.67	11.75	0.00	1.73	3.29	5.35	8.39	0.00		
V_1S_3	1.38	3.47	7.56	7.81	11.40	0.94	1.57	2.82	2.29	4.88		
V_2S_1	4.31	10.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.34	6.38	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V_2S_2	2.46	4.84	8.47	10.94	0.00	1.43	4.08	5.10	6.82	0.00		
V_2S_3	1.16	2.99	6.17	7.26	10.35	1.00	1.30	1.62	1.73	3.11		
V_3S_1	6.48	13.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.29	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V_3S_2	4.33	7.56	11.48	0.00	0.00	1.09	2.64	3.31	0.00	0.00		
V ₃ S ₃	3.32	6.54	10.70	12.48	0.00	0.57	1.19	2.79	4.11	0.00		
V ₄ S ₁	4.17	9.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	1.38	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V_4S_2	2.26	4.50	7.36	10.88	0.00	0.51	0.94	1.00	2.58	0.00		
V_4S_3	1.35	3.35	5.94	7.19	10.23	0.36	0.66	1.42	1.62	1.75		
V_5S_1	3.15	8.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	1.35	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V_5S_2	1.82	4.25	7.09	10.29	0.00	0.17	0.73	0.97	2.13	0.00		
V_5S_3	1.04	2.61	4.76	6.30	9.47	0.07	0.31	0.76	0.53	1.59		
SEM (VXS) (\pm) =	0.035	0.106	0.171	0.037	0.027	0.016	0.035	0.051	0.049	0.011		
CD (VXS) (5%) =	0.101	0.305	0.494	0.106	0.078	0.046	0.100	0.148	0.141	0.031		

V₁: Fenugreek, V₂: Coriander, V₃: Indian Spinach, V₄: Amaranthus, V₅: Red Amaranthus S₁: Room Temperature (RT), S₂: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S₃: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1 °C (RS)

Table 3: Effect of different processed leafy vegetables and storage conditions on decay (%) and shriveling (%)

T			Decay (%))			Sh	riveling (%	6)			
Treatment Details	2nd day	4th days	6th days	8th days	10 th days	2nd days	4th days	6th days	8th days	10 th days		
			Fa	actor (V)		•			-			
V1	1.61	3.20	1.86	2.02	2.27	0.62	4.17	4.25	4.67	2.74		
V2	0.90	2.81	1.72	1.90	2.11	0.84	4.64	4.81	5.06	2.98		
V3:	1.85	3.35	2.54	2.61	0.00	0.58	3.65	3.82	3.43	0.00		
V4:	0.44	0.67	0.85	1.56	1.47	1.55	5.13	5.15	5.61	3.26		
V5:	0.22	0.56	0.69	0.76	0.79	0.66	4.51	4.68	4.92	2.75		
SEM (V) (\pm) =	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.015	0.005	0.011	0.030	0.019	0.020	0.011		
CD(V)(5%) =	0.028	0.033	0.028	0.043	0.016	0.031	0.088	0.056	0.059	0.032		
Factor (S)												
S1:	1.36	3.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	7.88	0.00	0.00	0.00		
S2:	1.10	1.94	3.10	2.40	0.00	0.74	3.38	8.87	7.72	0.00		
S3:	0.55	0.89	1.50	2.91	3.99	0.51	1.99	4.76	6.49	7.04		
$SEM(S) (\pm) =$	0.008	0.009	0.007	0.012	0.004	0.008	0.024	0.015	0.016	0.009		
CD(S) (5%) =	0.022	0.025	0.022	0.033	0.012	0.024	0.068	0.044	0.045	0.025		
			Intera	ction (VX	S)							
V1S1	2.06	4.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	7.58	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V1S2	1.91	3.25	3.62	4.02	0.00	0.60	3.25	8.25	9.14	0.00		
V1S3	0.86	1.63	1.97	2.04	6.82	0.38	1.68	4.50	4.87	8.22		
V2S1	1.17	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.10	8.27	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V2S2	1.12	2.76	3.54	4.05	0.00	0.78	3.92	9.34	9.63	0.00		
V2S3	0.41	1.21	1.62	1.64	6.34	0.63	1.72	5.11	5.56	8.95		
V3S1	2.34	5.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	6.95	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V3S2	2.03	3.07	5.68	0.00	0.00	0.42	2.91	8.12	0.00	0.00		
V3S3	1.18	1.20	1.94	7.83	0.00	0.34	1.09	3.35	10.28	0.00		
V4S1	0.92	1.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.58	8.74	0.00	0.00	0.00		
V4S2	0.25	0.34	1.45	2.58	0.00	1.32	3.89	9.79	10.37	0.00		

V4S3	0.14	0.23	1.11	2.09	4.42	0.77	2.76	5.67	6.45	9.77
V5S1	0.32	1.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95	7.87	0.00	0.00	0.00
V5S2	0.19	0.27	1.21	1.35	0.00	0.59	2.93	8.87	9.45	0.00
V5S3	0.15	0.19	0.86	0.94	2.36	0.44	2.72	5.18	5.30	8.25
SEM (VXS) (\pm) =	0.017	0.020	0.017	0.026	0.009	0.019	0.053	0.034	0.035	0.019
CD (VXS) (5%) =	0.049	0.057	0.048	0.074	0.027	0.054	0.153	0.097	0.101	0.056

Table 4: Effect of different processed leafy vegetables and storage conditions on moisture (%) and dry matter content (%)

			Moistu	re (%)				Dr	y matter o	content (%)		
Treatment Details	Fresh 0 day	2 nd day	4 th days	6 th days	8th days	10 th days	Fresh 0 day	2 nd days	4th days	6 th days	8th days	10 th days	
Factor (V)													
V1	87.50	85.54	83.21	55.80	54.34	27.55	12.50	14.46	16.57	10.74	12.24	5.78	
V2	90.24	86.99	85.39	57.44	55.83	28.65	9.78	13.01	14.61	9.22	0.97	4.69	
V3:	92.50	88.95	86.86	58.49	29.48	0.00	7.50	11.05	13.14	8.16	3.86	0.00	
V4:	88.42	85.94	83.48	56.13	55.25	27.56	11.57	14.06	16.48	10.46	11.41	5.77	
V5:	84.60	84.43	82.64	54.77	54.22	27.29	15.40	15.57	17.36	11.90	12.45	6.05	
SEM (V) (\pm) =	0.336	0.295	0.281	0.262	0.173	0.113	0.336	0.295	0.313	0.263	0.154	0.113	
CD (V) (5%) =	0.970	0.853	0.812	0.756	0.500	0.326	0.970	0.853	0.903	0.759	0.444	0.326	
Factor (S)													
S1:	88.65	83.95	80.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	16.05	19.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	
S2:	88.65	86.63	85.55	83.91	64.91	0.00	9.78	13.37	14.57	16.09	15.12	0.00	
S3:	88.65	88.53	86.53	85.67	84.56	66.62	7.50	11.47	13.19	14.21	15.44	13.38	
$SEM(S) (\pm) =$	0.260	0.229	0.218	0.203	0.134	0.087	0.260	0.229	0.242	0.203	0.119	0.087	
CD(S) (5%) =	NS	0.661	0.629	0.586	0.387	0.252	NS	0.661	0.699	0.588	0.344	0.253	
Interaction (VXS)													
V1S1	87.50	82.32	79.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.50	17.68	20.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V1S2	87.50	86.89	84.85	83.15	80.69	0.00	12.50	13.11	14.48	16.85	19.04	0.00	
V1S3	87.50	87.41	85.06	84.24	82.32	82.65	12.50	12.59	14.94	15.38	17.67	17.35	
V2S1	90.24	83.68	82.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.78	16.32	18.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V2S2	90.24	87.36	85.94	85.14	81.54	0.00	9.78	12.64	14.06	14.86	18.85	0.00	
V2S3	90.24	89.93	88.25	87.19	85.94	85.94	9.78	10.07	11.75	12.81	14.05	14.06	
V3S1	92.50	86.19	82.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.50	13.81	17.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V3S2	92.50	89.50	87.91	86.38	0.00	0.00	7.50	10.50	12.09	13.58	0.00	0.00	
V3S3	92.50	91.16	89.90	89.10	88.43	0.00	7.50	8.84	10.10	10.90	11.57	0.00	
V4S1	88.42	83.85	80.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.57	16.15	19.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V4S2	88.42	85.22	84.47	83.25	81.88	0.00	11.57	14.78	15.53	16.75	18.12	0.00	
V4S3	88.42	88.75	85.70	85.15	83.88	82.68	11.57	11.25	14.30	14.64	16.12	17.32	
V5S1	84.60	83.72	79.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.40	16.28	20.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V5S2	84.60	84.18	83.32	81.62	80.43	0.00	15.40	15.82	16.68	18.38	19.57	0.00	
V5S3	84.60	85.40	85.16	82.69	82.23	81.86	15.40	14.60	14.84	17.31	17.77	18.14	
SEM (VXS) (\pm) =	0.582	0.512	0.487	0.453	0.300	0.195	0.582	0.512	0.542	0.455	0.266	0.196	
CD (VXS) (5%) =	NS	1.478	NS	1.309	0.866	0.564	NS	1.478	NS	1.314	0.768	0.565	

Table 5: Effect of different processed leafy vegetables and storage conditions on ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)

Treatment Details		Asc	corbic acid	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)								
Treatment Details	Fresh 0 day	2 nd days	4 th days	6 th days	8 th days	10 th days						
Factor (V) Different L	Factor (V) Different Leafy Vegetables											
V1: Fenugreek	78.33	66.63	59.69	35.88	31.12	15.08						
V2: Coriander	80.67	67.71	64.01	40.92	34.14	17.95						
V3:Indian Spinach	48.33	34.08	30.49	16.34	7.48	0.00						
V4: Amaranthu (Amaranthus viridis)	52.67	40.05	34.00	21.08	18.24	8.76						
V5: Red Amaranthus (Amaranthus cruntus))	36.67	32.89	27.24	19.68	16.61	8.18						
$SEM (V) (\pm) =$	0.258	0.185	0.166	0.094	0.119	0.050						
CD (V) (5%) =	0.746	0.535	0.479	0.273	0.344	0.144						
Factor (S) Different Sto	orage Conditi	on										
S1: Room Temperature (RT)	59.33	43.75	31.63	0.00	0.00	0.00						
S2: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC)	59.33	48.04	47.10	37.79	26.65	0.00						
S3: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1°C (RS)	59.33	53.02	50.52	42.55	37.91	29.99						
SEM(S) (±) =	0.200	0.144	0.128	0.073	0.092	0.038						

V1: Fenugreek, V2: Coriander, V3: Indian Spinach, V4: Amaranthus, V5: Red Amaranthus S1: Room Temperature (RT), S2: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S3: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1°C (RS)

V1: Fenugreek, V2: Coriander, V3: Indian Spinach, V4: Amaranthus, V5: Red Amaranthus S1: Room Temperature (RT), S2: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S3: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1°C (RS)

CD(S) (5%) =	NS	0.415	0.371	0.211	0.266	0.111
Interact	ion (VXS)					
V1S1	78.33	59.42	39.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
V1S2	78.33	69.35	68.16	50.97	41.92	0.00
V1S3	78.33	71.11	71.46	56.66	51.45	45.25
V2S1	80.67	63.66	50.66	0.00	0.00	0.00
V2S2	80.67	67.23	68.69	59.44	43.88	0.00
V2S3	80.67	72.24	72.68	63.33	58.55	53.85
V3S1	48.33	30.56	25.74	0.00	0.00	0.00
V3S2	48.33	32.24	30.17	20.36	0.00	0.00
V3S3	48.33	39.44	35.56	28.65	22.43	0.00
V4S1	52.67	36.55	23.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
V4S2	52.67	38.04	38.35	30.00	26.47	0.00
V4S3	52.67	45.55	40.22	33.26	28.26	26.28
V5S1	36.67	28.56	18.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
V5S2	36.67	33.35	30.14	28.20	20.96	0.00
V5S3	36.67	36.76	32.68	30.83	28.85	24.55
$SEM (VXS) (\pm) =$	0.447	0.321	0.287	0.164	0.206	0.086
CD (VXS) (5%) =	NS	0.927	0.830	0.473	0.596	0.249

Table 6: Effect of different processed leafy vegetables and storage conditions on colour and appearance.

Tree days and Date !!		Colour					A	Appearanc	e	
Treatment Details	2 nd day	4th days	6 th days	8th days	10 th days	2 nd days	4th days	6 th days	8th days	10th days
			Fa	actor (V)						
V1	8.36	6.84	4.52	4.14	1.90	8.67	7.14	4.54	3.84	2.06
V2	8.38	6.89	4.59	4.21	2.12	8.88	7.30	4.84	4.42	2.11
V3:	8.29	6.56	4.46	2.06	0.00	8.51	6.76	4.50	2.09	0.00
V4:	9.51	7.67	5.02	4.61	2.16	9.14	7.70	5.00	4.57	2.13
V5:	9.77	7.97	5.15	4.77	2.23	9.31	8.03	5.14	4.79	2.28
SEM (V) (\pm) =	0.032	0.055	0.018	0.014	0.013	0.072	0.031	0.057	0.027	0.007
CD (V) (5%) =	0.092	0.159	0.051	0.041	0.036	0.208	0.089	0.164	0.079	0.021
			F	actor (S)						
S1:	8.00	5.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.60	6.48	0.00	0.00	0.00
S2:	9.15	7.76	6.79	5.01	0.00	8.88	7.28	6.96	5.12	0.00
S3:	9.44	8.17	7.46	6.86	5.04	9.23	8.40	7.45	6.70	5.15
$SEM(S) (\pm) =$	0.025	0.043	0.014	0.011	0.010	0.056	0.024	0.044	0.021	0.006
CD(S) (5%) =	0.071	0.123	0.040	0.032	0.028	0.161	0.069	0.127	0.061	0.017
			Intera	action (VX	S)					
V1S1	7.13	5.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.24	6.17	0.00	0.00	0.00
V1S2	8.77	7.58	6.33	5.70	0.00	8.64	7.13	6.45	5.67	0.00
V1S3	9.19	7.54	7.23	6.73	5.69	9.12	8.12	7.17	5.84	6.17
V2S1	7.17	5.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.47	6.26	0.00	0.00	0.00
V2S2	8.76	7.08	6.45	5.84	0.00	8.95	7.27	7.28	6.47	0.00
V2S3	9.22	8.28	7.37	6.78	6.36	9.21	8.36	7.24	6.80	6.32
V3S1	7.11	5.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.21	5.81	0.00	0.00	0.00
V3S2	8.73	7.24	6.23	0.00	0.00	8.26	6.35	6.12	0.00	0.00
V3S3	9.04	7.27	7.17	6.17	0.00	9.08	8.13	7.37	6.26	0.00
V4S1	9.13	6.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.97	7.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
V4S2	9.63	8.31	7.39	6.70	0.00	9.22	7.47	7.36	6.57	0.00
V4S3	9.78	8.56	7.67	7.14	6.49	9.25	8.58	7.65	7.14	6.39
V5S1	9.47	6.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.10	7.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
V5S2	9.87	8.57	7.59	6.83	0.00	9.32	8.17	7.59	6.90	0.00
V5S3	9.97	9.20	7.85	7.46	6.68	9.51	8.80	7.82	7.46	6.84
SEM (VXS) (\pm) =	0.055	0.095	0.031	0.025	0.022	0.125	0.053	0.098	0.047	0.013
CD (VXS) (5%) =	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

V1: Fenugreek, V2: Coriander, V3: Indian Spinach, V4: Amaranthus, V5: Red Amaranthus S1: Room Temperature (RT), S2: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S3: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1°C (RS)

V1: Fenugreek, V2: Coriander, V3: Indian Spinach, V4: Amaranthus, V5: Red Amaranthus S1: Room Temperature (RT), S2: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S3: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1 °C (RS)

Table 7: Effect of different processed leafy vegetables and storage conditions aroma and Overall acceptability.

Treatment Datails	Aroma							all accepta	bility		
Treatment Details	2nd day	4th days	6 th days	8th days	10th days	2 nd days	4th days	6 th days	8th days	10 th days	
			Fa	actor (V)							
V1	8.43	6.89	4.76	4.03	1.64	8.33	6.76	4.47	3.78	1.72	
V2	8.89	7.78	5.07	4.41	1.76	8.34	7.23	4.97	4.09	2.00	
V3:	8.11	6.77	4.47	1.84	0.00	8.01	6.61	4.32	1.89	0.00	
V4:	8.86	7.56	5.00	4.60	2.08	8.97	7.65	5.08	4.27	2.02	
V5:	9.24	8.13	5.15	4.84	2.16	9.37	7.98	5.20	4.43	2.09	
SEM (V) (\pm) =	0.066	0.031	0.037	0.036	0.025	0.034	0.093	0.016	0.013	0.006	
CD (V) (5%) =	0.190	0.091	0.106	0.104	0.072	0.099	0.268	0.046	0.038	0.018	
Factor (S)											
S1:	8.04	6.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.93	5.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	
S2:	8.81	7.97	7.11	4.95	0.00	8.76	7.84	7.13	4.81	0.00	
S3:	9.27	8.20	7.56	6.88	4.59	9.13	8.08	7.29	6.26	4.69	
$SEM(S) (\pm) =$	0.051	0.024	0.028	0.028	0.019	0.027	0.072	0.012	0.010	0.005	
CD(S) (5%) =	0.147	0.070	0.082	0.081	0.056	0.077	0.208	0.036	0.029	0.014	
Interaction (VXS)											
V1S1	7.94	5.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.45	5.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V1S2	8.25	7.34	7.00	5.70	0.00	8.46	7.36	6.63	5.38	0.00	
V1S3	9.10	7.62	7.29	6.38	4.93	9.08	7.58	6.77	5.96	5.17	
V2S1	7.94	5.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.39	5.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V2S2	9.24	8.28	7.48	5.77	0.00	8.48	7.80	7.36	5.91	0.00	
V2S3	9.51	8.61	7.74	7.45	5.29	9.14	8.09	7.54	6.37	5.99	
V3S1	7.20	5.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.25	5.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V3S2	8.11	7.22	6.48	0.00	0.00	8.25	7.21	6.41	0.00	0.00	
V3S3	9.01	7.42	6.92	5.51	0.00	8.53	7.39	6.54	5.66	0.00	
V4S1	8.18	6.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.42	6.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V4S2	9.14	8.33	7.22	6.53	0.00	9.19	8.23	7.52	6.28	0.00	
V4S3	9.25	8.47	7.77	7.28	6.25	9.32	8.54	7.72	6.52	6.05	
V5S1	8.94	6.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.13	6.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	
V5S2	9.31	8.70	7.37	6.74	0.00	9.40	8.60	7.72	6.49	0.00	
V5S3	9.48	8.91	8.07	7.79	6.49	9.58	8.82	7.87	6.79	6.26	
SEM (VXS) (\pm) =	0.114	0.054	0.063	0.062	0.043	0.059	0.161	0.028	0.023	0.011	
CD (VXS) (5%) =	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

V1: Fenugreek, V2: Coriander, V3: Indian Spinach, V4: Amaranthus, V5: Red Amaranthus

S1: Room Temperature (RT), S2: Zero Energy Cool Chamber (ZECC) and S3: Refrigerated Storage at 3±1°C (RS)

References

- 1. Ambrose DCP, Naik R, Daaunty AL. Studies on fresh processing of *Moringa oleifera* leaves. Int J Food Ferment Technol. 2017;7(2):306-309.
- 2. Anonymous. A report on dietary recommendation for Indian peoples. 2018.
- 3. Begum S, Ramappa KT, Nidoni U, Hiregoudar S, Ramesh G. Effect of packaging materials and storage conditions on shelf-life of fenugreek leafy vegetables. The Pharma Innovation J. 2022;11(12):3335-3342.
- 4. Chandravanshi D, Sharma PK, Sharma HG. Evaluation and characterization of leafy vegetables (*Amaranthus* spp.) grown in Chhattisgarh. Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(3):697-704.
- 5. Chauhan D, Shrivastava AK, Par S. Diversity of leafy vegetables used by tribal peoples of Chhattisgarh, India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2014;3(4):611-622.
- 6. Dhawan SS, *et al.* Phytochemical and antioxidant analysis of leafy greens. J Food Sci Technol. 2017;54(4):1020-1028.
- 7. Dhemre JK, Shete MB, Kad VP, Kotecha. Performance of packaging on shelf life and quality of fenugreek at different storage conditions in kharif season. Adv Res. 2017;10(6):1-12.
- 8. Esturk O, Soysal Y. Drying properties and quality parameters of dill dried with intermittent and continuous microwave-convective air treatments. J Agric Sci. 2010:16:26-36.
- 9. Grande VK, Raut PD, Shinde US, Sonawane PN, Dhumal SS, Sonawane PN, *et al.* Studies on storage behavior of

- primary leafy vegetables under different storage conditions. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2019;8(6):2249-2272.
- 10. Kakade A, More P, Jadhav S, Bhosle V. Shelf-life extension of fresh cut spinach. Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol. 2015;8(3):609-614.
- 11. Kumar A, Mthur PN, Chaurasia PBL. A study on the zero energy cool chamber for the storage of food material. Int Res J Manag Sci Technol. 2014;5(7):65-69.
- 12. Kumar D, Kumar S, Shekhar C. Nutritional components in green leafy vegetables. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9(5):2498-2502.
- 13. Narang R, Sharma R, Alam MS. Shelf-life enhancement of fresh fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*) under refrigerated condition. Int J Food Ferment Technol. 2016;6(2):443-449.
- 14. Ndisya J, Mbuge D, Kulig B, Gitau A, Hensel O, Sturm B. Hot air drying of purple-speckled cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott) slices: Optimisation of drying conditions for improved product quality and energy savings. Therm Sci Eng Prog. 2020;18:2451-9049.
- 15. Panse RK, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 2nd ed. New Delhi: ICAR; c1985. p. 87-89.
- 16. Povratanak H, Gnean ND, Vouchsim K, Borarin B. Effects of NaOCl and LDPE packaging on postharvest quality of tomatoes. J Food Nutr Sci. 2015;3(2):9-12.
- 17. Priyadarshini S, Singh M. Study on the effect of packaging on the freshness of spinach. Asian J Home Sci.

- 2015;10(1):123-128.
- 18. Rai DR, Narsaiah K, Bharati DK, Reddy PMK, Brar SS. Modified atmosphere packaging of minimal processing and storage of shredded cabbage. J Food Sci Technol. 2009;46(5):436-439.
- 19. Raquel FWS, de Carvalho LF, de Souza CK. Effect of refrigerated storage conditions on leafy vegetables. MOJ Food Process Technol. 2019;7(3):75-77.
- 20. Spinardi A, Ferrante A. Effect of storage temperature on quality changes of minimally processed baby lettuce. J Food Agric Environ. 2010;10(1):38-42.
- 21. Sundaram V. Studies on storability of vegetables in zero energy cool chamber. Agric Sci Digest. 2016;36(2):244-246.
- 22. Suraweera DD, Daundasekera WAM, Malathy P. Effect of storage methods on the postharvest quality of *Amaranthus tricolor* ('thampala') leaves. Proceedings of the Peradeniya University Research Sessions, Sri Lanka. 2011;16:181.